| 1 | | ED STATES DISTRI ERN DISTRICT OF | | 78 |
|----|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|----|
| 2 | EASII | | NEW TORK | |
| 3 | UNITED STATES OF AMER | | CR 08 655 | |
| 4 | V. | | U.S. Courthouse | |
| 5 | CHRISTIAN TARANTINO, | • | Central Islip, N.Y. | |
| 6 | | ndant. : | TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL | |
| 7 | | | May 2, 2012 9:30 a.m. | |
| 8 | BEFORE: | | | |
| 9 | HONORABLE | JOANNA SEYBERT, | U.S.D.J. | |
| 10 | | and a jury | | |
| 11 | APPEARANCES: | | | |
| 12 | For the Government: | LORETTA E. LYNO United States A | | |
| 13 | | 100 Federal Pla Central Islip, | ıza | |
| 14 | | | IISKIEWICZ, ESQ. | |
| 15 | | | s, U.S. Attorney | |
| 16 | For the Defendant: | | EN, ESQ. enue - Suite 205 | |
| 17 | | Coral Gables, F | Florida 33134 | |
| 18 | | FRANK A. DODDAT | Road | |
| 19 | | Garden City, No | ew York 11530 | |
| 20 | Court Reporter: | HARRY RAPAPORT OWEN M. WICKER | | |
| 21 | | STEPHANIE PICOZ United States [| District Court | |
| 22 | | 100 Federal Pla Central Islip, | | |
| 23 | | (631) 712-6105 | | |
| 24 | Proceedings recorded | | | |
| 25 | Transcript produced b | | | |

OWEN WICKER, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 | пес | 1 05/21/13 Page 2 of 83 PageID #. Amador - Redirect/Misklewicz |
|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | 4005 | | 1081 |
| 1 | MODNING OF COLON | | |
| | MORNING SESSION | 1 | (Short recess taken.) |
| 2 | | 2 | THE COURT: Mr. Amador, we're waiting on, I |
| 3 | THE COURT: Let me see what the situation is | 3 | believe, two jurors. They should be here shortly. |
| 4 | with our jurors. They were told to come at 9:45. | 4 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. |
| 5 | Is Mr. Amador here? | 5 | THE CLERK: Judge, they are all here. They'll |
| 6 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. I think he's | 6 | be out in a moment. |
| 7 | in one of the other rooms. We're ready to bring him out. | 7 | (Whereupon, the jury at this time enters the |
| 8 | THE COURT: And you have no further questions | 8 | courtroom.) |
| 9 | for Mr. Amador, do you? | 9 | THE COURT: Good morning. We're ready to |
| 10 | MR. ROSEN: I do not. | 10 | resume. |
| 11 | THE COURT: So you will do your redirect. | 11 | At this time the Government is continuing to do |
| 12 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. It will be | 12 | their redirect of Mr. Amador. |
| 13 | brief. | 13 | You are still under oath, Mr. Amador. |
| 14 | I just wanted to indicate that I think Ms. Manon | 14 | Mr. Miskiewicz? |
| 15 | Mulligan has arrangements to fly out tonight. If there is | 15 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. |
| 16 | any way of doing this evidentiary hearing | 16 | PABLO AMADOR, |
| 17 | , , , | 17 | • |
| | THE COURT: If you want to get started with her | | having been previously sworn, resumed the stand |
| 18 | now, bring her out. | 18 | and testified further as follows: |
| 19 | MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, we're ready to do it now | 19 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 20 | or perhaps lunchtime. | 20 | BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: |
| 21 | THE COURT: I'm ready to do it at lunchtime. | 21 | Q Good morning, Mr. Amador. |
| 22 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, should we have | 22 | Mr. Amador, yesterday on cross-examination by |
| 23 | Mr. Amador fill in at this point? | 23 | Mr. Rosen, you were asked a series of questions about the |
| 24 | THE COURT: Hopefully, he will not run into a | 24 | apartment on FDR Drive that you shared with Leshawn |
| 25 | juror. | 25 | Campbell. |
| | OWEN WICKER, RPR | | OWEN WICKER, RPR |
| | OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER | | Official Court Reporter |
| | 1080 | | Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz |
| | | I | |
| 1 | Gentlemen, there will be a request to charge. I | | 1082 |
| 1 2 | • | 1 | 1082 Do you recall being asked those questions? |
| 2 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you | 1 2 | |
| 2 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, | | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect | 2 | Do you recall being asked those questions? |
| 2 3 4 5 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these | 2 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and | 2 3 4 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation | 2 3 4 5 6 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. (Whereupon, Government Exhibit PA-14 was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. MR. MISKIEWICZ: We also prepared a redacted | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. (Whereupon, Government Exhibit PA-14 was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. MR. MISKIEWICZ: We also prepared a redacted | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. (Whereupon, Government Exhibit PA-14 was received in evidence.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. MR. MISKIEWICZ: We also prepared a redacted indictment. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Do you recall being asked those questions? A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. (Whereupon, Government Exhibit PA-14 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I publish it, your Honor? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. MR. MISKIEWICZ: We also prepared a redacted indictment. THE COURT: Indictment? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. (Whereupon, Government Exhibit PA-14 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I publish it, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. (The aforementioned document(s) were published |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. MR. MISKIEWICZ: We also prepared a redacted indictment. THE COURT: Indictment? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. (Whereupon, Government Exhibit PA-14 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I publish it, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. (The aforementioned document(s) were published to the jury.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. MR. MISKIEWICZ: We also prepared a redacted indictment. THE COURT: Indictment? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. (Whereupon, Government Exhibit PA-14 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I publish it, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. (The aforementioned document(s) were published to the jury.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | know it's a little bit early. There are a couple you probably want to emphasize in terms of the prior murders, and the Court is aware of the needed charge with respect to how they can consider the evidence related to these prior murders in terms of the restrictions they have and how it can be used with respect to 404(b) motivation purposes rather than just propensity. That's my concern. So if you can get something in today or Monday MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll work on that. Other than that, though that's why I raised this last week does the Court want us to resubmit our earlier charges from the last trial? THE COURT: No, I want you to give me what you have in terms of this particular case. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: And I'll refer to the prior charges, obviously, the stock ones in terms of conspiracy and all that, you know. MR. MISKIEWICZ: We also prepared a redacted indictment. THE COURT: Indictment? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you. OWEN WICKER, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Yes, sir. Q I will show you what has been marked for identification as PA-14. Showing you PA-14, do you recognize that? A Yes, sir. Q What do you recognize that to be? A The outline of the apartment, Leshawn's apartment. Q Have you seen that outline before? A Yes. Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of the layout of the Leshawn Campbell's apartment at that time, when you lived there in August of 2003? A Yes, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The Government moves for the admission of PA-14. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: Received in evidence. (Whereupon, Government Exhibit PA-14 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I publish it, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. (The aforementioned document(s) were published to the jury.) |

Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 Filed 05/21/13 Page 3 of 83 PageID #:

1083

1 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ:

- 2 Q Mr. Amador, showing you up on the screen behind
- 3 you -- and this is PA-14. I don't mean to go over the
- 4 entire layout.
- 5 But first of all, can you tell us within this,
- 6 which was the bedroom that you used?
- 7 A Bedroom number three (indicating), right here.
- 8 Q Okay. And is that where you said, I think in
- 9 cross-examination, you said you had some of your personal
- **10** belongings there?
- 11 A There, yes.
- 12 Q All right. With respect to that, you were asked a
- 13 series of guestions also about the episode when the gun
- 14 was malfunctioning and conversations you had with Justin
- 15 Bressman about the gun malfunctioning.
- 16 Could you show or explain to the members of the
- 17 jury where you were, where you and Justin Bressman and
- 18 whoever else were, while attempting to figure out what was
- **19** wrong with the gun?
- 20 A We were in the kitchen, by the kitchen table, right
- 21 here (indicating).
- 22 Q All right. There came a time, I think you said,
- 23 there was -- you and/or Mr. Bressman were firing the
- 24 weapon outside the window. Where was the window?
- 25 A (Indicating.)

OWEN WICKER, RPR Official Court Reporter

Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz

1084

- 1 Q Okay. And it indicates there apartment 1-A, but you
- 2 were one level above the ground level?
- 3 A One level above, yes.
- 4 Q You were shooting into what?
- 5 A Into the grassy area.
- 6 Q You were asked by Mr. Rosen questions about what was
- 7 said to you by Mr. Bressman about why he had the weapon.
- 8 And if you can clarify for the members of the jury: On
- **9** this occasion when the gun was malfunctioning and the
- 10 first time you saw the Ruger, what, if anything, did
- 11 Mr. Bressman tell you about this weapon?
- 12 A He said he had a job to do for Matty.
- 13 Q Mr. Rosen said that Mr. Bressman told you that he had
- **14** to kill somebody, during cross-examination from yesterday.
- 15 And you said something about misspeaking. Did he use the
- 16 word "kill" on that first page?
- 17 A He just said he had a job to do for Matty.
- 18 Q What did you understand "job" to mean, the fact that
- 19 he had his gun with him?
- 20 A What I understood the job to mean was that he had to
- 21 murder somebody, kill somebody.
- 22 Q So that was your understanding, that he didn't use
- 23 the word "kill" on that occasion; he just said he had a
- 24 job to do?
- 25 A Correct.

OWEN WICKER, RPR Official Court Reporter

1085

- 1 Q Did there come a time, though, that he specifically
- 2 said something about killing or murdering somebody?
- 3 A Yes. That's why I had put it together in my mind,
- 4 and I knew the whole story. That's why I came up with
- 5 that about the killing.
- 6 The day -- the morning the gun accidentally went
- 7 off, that's when they told me they had to carry out the
- 8 contract, the killing of Vinnie.
- **9 Q** What day was that?
 - A The early morning of the 18th of August of 2003.
- 11 Q That was several days, a week or more, after the gun
- 12 jamming incident when you were shooting out the window?
- 13 A Correct.

10

- 14 Q So there were two times when there is a reference to
- 15 Vinnie; is that correct?
- 16 A First time he said he had a job to do, and the second
- 17 time was when he said I had to kill Vinnie and was getting
- 18 paid to do it
- **19 Q** You mentioned the morning of August 18th and the gun
- 20 going off. Where was Justin Bressman when he was cleaning
- 21 the gun and it went off?
- 22 A He was sitting in the living room on the biggest
- 23 sofa.
- 24 Q The bigger sofa?
- 25 A Yes. There was a two-piece and a one-piece.

OWEN WICKER, RPR Official Court Reporter

Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz

1086

- 1 Q You are indicating the upper part of that diagram.
- 2 When the gun went off, where were you?
- 3 A I was in the kitchen with Leshawn.
- 4 Q You testified yesterday there was a -- on
- 5 cross-examination -- where was the book bag where that
- 6 came out of?
- 7 A I had my dirty laundry inside this closet.
 - MR. ROSEN: Judge, it's outside of cross. I
- 9 didn't go into it.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ:
- 12 Q Could you indicate with a letter or some sort of
- 13 designation for that closet?
- 14 A The letter E.
- 15 Q E?

8

- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q What was in that closet?
- 18 A My dirty laundry, and the book bag used on the day of
- 19 the murder.
- **20 Q** And you gave him the book bag, and then he left?
- 21 A No, I left with him. But before that, he had put the
- 22 pillowcase -- and he had gloves on, latex gloves. He put
- 23 the gun with the silencer in it, put it in the pillowcase,
- 24 tied it up into a knot. Put it inside the -- and put it
 25 into the field box, a black field box, and put an empty
 - OWEN WICKER, RPR

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 | Filed | 1 05/21/13Page 4 01 63 Page D #: |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz 4007 | | Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz #. |
| 1 | clip inside the field box also, inside the book bag. | 1 | involving the murder? |
| 2 | Q By the way, what is a field box? | 2 | A Yes. They asked me they knew I was there, and I |
| 3 | MR. ROSEN: Objection. Outside the scope of the | 3 | didn't deny it. They asked me what happened. |
| 4 | cross-examination. | 4 | I told them Justin killed him. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Please come up for a moment. | 5 | And they asked me, what else? |
| 6 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the | 6 | I really didn't want to get into it. I told him |
| 7 | question. | 7 | it was on the behest of his boss. |
| 8 | · | 8 | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Withdraw the question and move on. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: | 9 | They asked me what did his boss do? What type |
| | | | of job? |
| 10 | Q You were asked by Mr. Rosen to remove your | 10 | I told him it had to do with weightlifting. |
| 11 | sweatshirts and display some tattoos. You said one of | 11 | Q You did not give them the name of Synergy? |
| 12 | them was a tattoo with an angle over the grave site, and | 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | the other one was in memory or in honor of your daughter. | 13 | Q You said it had to do with weightlifting? |
| 14 | Whose grave site are you referring to? | 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | A The grave site of my father. | 15 | Q That was when? |
| 16 | Q And there were some letters or initials on your | 16 | A That was on the 17th the 17th or the 16th when I |
| 17 | daughter's tattoo, or the tattoo in memory or honor of | 17 | got arrested, when I went to central booking. |
| 18 | your daughter. What are the initials? | 18 | Q Of 2003? |
| 19 | A Just her first name and her middle name. And I have | 19 | A Of 2003. |
| 20 | one more for my mother (indicating). | 20 | Prior to seeing the judge on the gun charge, |
| 21 | Q Anything on those or any significance to those | 21 | they talked to me. |
| 22 | tattoos? | 22 | Q And what month? |
| 23 | A In honor of them, that's all. | 23 | A August of 2003 I mean September of 2003. |
| 24 | Q The statement with respect to the .22 caliber | 24 | Q So about a month after the murder? |
| 25 | revolver, you lied to the NYPD. Were you lying when you | 25 | A Correct. |
| | OWEN WICKER, RPR | | OWEN WICKER, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Amaday Dadiyaat/Miakiayia | | Amadau Dadinact/Mickiguian |
| | Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz | | Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz |
| 1 | 1088 | 1 | 1090 |
| 1 2 | 1088 said your father was killed by the gun? | 1 2 | Q You told this to the NYPD? |
| 1 2 3 | 1088 said your father was killed by the gun? A No. | 1 2 3 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. |
| 2 | 1088 said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were | 2 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, |
| 2 3 4 | aid your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? | 2 3 4 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? |
| 2 3 4 5 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. | 2 3 4 5 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that | 2 3 4 5 6 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. Q What did you tell them? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. Q What did you think would happen? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. Q What did you tell them? A They asked me if the .22 caliber pistol that I was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. Q What did you think would happen? A I thought I was going to get set up, that they would |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. Q What did you tell them? A They asked me if the .22 caliber pistol that I was carrying, arrested with, if it was the murder weapon. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. Q What did you think would happen? A I thought I was going to get set up, that they would kill me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. Q What did you tell them? A They asked me if the .22 caliber pistol that I was carrying, arrested with, if it was the murder weapon. Q What, if anything, did you tell them? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. Q What did you think would happen? A I thought I was going to get set up, that they would kill me. Q Why? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | said your father was killed by the gun? A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. Q What did you tell them? A They asked me if the .22 caliber pistol that I was carrying, arrested with, if it was the murder weapon. Q What, if anything, did you tell them? A I told them to take it, test it, and come back to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. Q What did you think would happen? A I thought I was going to get set up, that they would kill me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. Q What did you tell them? A They asked me if the .22 caliber pistol that I was carrying, arrested with, if it was the murder weapon. Q What, if anything, did you tell them? A I told them to take it, test it, and come back to speak to me; that I was positive it wasn't. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. Q What did you think would happen? A I thought I was going to get set up, that they would kill me. Q Why? A Because what I knew, and what Justin knew what I knew. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. Q What did you tell them? A They asked me if the .22 caliber pistol that I was carrying, arrested with, if it was the murder weapon. Q What, if anything, did you tell them? A I told them to take it, test it, and come back to speak to me; that I was positive it wasn't. Q Did you tell them anything else about what transpired | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. Q What did you think would happen? A I thought I was going to get set up, that they would kill me. Q Why? A Because what I knew, and what Justin knew what I knew. Q Finally, you were asked a bunch of questions |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A No. Q The fact that that really was your gun and you were in possession, you were lying to them about that, right? A Right. Q You ultimately did plead guilty to that. Is that what you testified about and you got seven years for? A Correct. Q You were asked about when you began cooperating with any law enforcement authority. On the day of your arrest, were you at some point brought to central booking in Manhattan? A Yes. Q Were you visited by Detectives Brzostek and Valentine Troll, T-R-O-L-L? A Yes. Q Were you interviewed by them? A Yes, sir. Q What did you tell them? A They asked me if the .22 caliber pistol that I was carrying, arrested with, if it was the murder weapon. Q What, if anything, did you tell them? A I told them to take it, test it, and come back to speak to me; that I was positive it wasn't. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q You told this to the NYPD? A NYPD officers. Q So your cooperation, as far as you are concerned, when did it begin in this matter? A My cooperation started from the beginning, but my lawyers talked me out of it in 2003. I copped out to seven years for the handgun, went upstairs, and went down in 2004, and I started cooperating. Q Mr. Rosen asked you why Mr. Bressman would bring you up to that Synergy in the east 90s. Do you remember those questions? A Yes. Q You indicated that at some point you believed that Justin bringing you up there was a ruse. I think you used the word "ruse." What did you mean by that? A It felt fishy. My sixth sense told me to get out of this in the situation. I didn't feel right. Q What did you think would happen? A I thought I was going to get set up, that they would kill me. Q Why? A Because what I knew, and what Justin knew what I knew. |

| Tregarding your taking a subway ride or taking the subway on August Friday. I want to ask you: Regardless of when that whole business about the transaction of the other guns took place, whether it was Friday or Saturday or some other day, were you sleeping in Campbell's apartment Friday into Saturday, Friday night the 15th into Saturday The Court: Please approach. Whereupon, at this time the following place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Didn't you go into this place at the numbers, the addresses. MR. MISKIEWICZ: On my direct, I do did. | 1093 |
|--|---|
| regarding your taking a subway ride or taking the subway on August Friday. I want to ask you: Regardless of when that whole business about the transaction of the other guns took place, whether it was Friday or Saturday or some other day, were you sleeping in Campbell's apartment Friday into Saturday, Friday night the 15th into Saturday MR. ROSEN: Judge, objection. (Whereupon, at this time the following place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Didn't you go into this into the numbers, the addresses. MR. MISKIEWICZ: On my direct, I do did. | 1033 |
| 2 on August Friday. 3 I want to ask you: Regardless of when that 4 whole business about the transaction of the other guns 5 took place, whether it was Friday or Saturday or some 6 other day, were you sleeping in Campbell's apartment 7 Friday into Saturday, Friday night the 15th into Saturday 8 the 16th? 2 THE COURT: Please approach. 3 (Whereupon, at this time the following 4 place at the sidebar.) 5 THE COURT: Didn't you go into this the numbers, the addresses. 7 MR. MISKIEWICZ: On my direct, I do 8 did. | |
| I want to ask you: Regardless of when that whole business about the transaction of the other guns took place, whether it was Friday or Saturday or some other day, were you sleeping in Campbell's apartment Friday into Saturday, Friday night the 15th into Saturday the 16th? (Whereupon, at this time the following place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Didn't you go into this into the numbers, the addresses. MR. MISKIEWICZ: On my direct, I do did. | |
| 4 whole business about the transaction of the other guns 5 took place, whether it was Friday or Saturday or some 6 other day, were you sleeping in Campbell's apartment 7 Friday into Saturday, Friday night the 15th into Saturday 8 the 16th? 4 place at the sidebar.) 5 THE COURT: Didn't you go into this 6 the numbers, the addresses. 7 MR. MISKIEWICZ: On my direct, I do 8 did. | took |
| took place, whether it was Friday or Saturday or some other day, were you sleeping in Campbell's apartment Friday into Saturday, Friday night the 15th into Saturday the numbers, the addresses. MR. MISKIEWICZ: On my direct, I do did. | , 100.1 |
| 6 other day, were you sleeping in Campbell's apartment 7 Friday into Saturday, Friday night the 15th into Saturday 8 the 16th? 6 the numbers, the addresses. 7 MR. MISKIEWICZ: On my direct, I do 8 did. | oreviously. |
| 7 Friday into Saturday, Friday night the 15th into Saturday 8 the 16th? 7 MR. MISKIEWICZ: On my direct, I do 8 did. | , |
| 8 the 16th? 8 did. | n't believe I |
| | |
| 9 A No. I was at my daughter's house. 9 THE COURT: At some point how d | id you get |
| 10 Q Is there any reason why you have a specific memory of 10 this in? | , 0 |
| 11 that? 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: It was part of the | stipulation. |
| 12 A Because I used to do that at times to take a shared 12 THE COURT: And your objection, yo | u didn't go |
| 13 ride with my daughter's mother. Because they had a 13 into it? | |
| 14 program on 25th Street, and I would share a cab, and she | touch the |
| 15 would go to work. 15 records, the phone numbers. I asked him one | question: |
| 16 Q The program you are referring to is the methadone 16 Did he have a cell phone. | |
| 17 program? 17 THE COURT: Wasn't there some que | estions about |
| 18 A On 25th Street, correct. 18 the land line and the calls? | |
| 19 Q A substance abuse program? 19 MR. ROSEN: No, that was from the g | gym, the 23rd |
| 20 A Substance abuse program. 20 Street gym. | |
| 21 Q That was Saturday morning? 21 THE COURT: What is your response | ? |
| 22 A Saturday mornings I would go there and specifically 22 MR. MISKIEWICZ: A series of cross- | examination |
| 23 pick up my medication. 23 questions about whether or not you recall seein | - |
| 24 Q You shared a ride with your wife? 24 Bressman using a land line or cell phone at Lea | |
| 25 A Ex-wife. 25 Campbell's apartment. It tangentially goes on | to the |
| OWEN WICKER, RPR OWEN WICKER, RPR | |
| Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter | |
| Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz | 1094 |
| 1 Q Where would she be going on the Saturday morning? 1 attack of his memory about could he have been | |
| 2 A She would drop me off and go en route to her job. 2 subway and where he was this particular night. | |
| 3 Q Is that why you have a specific recollection to that 3 We've had conversations earlier today | |
| 4 nine years later, almost? 4 is what this whole thing is about. It's about who | |
| 5 A Yes. 5 making this phone call Friday into Saturday fro | |
| 6 Q That you were not sleeping in Campbell's apartment on 6 Leshawn Campbell apartment to the defendant | |
| | |
| 7 Friday night? 7 THE COURT: Right. | |
| , , | three |
| , , | |
| 8 A Yes. 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be | |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 9 people: either Mr. Amador and for some rea | son, he's |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or | son, he's artment. |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the approximation of the people in the peop | son, he's artment. nt in this |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be 9 people: either Mr. Amador and for some rea 10 lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the ap 12 And I suspect we'll move at some poin | son, he's artment. nt in this |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be 9 people: either Mr. Amador and for some rea 10 lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the ap 12 ELMO? 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be 15 people: either Mr. Amador and for some rea 16 lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 17 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the ap 18 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be 19 people: either Mr. Amador and for some rea 10 lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the ap 12 And I suspect we'll move at some poin 13 case, you know, perhaps some business recor | son, he's artment. nt in this ds why the |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 12 ELMO? 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the appropriate to the people in the people | son, he's artment. nt in this ds why the re is no |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 12 ELMO? 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, VZ-1. 15 THE COURT: VZ. All right. 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the approximately approxim | son, he's eartment. In this It in this It in this It is the It is no |
| 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be 9 people: either Mr. Amador and for some rea 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 10 lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 12 ELMO? 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the ap 12 And I suspect we'll move at some poin 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, VZ-1. 15 THE COURT: VZ. All right. 16 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: 16 I may publish it on the 17 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the ap 18 Case, you know, perhaps some business recorn 19 Subway went back into service. 19 Whatever his memory is, it is, but the 19 question there was a phone call from the defer | son, he's artment. In tin this ds why the re is no adant to the st 18. |
| 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application of the MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the ELMO? 10 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the ELMO? 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application of the people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application of the people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application of the people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application of the people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application of the people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application of the people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application of the people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. | son, he's artment. In this ds why the re is no Idant to the st 18. new can of |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 12 ELMO? 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, VZ-1. 15 THE COURT: VZ. All right. 16 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: 17 Q Sir, I will show you in evidence VZ-1. 18 Can you see what it says on the first page of 19 VZ-1? 20 A Yes. That is Leshawn's name and the address. 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 10 lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application that it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application. So it's who he is calling from the application. So it's who he is calling Bressman or 12 And I suspect we'll move at some point case, you know, perhaps some business recorn subway went back into service. 13 Whatever his memory is, it is, but the question there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion | son, he's artment. In tin this ds why the re is no Idant to the st 18. new can of |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 12 ELMO? 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, VZ-1. 15 THE COURT: VZ. All right. 16 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: 17 Q Sir, I will show you in evidence VZ-1. 18 Can you see what it says on the first page of 19 VZ-1? 20 A Yes. That is Leshawn's name and the address. 21 Q That is the address where you lived? 3 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application. 21 And I suspect we'll move at some point case, you know, perhaps some business recorn subway went back into service. 21 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. 21 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. So it's who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. So it's who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the application. The soull is who he is calling from the applicatio | son, he's artment. In tin this ds why the re is no Idant to the st 18. new can of |
| 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or It and I suspect we'll move at some point and I suspect we'll move at some point and I substantially we'll move at some point and I suspect we'll move at some point and I suspect we'll move at some point and I suspect we'll move at some point and I substantially we'll move at some point assubway went back into service. 15 THE COURT: VZ. All right. 16 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: 17 Q Sir, I will show you in evidence VZ-1. 18 Can you see what it says on the first page of worms. I stayed away from these records. 19 Worms. I stayed away from these records. 19 Worms. I stayed away from these records. 20 THE COURT: These are in evidence MR. ROSEN: I know that. But I didn't it on cross. He had, on direct, the opportunity. | son, he's artment. In this ds why the re is no Idant to the st 18. new can of t go into |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 12 ELMO? 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, VZ-1. 15 THE COURT: VZ. All right. 16 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: 17 Q Sir, I will show you in evidence VZ-1. 18 Can you see what it says on the first page of 19 VZ-1? 20 A Yes. That is Leshawn's name and the address. 21 Q That is the address where you lived? 22 A Correct. 23 Q 525 Franklin D. Roosevelt Drive, Manhattan? 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the apple worms. I stayed kinto service. 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the apple worms who he is calling from the apple you lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Intermediate into evidence and it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Intermediate into evidence and it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Intermediate into evidence and it is appleable into a | son, he's artment. In this ds why the re is no Idant to the st 18. new can of t go into |
| 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real some point of the people as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 10 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the mand the address. 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the approach of the people and I suspect we'll move at some point of the people and I suspect we'll | son, he's artment. In this ds why the re is no Idant to the st 18. Inew can of It go into rept about the |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 12 ELMO? 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, VZ-1. 15 THE COURT: VZ. All right. 16 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: 17 Q Sir, I will show you in evidence VZ-1. 18 Can you see what it says on the first page of 19 VZ-1? 20 A Yes. That is Leshawn's name and the address. 21 Q That is the address where you lived? 22 A Correct. 23 Q 525 Franklin D. Roosevelt Drive, Manhattan? 24 A Correct. 25 Q I want to turn to a page 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some real people: either Mr. Amador and for some real people: either Mr. Amador and for some real lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the appearance in lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the appearance and I suspect we'll move at some point asset you know, perhaps some business recornsubve at subway went back into service. 18 MR. MISKIEWICZ: And it can only be people: either Mr. Amador and for some read to lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the appearance and I suspect we'll move at some point appearance and I suspect we'll move at some point appearance and I suspect we'll move at some point appearance and I suspect we'll move at some point appearance and I suspect we'll move at some point appearance and I suspect we'll move at some point appearance and I suspect we'll move at some point appearance and I suspect | son, he's artment. In this ds why the re is no Idant to the st 18. Inew can of It go into rept about the |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q I will show you what has been admitted into evidence 10 as Government's Exhibit VZ-1. 11 MR. MISKIEWICZ: If I may publish it on the 12 ELMO? 13 THE COURT: Is it in evidence? 14 MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, VZ-1. 15 THE COURT: VZ. All right. 16 BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: 17 Q Sir, I will show you in evidence VZ-1. 18 Can you see what it says on the first page of 19 VZ-1? 20 A Yes. That is Leshawn's name and the address. 21 Q That is the address where you lived? 22 A Correct. 23 Q 525 Franklin D. Roosevelt Drive, Manhattan? 24 A Correct. 31 Diying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 10 lying about it, but he's still calling Bressman or 11 Tarantino. So it's who he is calling from the approached at suspect we'll move at some point case, you know, perhaps some business recornumbers are subway went back into service. 16 Q asse, you know, perhaps some business recornumbers are subway went back into service. 17 Whatever his memory is, it is, but therefore question there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the deferring place the murderer left on the morning of Augustion there was a phone call from the de | son, he's artment. In this ds why the re is no Idant to the st 18. Inew can of It go into rept about the |

| | | Filed | 1 05/21/13 Page 6 of 83 Page D#: Amador - Redirect/Misklewicz |
|--|--|--|---|
| | 4009 | | 1097 |
| 1 | cell phone. I didn't go into did he make phone calls, | 1 | Justin Bressman make a cell phone call to anyone from |
| 2 | Justin Bressman, from the land line at Leshawn Campbell's | 2 | Leshawn Campbell's apartment? |
| 3 | home. I did not. | 3 | Answer: No. |
| 4 | If he can show me it, I'll take it back and | 4 | Question: When you never saw him in August. |
| 5 | apologize. | 5 | I'm talking about withdrawn. |
| 6 | THE COURT: You have to keep your voice down, | 6 | Did you ever see Justin Bressman with a cell |
| 7 | okay? | 7 | phone in August 2003? |
| 8 | MR. ROSEN: I did not go into that area | 8 | Answer: No. |
| 9 | purposely. | 9 | Question: How did he communicate with people |
| 10 | THE COURT: Whether you did it purposely or | 10 | during his various stays at Leshawn Campbell's apartment? |
| 11 | without thought, it is still the same thing. | 11 | By the phone? |
| 12 | MR. ROSEN: I didn't do it. | 12 | Answer: He used Leshawn's phone, the house |
| 13 | THE COURT: Whether there is a fair amount of | 13 | phone. |
| 14 | cross-examination on cell phones and land lines from the | 14 | Question: So it's your testimony under oath |
| 15 | apartment. | 15 | today that the only time you ever saw Justin Bressman use |
| 16 | MR. ROSEN: Not from me. Unless we can see it. | 16 | a phone was using Leshawn Campbell's phone? |
| 17 | Unless I can see it. If he can show me something, I'll | 17 | Answer: Probably. |
| 18 | take it back. | 18 | There was an objection: Asked and answered. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Do you have any specific | 19 | Then we have a whole lot of colloquy about |
| 20 | recollection? | 20 | making a call to Justin Bressman. |
| 21 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: I do. | 21 | Turn the page. |
| 22 | THE COURT: I do recall a fair amount of | 22 | THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Rosen says: I didn't hear |
| 23 | cross-examination. I can go back now and pull it up. | 23 | "probably." That's what the court reporter and I heard. |
| 24 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: I do have a recollection; | 24 | But in any event, I thought he said he did not |
| 25 | that's why I'm going into it. And I would need a minute | 25 | see him use any other phone other than the land line in |
| | OWEN WICKER, RPR | | OWEN WICKER, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz | | Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz |
| | | | |
| | 1096 | | 1098 |
| 1 | 1096 to look it up. | 1 | 1098 Leshawn's apartment. |
| 2 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up | 2 | 1098 Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement |
| 2 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. | 2 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. |
| 2 3 4 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? | 2 3 4 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: |
| 2 3 4 5 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. | 2 3 4 5 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) | 2 3 4 5 6 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the transcript on 1011. I asked him about the cell phone, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. THE COURT: You are asking the question to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the transcript on 1011. I asked him about the cell phone, what phone did he use. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. THE COURT: You are asking the question to solicit phone use. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the transcript on 1011. I asked him about the cell phone, what phone did he use. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It begins earlier. It begins | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. THE COURT: You are asking the question to solicit phone use. MR. ROSEN: I'm sorry, your Honor, I did not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the transcript on 1011. I asked him about the cell phone, what phone did he use. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It begins earlier. It begins on page 1010. I don't have the line numbers here. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. THE COURT: You are asking the question to solicit phone use. MR. ROSEN: I'm sorry, your Honor, I did not open the door to this. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the transcript on 1011. I asked him about the cell phone, what phone did he use. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It begins earlier. It begins on page 1010. I don't have the line numbers here. But: Did you know when Justin Bressman's phone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. THE COURT: You are asking the question to solicit phone use. MR. ROSEN: I'm sorry, your Honor, I did not open the door to this. THE COURT: Your objection is overruled. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the transcript on 1011. I asked him about the cell phone, what phone did he use. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It begins earlier. It begins on page 1010. I don't have the line numbers here. But: Did you know when Justin Bressman's phone was turned off by his cell phone company? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. THE COURT: You are asking the question to solicit phone use. MR. ROSEN: I'm sorry, your Honor, I did not open the door to this. THE COURT: Your objection is overruled. (End of sidebar conference.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the transcript on 1011. I asked him about the cell phone, what phone did he use. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It begins earlier. It begins on page 1010. I don't have the line numbers here. But: Did you know when Justin Bressman's phone was turned off by his cell phone company? Answer: I never knew he had a cell phone. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. THE COURT: You are asking the question to solicit phone use. MR. ROSEN: I'm sorry, your Honor, I did not open the door to this. THE COURT: Your objection is overruled. (End of sidebar conference.) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Mr. Amador, showing you a page from VZ-1. If you OWEN WICKER, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | to look it up. THE COURT: Take a moment, and I'll look it up as well. And you have a copy of the transcript? MR. ROSEN: I do. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, are you looking for that item? MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Unfortunately, I can't get it up on my screen. So if you can find that, Counsel. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you want to approach on that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) MR. ROSEN: The only two questions here's the transcript on 1011. I asked him about the cell phone, what phone did he use. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It begins earlier. It begins on page 1010. I don't have the line numbers here. But: Did you know when Justin Bressman's phone was turned off by his cell phone company? Answer: I never knew he had a cell phone. Question: In your presence, you never saw | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Leshawn's apartment. Not probably; he didn't. That's your statement at sidebar, Mr. Rosen. Continuing with Mr. Rosen's statement: That is why I want it cleared up, and that's why we're having the sidebar. I'd like to ask the question again. The Court: What is the question you are attempting to ask him? Mr. Rosen: Was the only phone that he saw Justin Bressman use was the land line in Leshawn Campbell's apartment. MR. ROSEN: Right. I didn't go into anything about the phone calls. I didn't go into the contents, the phone numbers. This is what he wants to go into. Let him ask the question "Did you see him use it on that day?" and that's it. THE COURT: You are asking the question to solicit phone use. MR. ROSEN: I'm sorry, your Honor, I did not open the door to this. THE COURT: Your objection is overruled. (End of sidebar conference.) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Mr. Amador, showing you a page from VZ-1. If you |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Amador - Redirect/Miskiewicz | Filed | 105/21/13 Page 7 of 83 PageID #: Amador - Recross/Rosen |
|--|--|---|---|
| | 4010 1099 | | 1101 |
| 1 | look down the heading of this column, it is Y-R-M-O-D-Y, | 1 | A No, sir. |
| 2 | O30816. | 2 | Q Do you know whether or not Justin Bressman bought |
| 3 | Assuming that is August 16th of '03 do you | 3 | drugs from Peter Sanchez? |
| 4 | see that? Do you see that? | 4 | A I don't know who this person is, sir. |
| 5 | A Yes, sir. | 5 | Q You don't know Marty Matulis; you don't know Peter |
| 6 | Q The third entry down, 12:18 a.m. Do you see that? | 6 | Sanchez? |
| 7 | A Yes. | 7 | A No, sir. |
| 8 | Q This would have been August 16th, Saturday, 2003, a | 8 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Asked and answered. |
| 9 | little after midnight, early morning hours. | 9 | BY MR. ROSEN: |
| 10 | Do you see where we are? | 10 | Q Your next call at the same time goes from the |
| 11 | A Yes, sir. | 11 | apartment to Mr. Tarantino. |
| 12 | Q Okay. There is a stipulation of evidence that the | 12 | How long was that phone call for, if you know, |
| 13 | number 646-739-3560 was used by the defendant, Christian | 13 | from that chart? |
| 14 | G. Tarantino, in August of 2003. | 14 | A I can't tell. |
| 15 | Did you call Christian G. Tarantino at | 15 | Q Can you tell? |
| 16 | 12:18 a.m. on August 16, 2003, from Leshawn Campbell's | 16 | A I can't tell. |
| 17 | apartment? | 17 | Q Secondly, the next number, called at 12:18, exactly |
| 18 | A I didn't know him. No. | 18 | in the same minute that Mr. Tarantino's phone was called, |
| 19 | Q Okay. The early morning hours of Saturday, | 19 | do you know whose phone number that is? |
| 20 | August 16th, you were sleeping where? | 20 | A What time are you talking about? |
| 21 | A In my daughter's house. | 21 | Q At 12:18 in the morning. |
| 22 | Q Do you know if Leshawn Campbell, your roommate, ever | 22 | A No. |
| 23 | knew a man by the name of Christian G. Tarantino? | 23 | Q I'm sorry, 12:22. |
| 24 | A No. | 24 | A I don't know whose phone. |
| 25 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. | 25 | Q You don't know whose phone number that is? |
| | OWEN WICKER, RPR | | OWEN WICKER, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Amador - Recross/Rosen | | Amador - Recross/Rosen |
| | 4400 | | 4400 |
| 4 | MD DOSEN: Can you leave that up there places? | 4 | 1102 |
| 1 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? | 1 | A No, sir. |
| 2 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. | 1 2 3 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. |
| 3 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. | 3 | A No, sir.Q That is Sammy Sotto.Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, |
| 2 3 4 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION | 3 4 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: | 3 4 5 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, | 3 4 5 6 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, | 3 4 5 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at | 3 4 5 6 7 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis? A No. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman about Matty Roth telling him to kill Vinnie Gargiulo for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis involved in drug Did you ever see Marty Matulis involved in drug | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman about Matty Roth telling him to kill Vinnie Gargiulo for \$35,000 took place after you had smoked crack with him for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis? A No. Q Did you ever see Marty Matulis involved in drug dealing with Justine Bressman? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman about Matty Roth telling him to kill Vinnie Gargiulo for \$35,000 took place after you had smoked crack with him for two days, correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis? A No. Q Did you ever see Marty Matulis involved in drug dealing with Justine Bressman? A I don't know who Marty Matulis is. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman about Matty Roth telling him to kill Vinnie Gargiulo for \$35,000 took place after you had smoked crack with him for two days, correct? A Right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis? A No. Q Did you ever see Marty Matulis involved in drug dealing with Justine Bressman? A I don't know who Marty Matulis is. Q The second call goes to one Peter Sanchez. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman about Matty Roth telling him to kill Vinnie Gargiulo for \$35,000 took place after you had smoked crack with him for two days, correct? A Right. Q The prosecutor talked about the wings on your right |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis? A No. Q Did you ever see Marty Matulis involved in drug dealing with Justine Bressman? A I don't know who Marty Matulis is. Q The second call goes to one Peter Sanchez. Do you know who Peter Sanchez is? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman about Matty Roth telling him to kill Vinnie Gargiulo for \$35,000 took place after you had smoked crack with him for two days, correct? A Right. Q The prosecutor talked about the wings on your right arm, and the jury had an opportunity to see those wings. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis? A No. Q Did you ever see Marty Matulis involved in drug dealing with Justine Bressman? A I don't know who Marty Matulis is. Q The second call goes to one Peter Sanchez. Do you know who Peter Sanchez is? OWEN WICKER, RPR | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman about Matty Roth telling him to kill Vinnie Gargiulo for \$35,000 took place after you had smoked crack with him for two days, correct? A Right. Q The prosecutor talked about the wings on your right arm, and the jury had an opportunity to see those wings. OWEN WICKER, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: Can you leave that up there, please? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Certainly. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN: Q Mr. Amador, referring to the same exhibit, there is, if you can see in succession, a call at 12:17, 12:18, another call at 12:18, a call at 12:22, and a call at 12:23, and a call at 12:30. Do you see that on the same exhibit? A Yes, sir. Q The call at 12:17 from Leshawn Campbell's apartment went to Marty Matulis. Do you know who Marty Matulis is? A No, sir. Q He's his friend from Sunnyside. Do you remember that? A No. Q Do you know whether or not he, Justin Bressman, bought drugs from Marty Matulis? A No. Q Did you ever see Marty Matulis involved in drug dealing with Justine Bressman? A I don't know who Marty Matulis is. Q The second call goes to one Peter Sanchez. Do you know who Peter Sanchez is? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A No, sir. Q That is Sammy Sotto. Do you know whether or not Mr. Sotto, Mr. Sanchez, Mr. Matulis, are all known drug dealers in the city of New York and the people that he used to cop drugs from for Mr. Bressman? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A I don't know, sir. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did anybody from the Government show you those phone numbers and tell you whose numbers they were before you got on the stand today? A No, sir. Q You smoked crack with Justin Bressman the weekend before the murder on August the 18th, correct? A Right. Q And this phone call withdrawn. This conversation you had with Mr. Bressman about Matty Roth telling him to kill Vinnie Gargiulo for \$35,000 took place after you had smoked crack with him for two days, correct? A Right. Q The prosecutor talked about the wings on your right arm, and the jury had an opportunity to see those wings. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 Amador - Recross/Rosen | Filed | 1 05/21/13 Page 8 of 83 Page D # Amador - Purther Redirect/Miskiewicz |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Amador - Recross/Rosen 4011 | | Amador - Further Redirect/Wiskiewicz |
| 1 | | 1 | MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. |
| 2 | How large of a tattoo is it? A Takes up my arm. | 2 | THE COURT: Anything else? |
| 3 | • • | 3 | FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 4 | Q Takes up most of the top part of your arm, correct? A Correct. | 4 | BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: |
| 5 | Q Between your elbow and your shoulder blade? | 5 | Q What tee shirt were you wearing? |
| | A No, between here and here. It comes up to here | 6 | A I had a tee shirt that had an outline of a weapon, of |
| 6 | • | 7 | |
| 7 | (indicating). | | a gun. |
| 8 | Q So it's about five, six inches? A Yes. | 8 | And what did the logo or description say? |
| 9 | A Yes. Q And what color is it? | 9 | A The tee shirt was the outline of a .9 mm Beretta, and |
| 10 | | 10 11 | it says, "Nine reasons to keep your mouth shut." |
| 11 | A It's in green and black, light blue, yellow. | 12 | Any reason why you remember that? |
| 12 | Q It's a pretty vivid picture of wings. The guy that | | A Because the next day when Justin called me and told |
| 13 | did it did an excellent job, did he not? | 13 | me to go buy the newspaper, after he cursed me out on the |
| 14 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. | 14 | phone, I went to purchase the newspaper. And ironically, |
| 15 | | 15 | the guy at the counter asked me: Why is it nine reasons |
| 16 | BY MR. ROSEN: | 16 | to keep your mouth shut? |
| 17 | Q The wings are colorful, correct? | 17 | Because it's like an x-ray of the weapon. The |
| 18 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: We'll stipulate to the color of | 18 | clip is loaded. Had more than nine rounds in it, and he |
| 19 | the wings. | 19 | was counting the bullets. |
| 20 | THE COURT: That is not necessary. Let him | 20 | It stuck in my head. I am telling you, I |
| 21 | answer the question. | 21 | couldn't even put this in a book. |
| 22 | BY MR. ROSEN: | 22 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. |
| 23 | Q The wings are colorful, are they not? | 23 | THE COURT: Anything else? |
| 24 | A Yes, sir. | 24 | MR. ROSEN: No, your Honor. |
| 25 | And on the morning of the murder of Vincent Gargiulo, | 25 | THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. Amador. |
| | OWEN WICKER, RPR | | OWEN WICKER, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter Amador - Further Redirect/Miskiewicz |
| | Amador - Recross/Rosen | | Amador - Further Redirect/Miskiewicz |
| | 4404 | | 1106 |
| 1 | 1104 | | 1106 |
| 1 | what type of shirt were you wearing? | 1 | If counsel will approach before I make other |
| 2 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. | 2 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. |
| 2 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would | 2 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took |
| 3 4 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? | 2 3 4 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) |
| 2 3 4 5 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. | 2 3 4 5 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? | 2 3 4 5 6 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can I have a moment? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can I have a moment? THE COURT: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can I have a moment? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSEN: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. JEFF SALTA, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can I have a moment? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Sir, were you wearing a white tee shirt and black | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. JEFF SALTA, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Detective Jeff Salta, S-A-L-T-A, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can I have a moment? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Sir, were you wearing a white tee shirt and black jeans on the morning of August 18th? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. JEFF SALTA, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Detective Jeff Salta, S-A-L-T-A, Shield 934, presently assigned to Manhattan South |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can I have a moment? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Sir, were you wearing a white tee shirt and black jeans on the morning of August 18th? A No. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. JEFF SALTA, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Detective Jeff Salta, S-A-L-T-A, Shield 934, presently assigned to Manhattan South Homicide. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can I have a moment? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Sir, were you wearing a white tee shirt and black jeans on the morning of August 18th? A No. OWEN WICKER, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. JEFF SALTA, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Detective Jeff Salta, S-A-L-T-A, Shield 934, presently assigned to Manhattan South Homicide. Owen M. Wicker, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | what type of shirt were you wearing? A I had a tee shirt on. Q The tee shirt would have exposed that tattoo, would it not? A No. Q It would not? A No, sir. Q So you're saying under oath today that the tattoo that was on your right arm, at the time when your arm would have been extended, would not have shown the tattoo? A For one, my arm was never extended. Two, it never was in my hand or in my possession. And the tee shirt came down lower than the tattoo. Actually, this shirt would cover the tattoo. Q Do you have the tee shirt on now? A No, but I have a collection of it, sir. Q What color was the tee shirt you were wearing that day? A Light blue. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can I have a moment? THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Sir, were you wearing a white tee shirt and black jeans on the morning of August 18th? A No. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | If counsel will approach before I make other arrangements. (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Do you want me to have the jury step out? MR. ROSEN: For what? THE COURT: He's in custody. I don't think there is any issue, but I want to ask you. MR. ROSEN: You mean for Amador? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: No. THE COURT: Who is your next witness? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Detective Salta. (End of sidebar conference.) THE COURT: The Government will call their next witness, Detective Salta. JEFF SALTA, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Detective Jeff Salta, S-A-L-T-A, Shield 934, presently assigned to Manhattan South Homicide. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-15 Document 436-2 | Tiled | 1 05/21/13 Page 9 of 83 PageID #: Salta Direct/Miskiewicz |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Salta - Diřect/Miskiewicz 4012 | | 1109 |
| 1 | | 1 | A In front of the Synergy Gym. |
| 2 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 2 | Q What, if anything, did you say to him? |
| 3 | BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: | 3 | A I introduced myself to him and explained to him that |
| 4 | Q Good morning, Detective Salta. | 4 | we were investigating the death of Vincent Gargiulo. |
| 5 | A Good morning. | 5 | He told me he heard about Vinnie from reading |
| 6 | Q Detective Salta, how long have you been with the | 6 | something in the newspaper. |
| 7 | NYPD? | 7 | I then asked him if he could come back with us |
| 8 | A Twenty-eight years. | 8 | to the midtown south detective squad to answer some |
| 9 | Q And when did you first make detective? | 9 | questions. |
| 0 | A 1999. | 10 | Q What, if anything, did he say? |
| 11 | Q In August of 2003, were you assigned to homicide | 11 | A He agreed, and at first he said he wanted to check in |
| 2 | investigation in the midtown south? | 12 | to work, to make sure to let them know that he was |
| 3 | A Yes. | 13 | coming to us. |
| 4 | Q And specifically what homicide investigation were you | 14 | Q Did he go to his place of employment? |
| 5 | assigned? | 15 | A Yes, he did. |
| 6 | A Case of Vincent Gargiulo. | 16 | Q Did he come back out? |
| 7 | Q Were you working with any other detectives at that | 17 | A Yeah. |
| 8 | time? | 18 | Q And where, if anywhere, did you go after that? |
| 9 | A Yes. | 19 | A We took him back to the midtown south squad. |
| 20 | Q Who? | 20 | Q When you say "we," you mean you and Detective |
| 21 | A Specifically, Detective Brzostek. | 21 | Brzostek? |
| 22 | Q Detective Salta, if you could lean forward towards | 22 | A Yes. |
| 3 | the microphone. It's not very good with range. | 23 | Q When you got there, did you begin to interview |
| | | | Mr. Bressman? |
| 4 | Did there come a time, as part of your | 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | investigation, you attempted to interview an individual by | 25 | |
| | Owen M. Wicker, RPR | | Owen M. Wicker, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Salta - Direct/Miskiewicz 1108 | | Salta - Direct/Miskiewicz 1110 |
| 1 | the name of Justin Bressman? | 1 | Q Did you begin to interview Mr. Bressman about the |
| 2 | A Yes. | 2 | murder of Vincent Gargiulo? |
| - | Q Do you recall the date that you actually encountered | 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Mr. Bressman and interviewed him? | 4 | Q Without stating what he said to you, did there come a |
| 5 | A August 21, 2003. | 5 | time that the interview was suddenly halted? |
| 6 | Q Where first of all, who were you with when you had | 6 | - |
| _ | this encounter? | | • |
| 7 | | 7 | |
| 8 | A Detective Brzostek. | 8 | A I was informed by my immediate supervisor, Sergeant |
| 9 | Where were you? | 9 | Scantlebury, there was a |
| 0 | A In front of 43 West 23rd Street. | 10 | THE WITNESS: May I look at my notes? THE COURT: Looking at your notes to get the |
| 1 | Q Was there a particular business or residence or some | 11 | THE COURT, TOOKING ST AOUL DOJES TO GET THE |
| | ather leading that you were at at that the Co | | |
| | other location that you were at at that address? | 12 | spelling? |
| 3 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. | 12 13 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. |
| 3 4 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym.Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or | 12 13 14 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. |
| 3 4 5 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym.Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? | 12 13 14 15 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: |
| 3 4 5 6 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. | 12 13 14 15 16 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? | 12 13 14 15 16 17 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A black Infiniti four-door sedan. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A A black Infiniti four-door sedan. Q How did you know it was Justin Bressman in that black | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. Q Did he identify himself? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A A black Infiniti four-door sedan. Q How did you know it was Justin Bressman in that black Infiniti four-door sedan, if you know? | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. Q Did he identify himself? A Yes, he did. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A A black Infiniti four-door sedan. Q How did you know it was Justin Bressman in that black Infiniti four-door sedan, if you know? A Because I viewed a mug shot photo previously. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. Q Did he identify himself? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A A black Infiniti four-door sedan. Q How did you know it was Justin Bressman in that black Infiniti four-door sedan, if you know? | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. Q Did he identify himself? A Yes, he did. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A A black Infiniti four-door sedan. Q How did you know it was Justin Bressman in that black Infiniti four-door sedan, if you know? A Because I viewed a mug shot photo previously. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. Q Did he identify himself? A Yes, he did. Q And what name did he give? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 21 22 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A A black Infiniti four-door sedan. Q How did you know it was Justin Bressman in that black Infiniti four-door sedan, if you know? A Because I viewed a mug shot photo previously. Q Did you at some point enter or attempt to speak to | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. Q Did he identify himself? A Yes, he did. Q And what name did he give? A Mel Roth. Q What, if anything, did Mr. Roth say or ask of you? |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A A black Infiniti four-door sedan. Q How did you know it was Justin Bressman in that black Infiniti four-door sedan, if you know? A Because I viewed a mug shot photo previously. Q Did you at some point enter or attempt to speak to Mr. Bressman? | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. Q Did he identify himself? A Yes, he did. Q And what name did he give? A Mel Roth. Q What, if anything, did Mr. Roth say or ask of you? |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | A Yes. By the Synergy Gym. Q Did you see Mr. Bressman arrive at that location, or was he already there when you arrived? A We saw him arrive. Q What did he arrive in? A A black Infiniti four-door sedan. Q How did you know it was Justin Bressman in that black Infiniti four-door sedan, if you know? A Because I viewed a mug shot photo previously. Q Did you at some point enter or attempt to speak to Mr. Bressman? A Yes. | 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | spelling? MR. MISKIEWICZ: 3500 JS-1. THE WITNESS: S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Salta, so did you speak to this individual who claimed to be an attorney on the phone? A Yes, I did. Q Did he identify himself? A Yes, he did. Q And what name did he give? A Mel Roth. Q What, if anything, did Mr. Roth say or ask of you? A He said that he represents Justin Bressman and that I |

| Cas | se 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | d 05/21/13 Page 10 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 4013 | | 1113 |
| 1 Q | What, if anything, did you do with that information? | 1 | • |
| 2 A | | 2 | |
| | • | | • |
| _ | re voluntarily and that he wants to speak with us. | 3 | 0 0 |
| 4 Q | 3 | 4 | |
| | en moved into evidence as RG-2. | 5 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| 6 | Do you recognize that photo? | 6 | that he was talking to the police, his life would be in |
| 7 A | Yes, I do. Justin Bressman. | 7 | jeopardy. |
| 8 Q | That's the individual you were interviewing that day? | 8 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. |
| 9 A | Yes, it is. | 9 | THE COURT: Cross-examination. |
| 10 Q | August 21st? | 10 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 11 A | Yes. | 11 | BY MR. ROSEN: |
| 2 Q | 2003? | 12 | Q When you first got to the Synergy Gym on West 23rd |
| 3 A | Yes. | 13 | Street, what time in the morning was it? |
| 4 Q | So after you told Mr. Mel Roth, or Melvyn Roth, this | 14 | A Approximately 10:30 a.m. |
| 5 info | ormation, what, if anything, happened next? | 15 | Q And Mr. Bressman was not there, correct? |
| 6 A | He said he didn't care and that I should stop talking | 16 | _ |
| | him immediately. | 17 | _ |
| | What did you do? | 18 | |
| | I asked him if he wanted to speak with him, and he | 19 | |
| | id, yes, he did. | 20 | |
| 0 sai 1 | | 21 | |
| | I went back into the interview room, asked | | Q And you identified yourselves as police officers? |
| | . Bressman did he contact an attorney. | 22 | |
| 3 | He said, no, he did not. | 23 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| 4 | And I said, you are free to leave at any time. | 24 | _ |
| 5 | He said, yes, I know. I'm here to help you. | 25 | |
| | Owen M. Wicker, RPR | | Owen M. Wicker, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Salta - Direct/Miskiewicz | | Salta - Cross/Rosen |
| | 1112 | | 1114 |
| 1 | I said, there is an attorney on the phone by the | 1 | Department; you have a badge; you want to speak to Justin |
| 2 na | me of Mel Roth. Do you know him? | 2 | |
| 3 | I know him, but he doesn't represent me. | 3 | 5 63 |
| 4 | I asked him if he wants to speak with him on the | 4 | afraid to go back into the gym because he doesn't want |
| 5 ph | one, and he said yes. | 5 | people to know that he's talking to the New York City |
| 6 Q | Did he speak with Mr. Roth? | 6 | Police Department? |
| 7 A | Yes. | 7 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 8 Q | He said he did not call Mr. Roth, and Mr. Roth, | 8 | BY MR. ROSEN: |
| 9 alth | hough he knew him, didn't represent him. | 9 | Q Is that your testimony under oath today? |
| 0 | Did you say anything like that to Mr. Roth? | 10 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 1 A | Yes. | 11 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 2 Q | What if anything did Mr. Roth say? | 12 | BY MR. ROSEN: |
| 3 A | He said that I should stop talking to him. | 13 | Q You just told us Justin Bressman told you to leave |
| _ | And did Mr. Roth indicate at all who or why he was | 14 | |
| 4 Q | | 15 | |
| - | lling, given that Mr. Bressman said ne didn't have a | 1 | _ |
| 5 cal | lling, given that Mr. Bressman said he didn't have a | 16 | Decayse the digit is wall become to know manife was |
| 5 cal 6 law | vyer and wasn't represented by Mr. Roth? | _ | |
| 5 cal 6 law 7 A | yyer and wasn't represented by Mr. Roth? I asked him who contacted him, and he said a | 17 | cooperating with the police, correct? |
| 5 cal 6 law 7 A 8 co l | vyer and wasn't represented by Mr. Roth? I asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. | 17 18 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. |
| 5 cal 6 law 7 A 8 col 9 Q | yer and wasn't represented by Mr. Roth? I asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. Shortly thereafter, then, after this phone call, did | 17 18 19 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. Q Did you escort him out of the gym with Brzostek? |
| 5 cal 6 law 7 A 8 col 9 Q 0 the | l asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. Shortly thereafter, then, after this phone call, did a conversation or the interview end with Mr. Bressman? | 17 18 19 20 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. Q Did you escort him out of the gym with Brzostek? A Yes, we did. |
| 5 cal 6 law 7 A 8 cor 9 Q 0 the 1 A | I asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. Shortly thereafter, then, after this phone call, did conversation or the interview end with Mr. Bressman? No, it did not. | 17 18 19 20 21 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. Q Did you escort him out of the gym with Brzostek? A Yes, we did. Q So everybody in the gym knew from 10:30 in the |
| 5 cal 6 law 7 A 8 col 9 Q 0 the 1 A 2 Q | I asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. Shortly thereafter, then, after this phone call, did e conversation or the interview end with Mr. Bressman? No, it did not. Did it end at some point? | 17 18 19 20 21 22 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. Q Did you escort him out of the gym with Brzostek? A Yes, we did. Q So everybody in the gym knew from 10:30 in the morning where he was going and what was going on, because |
| 5 cal 6 law 7 A 8 col 9 Q 0 the 1 A 2 Q 3 A | I asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. Shortly thereafter, then, after this phone call, did a conversation or the interview end with Mr. Bressman? No, it did not. Did it end at some point? Yes. | 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. Q Did you escort him out of the gym with Brzostek? A Yes, we did. Q So everybody in the gym knew from 10:30 in the morning where he was going and what was going on, because you had told everybody, correct? |
| 5 cal 6 law 7 A 8 cor 9 Q 0 the 11 A 12 Q 13 A | I asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. Shortly thereafter, then, after this phone call, did conversation or the interview end with Mr. Bressman? No, it did not. Did it end at some point? Yes. At some point did you return Mr. Bressman to the | 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. Q Did you escort him out of the gym with Brzostek? A Yes, we did. Q So everybody in the gym knew from 10:30 in the morning where he was going and what was going on, because you had told everybody, correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 15 cal 16 law 17 A 18 col 19 Q 20 the 21 A 22 Q 23 A 24 Q | I asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. Shortly thereafter, then, after this phone call, did conversation or the interview end with Mr. Bressman? No, it did not. Did it end at some point? Yes. At some point did you return Mr. Bressman to the nergy Gym where you first encountered him? | 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. Q Did you escort him out of the gym with Brzostek? A Yes, we did. Q So everybody in the gym knew from 10:30 in the morning where he was going and what was going on, because you had told everybody, correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 15 cal 16 law 17 A 18 col 19 Q 20 the 21 A 22 Q 23 A 24 Q | I asked him who contacted him, and he said a ncerned party. Shortly thereafter, then, after this phone call, did conversation or the interview end with Mr. Bressman? No, it did not. Did it end at some point? Yes. At some point did you return Mr. Bressman to the | 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | cooperating with the police, correct? A That's correct. Q Did you escort him out of the gym with Brzostek? A Yes, we did. Q So everybody in the gym knew from 10:30 in the morning where he was going and what was going on, because you had told everybody, correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |

| • | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/ | 21/13 Page 11 of 83 PageID #: |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Salta - Cross/Rosen 4014 | | | 1117 |
| 1 | MR. ROSEN: On what ground? | 1 | BY | MR. ROSEN: |
| 2 | THE COURT: Come on here. Come up. | 2 | Q | |
| 3 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took | 3 | | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 4 | place at the sidebar.) | 4 | BY | MR. ROSEN: |
| 5 | THE COURT: The statement "you had told | 5 | Q | Did he tell you why he was making the phone call, |
| 6 | everybody" everything is vague, it's confusing, and it is | 6 | sir? | |
| 7 | improper. Is there anything else you would like to add to | 7 | Α | No, he did not. |
| 8 | it? | 8 | Q | Did you see him on the phone? |
| 9 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. | 9 | Α | Yes, I did. |
| 10 | THE COURT: That's the reason. | 10 | Q | Did you do anything to investigate the phone number |
| 11 | (End of sidebar conference.) | 11 | that | t Justin Bressman called the morning he was taken to |
| 12 | THE COURT: Objection is sustained. | 12 | the | station house? |
| 13 | BY MR. ROSEN: | 13 | | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 14 | Q Detective, when you first arrived at West 23rd | 14 | | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 15 | Street, did you speak to the fellow at the front desk, | 15 | Α | I did not do anything personally. |
| 16 | Anthony Del Negro? | 16 | BY | MR. ROSEN: |
| 17 | A Yes, I did. | 17 | Q | Well, who did? |
| 18 | Q Did you tell him why you were there? | 18 | | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 19 | A No. | 19 | BY | MR. ROSEN: |
| 20 | Q You didn't tell him you were there to see Justin | 20 | Q | If you know. |
| 21 | Bressman? | 21 | | THE COURT: I'll allow it. |
| 22 | A To see Justin Bressman; not why we want to speak with | 22 | Α | I don't recall. |
| 23 | him. | 23 | | MR. ROSEN: Nothing further. |
| 24 | Q But you told him you were there to speak to Justin | 24 | | THE COURT: Anything further? |
| 25 | Bressman? | 25 | | MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. Thank you. |
| | Owen M. Wicker, RPR | | | Owen M. Wicker, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Salta - Cross/Rosen 1116 | | | Scott Flynn - Direct/Miskiewicz 1118 |
| 1 | A That's correct. | 1 | | THE COURT: You can step down, sir. |
| 2 | Q And you and Detective Brzostek escorted Justin | 2 | | (Witness excused.) |
| 3 | Bressman to the station house, correct? | 3 | | THE COURT: Next witness. |
| | A That's correct. | 4 | | |
| | | | | MR_MISKIEWICZ: Scott Flynn |
| 4 5 | | | | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Scott Flynn. THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I |
| 5 | Q You left with him, correct? | 5 | ass | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I |
| | Q You left with him, correct?A Yes. | | | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. |
| 5 6 | Q You left with him, correct?A Yes.Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? | 5 6 | | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. |
| 5 6 7 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. | 5 6 7 | | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first |
| 5 6 7 8 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. | 5 6 7 8 | | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he | 5 6 7 8 9 | | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 | sc | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 | SC | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | SC | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | DIR | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | DIR BY Q | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | DIR BY Q A Q sub | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a spoena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. THE COURT: Excuse me? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | DIR BY Q A Q sub | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a spoena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. THE COURT: Excuse me? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Scope. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | DIR BY Q A Q sub | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. FOTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a spoena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. THE COURT: Excuse me? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Scope. THE COURT: Overruled. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | DIR BY Q A Q subthat A | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. FOTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a spoena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? Yes. Mr. Flynn, what do you do for a living? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | DIR BY Q A Q sub that A Q A | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a poena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? Yes. Mr. Flynn, what do you do for a living? I'm a CPA. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. THE COURT: Excuse me? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Scope. THE COURT: Overruled. A I'm not sure who he called. BY MR. ROSEN: | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | DIR BY Q A Q sub that A Q | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a spoena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? Yes. Mr. Flynn, what do you do for a living? I'm a CPA. And how long have you been a CPA? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. THE COURT: Excuse me? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Scope. THE COURT: Overruled. A I'm not sure who he called. BY MR. ROSEN: Q He made a phone call that morning to let somebody | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | DIR BY Q A Q sub that A Q A | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a spoena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? Yes. Mr. Flynn, what do you do for a living? I'm a CPA. And how long have you been a CPA? For 20 years. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. THE COURT: Excuse me? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Scope. THE COURT: Overruled. A I'm not sure who he called. BY MR. ROSEN: Q He made a phone call that morning to let somebody know what was happening to him? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | DIR BY Q A Q sub that A Q A Q | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a poena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? Yes. Mr. Flynn, what do you do for a living? I'm a CPA. And how long have you been a CPA? For 20 years. A CPA is a Certified Public Accountant, correct? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. THE COURT: Excuse me? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Scope. THE COURT: Overruled. A I'm not sure who he called. BY MR. ROSEN: Q He made a phone call that morning to let somebody know what was happening to him? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | DIR BY Q A Q sub that A Q A | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a poena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? Yes. Mr. Flynn, what do you do for a living? I'm a CPA. And how long have you been a CPA? For 20 years. A CPA is a Certified Public Accountant, correct? Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q You left with him, correct? A Yes. Q You got into your vehicle and drove away? A That's right. Q When Justin Bressman went into West 23rd Street, he made a telephone call, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q And he called from a land line, did he not? A Yes, he did. Q Tell us: From your investigation, who did Justin Bressman call the morning that you showed up at West 23rd Street? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Scope. THE COURT: Excuse me? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Scope. THE COURT: Overruled. A I'm not sure who he called. BY MR. ROSEN: Q He made a phone call that morning to let somebody know what was happening to him? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | DIR BY Q A Q sub that A Q A Q | THE COURT: No relationship to Sean Flynn, I ume. OTT FLYNN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Scott Flynn. RECT EXAMINATION MR. MISKIEWICZ: Good morning, Mr. Flynn. Good morning. Mr. Flynn, you are here appearing by way of a poena issued to you by the U.S. Attorney's Office; is a correct? Yes. Mr. Flynn, what do you do for a living? I'm a CPA. And how long have you been a CPA? For 20 years. A CPA is a Certified Public Accountant, correct? |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-1S Document 436-2 F Scott Flynn - Direct/Miskiewicz 4015 | iled | 05/ | 21/13 Page 12 of 83 Page D #: Scott Flynn - Direct/Miskiewicz |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|
| | Scott Flynn - Direct/Miskiewicz 1119 | | | 1121 |
| 1 | Q In 2003, were you a CPA licensed to perform | 1 | Α | Well, a lot of these corporations were C |
| 2 | accounting services? | 2 | | porations, where there is no attachment as to who the |
| 3 | A Yes, I was. | 3 | | reholders are and the owners. In a lot of instances, |
| 4 | Q In the state of New York? | 4 | we | were not fully aware of who or all of the owners were. |
| 5 | A Correct. | 5 | | Okay. What about Mr. Tarantino? |
| 6 | Q In that period of time, did you do any accounting | 6 | | Are you asking was I aware that he was an owner? |
| 7 | services for the defendant in this case: Christian | 7 | Q | Yes. |
| 8 | Tarantino? | 8 | Α | Yes, I was aware. |
| 9 | A Yes. | 9 | | And was there one corporation or several that used at |
| 10 | Q Did you do any accounting service for his company | 10 | | st the word "Synergy" in it? |
| 11 | known as or a group of companies under the rubric of | 11 | Α | Several. Several Synergy Fitness Clubs in various |
| 12 | Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? | 12 | owr | nership groups. |
| 13 | MR. ROSEN: Objection. Assumes facts | 13 | Q | And were what geographic area? |
| 14 | THE COURT: Please approach. | 14 | Α | New York City and Long Island. |
| 15 | Approach. | 15 | Q | Okay. Would you receive I should say prepare, for |
| 16 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took | 16 | pur | poses of tax reporting, either W-2 statements to |
| 17 | place at the sidebar.) | 17 | emp | ployees let's do that first. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Number one, he didn't finish the | 18 | Α | Yes. |
| 19 | question, all right? So I think the objection is | 19 | Q | Okay. What about any other statement, reports of |
| 20 | premature. | 20 | inco | ome, to not necessarily employees but principals or |
| 21 | Number two, what is your objection? | 21 | part | ners or anything else like that? |
| 22 | MR. ROSEN: It assumes facts not in evidence. | 22 | Α | Well, it would be W-2s or 1099s. |
| 23 | There is no evidence on this record that Christian | 23 | Q | Explain to the members of the jury what a 1099 is. |
| 24 | Tarantino had a portion of any Synergy Gym anywhere. | 24 | Α | A 1099 would be it's a document sent to the IRS to |
| 25 | THE COURT: Okay. Now, this accountant will | 25 | noti | ify them someone was paid compensation but was not |
| | Owen M. Wicker, RPR | | | Owen M. Wicker, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Scott Flynn - Direct/Miskiewicz | | | Scott Flynn - Cross/Rosen |
| | 1120 | | | 1122 |
| 1 | testify that the defendant had an ownership interest or | 1 | | sidered an employee. |
| 2 | provided records with respect to Synergy Gyms; is that | 2 | | All right. And you did this for how many years for |
| 3 | correct, Mr. Miskiewicz? | 3 | | ergy? |
| 4 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. Based upon what he said | 4 | Α | Oh, since 1998. |
| 5 | at the last trial, yes. | 5 | Q ^ | Are you still doing it for Synergy? Yes. |
| 6 | THE COURT: So I'm overruling your objection at | 6 | Α | |
| 7 | this point. And if he can establish the appropriate | 7 | | In the time since 1998 to the present, have you ever led a W-2 to an employee by the name of Matty Roth? |
| 9 | foundation, then I'll let it in. MR. ROSEN: Okay. | 8 | A | No. |
| 10 | (End of sidebar discussion.) | 10 | | And have you ever issued a form 1099 to anybody by |
| 11 | THE COURT: The objection is overruled. | 11 | | name of Matty Roth? |
| 12 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, may we have the | 12 | A | No. |
| 1.2 | | '- | | |
| 13 | | 13 | _ | Have you ever issued a 1099 or a W-2 to anybody by |
| 13 14 | last question read back? | 13 14 | Q | Have you ever issued a 1099 or a W-2 to anybody by name of Matthew Roth? |
| 14 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. | 14 | Q | name of Matthew Roth? |
| | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for | | Q the A | name of Matthew Roth? No. |
| 14 15 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the | 14 15 | Q the A | name of Matthew Roth? |
| 14 15 16 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for | 14 15 16 | Q the A Q | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. |
| 14 15 16 17 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? | 14 15 16 17 | Q the A Q A | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. Thank |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? THE WITNESS: Yes. | 14 15 16 17 18 | Q the A Q A you | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: | 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q the A Q A | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. Thank very much. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q What kind of accounting services did you perform? | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q the A Q A you CROBY | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. Thank very much. DSS-EXAMINATION |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q What kind of accounting services did you perform? A We do bookkeeping services, prepare tax returns. | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q the A Q A You CROBY Q | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. Thank very much. DSS-EXAMINATION MR. ROSEN: |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | last question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q What kind of accounting services did you perform? A We do bookkeeping services, prepare tax returns. Q And in doing those bookkeeping services and tax | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q the A Q A You CRO BY Q Gyr | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. Thank very much. DSS-EXAMINATION MR. ROSEN: Mr. Flynn, you are the present accountant for Synergy |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Iast question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q What kind of accounting services did you perform? A We do bookkeeping services, prepare tax returns. Q And in doing those bookkeeping services and tax returns, did were you made aware of or provided books | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q the A Q A You CRO BY Q Gyr A | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. Thank very much. DSS-EXAMINATION MR. ROSEN: Mr. Flynn, you are the present accountant for Synergy ins, correct? |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Iast question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q What kind of accounting services did you perform? A We do bookkeeping services, prepare tax returns. Q And in doing those bookkeeping services and tax returns, did were you made aware of or provided books and records regarding who the principal partners or owners | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q the A Q A You CRO BY Q Gyr A | name of Matthew Roth? No. M. Roth? No. MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. Thank very much. DSS-EXAMINATION MR. ROSEN: Mr. Flynn, you are the present accountant for Synergy ns, correct? Yes. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Iast question read back? THE COURT: Yes. Hold on one second. Question: Did you do any accounting service for his company known as or a group of companies under the rubric of Synergy Gyms or Synergy Fitness? THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q What kind of accounting services did you perform? A We do bookkeeping services, prepare tax returns. Q And in doing those bookkeeping services and tax returns, did were you made aware of or provided books and records regarding who the principal partners or owners of the Synergy Fitness Gyms were at that time? | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q the A Q A You CRO BY Q Gyr A | No. M. Roth? No. MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. Thank very much. DSS-EXAMINATION MR. ROSEN: Mr. Flynn, you are the present accountant for Synergy ns, correct? Yes. I want to take you back to 2003 and the summer of |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Scott Flynn - Cross/Rosen 401.6 | iled | 05/21/13 Page 13 of 83 PageID #: Scott Flynn - Redirect/Miskiewicz |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Scott Flynn - Cross/Rosen 4016 | | 1125 |
| 1 | 2003, okay? | 1 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Very briefly, if I may, your |
| 2 | A Yes. | 2 | Honor? |
| 3 | Q The gym on West 23rd Street, was that gym owned and | 3 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 4 | operated, the Synergy Gym, by Brett and Eric Holzer's | 4 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 5 | group? | 5 | BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: |
| 6 | A Yes. Q Who else was in Brett and Eric Holzer's group on the | 6 | Q Preparing things like W-2's for these groups of |
| 8 | 23rd Street gym, if you recall? | 8 | companies, did you become aware that individual employees might work at different locations, different Synergy Gyms? |
| 9 | A That's hard to say. | 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q I know it's going back a long way. But you clearly | 10 | Q So if a 1099, or a W-2 in this case I'm sorry, a |
| 11 | remember Brett and Eric Holzer as the owners of the West | 11 | 1099 or W-2 was issued in the name of Justin Bressman at |
| 12 | 23rd Street gym? | 12 | a out of a particular address, does that mean he only |
| 13 | A Yes. | 13 | worked at one address? |
| 14 | Q And there's also a gym on East 22nd Street, between | 14 | A No. |
| 15 | 22nd and 23rd. That is also a Synergy Fitness, correct? | 15 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Okay. No further questions. |
| 16 | A Correct. | 16 | THE COURT: Anything else? |
| 17 | Q And that is also owned by Brett and Eric Holzer, is | 17 | MR. ROSEN: No, your Honor. |
| 18 | it not? | 18 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 19 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. | 19 | You may step down. |
| 20 | I'd seek a clarification for time frame. | 20 | (Witness excused.) |
| 21 | THE COURT: At the same time frame? | 21 | THE COURT: Next witness. |
| 22 | MR. ROSEN: Yes. | 22 | THE CLERK: Good morning. Step up. |
| 23 | A I don't know if it if Eric was an owner, but it | 23 | Raise your right hand, and you will be sworn in |
| 24 | was Brett's gym. | 24 | first. |
| 25 | BY MR. ROSEN: | 25 | MELVYN ROTH, |
| | Owen M. Wicker, RPR | | Owen M. Wicker, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter Scott Flynn - Cross/Rosen | | Official Court Reporter M. Roth - Direct/Miskiewicz |
| | acon rivini - Grass/Rosen | | |
| | - | | |
| 1 | 1124 | 1 | 1126 |
| 1 2 | 1124 Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west | 1 2 | 1126 called as a witness, having been first |
| | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? | | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified |
| 2 | 1124 Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west | 2 | 1126 called as a witness, having been first |
| 2 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. | 2 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: |
| 2 3 4 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have | 2 3 4 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? | 2 3 4 5 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a | 2 3 4 5 6 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. We use a corporation to process the payroll or 1099s for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. Q I want to direct your attention to August 21, 2003. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. We use a corporation to process the payroll or 1099s for the whole group, so I believe that's where this 1099 was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. We use a corporation to process the payroll or 1099s for the whole group, so I believe that's where this 1099 was issued, out of those companies. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. Q I want to direct your attention to August 21, 2003. Do you recall receiving a telephone call from |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. We use a corporation to process the payroll or 1099s for the whole group, so I believe that's where this 1099 was issued, out of those companies. Q Out of one of those two companies? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. Q I want to direct your attention to August 21, 2003. Do you recall receiving a telephone call from Mr. Tarantino? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. We use a corporation to process the payroll or 1099s for the whole group, so I believe that's where this 1099 was issued, out of those companies. Q Out of one of those two companies? A Not out of West or East 23rd, but a separate company | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. Q I want to direct your attention to August 21, 2003. Do you recall receiving a telephone call from Mr. Tarantino? A I do. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. We use a corporation to process the payroll or 1099s for the whole group, so I believe that's where this 1099 was issued, out of those companies. Q Out of one of those two companies? A Not out of West or East 23rd, but a separate company that would process payments to independent contractors or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. Q I want to direct your attention to August 21, 2003. Do you recall receiving a telephone call from Mr. Tarantino? A I do. Q And what, if anything, did Mr. Tarantino ask you to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. We use a corporation to process the payroll or 1099s for the whole group, so I believe that's where this 1099 was issued, out of those companies. Q Out of one of those two companies? A Not out of West or East 23rd, but a separate company that would process payments to independent contractors or employees. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. Q I want to direct your attention to August 21, 2003. Do you recall receiving a telephone call from Mr. Tarantino? A I do. Q And what, if anything, did Mr. Tarantino ask you to do that day? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q The two gyms on 23rd Street, on the east and west side, were owned by the Holzers, correct? A Yes. Q When you say "owned by the Holzers," did they have the franchise for those two locations? A Not in a formal sense. I don't know if there was a franchise document. I don't believe there was. But they operated and owned that location. Q Did you do the books and records for those locations? A Yes. Q Did you ever see a W-2 withdrawn. Did you ever create a W-2 or a 1099 for those two gyms for Justin Bressman? A Uhm, I think so. I think so. I think we, uhm I think we did a 1099 for him. Q Out of which one, the West 23rd or the East 23rd? A Well, it wasn't specifically from that corporation. We use a corporation to process the payroll or 1099s for the whole group, so I believe that's where this 1099 was issued, out of those companies. Q Out of one of those two companies? A Not out of West or East 23rd, but a separate company that would process payments to independent contractors or employees. MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: Melvyn Roth, R-O-T-H, M-E-L-V-Y-N. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, Mr. Roth. A Good morning. Q Mr. Roth, you are an attorney admitted to the bar in the state of New York, correct? A That's correct. Q And you have been practicing law for a good period of time, correct? A Correct. Q Okay. And from time to time you have represented the defendant in this case, Christian Gerald Tarantino, correct? A Correct. Q I want to direct your attention to August 21, 2003. Do you recall receiving a telephone call from Mr. Tarantino? A I do. Q And what, if anything, did Mr. Tarantino ask you to do that day? A He asked me to represent an individual who was being |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-1S Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 14 of 83 PageID #: |
|---|---|--|--|
| | M. Roth - Cross/Rosen 4017 | | 1129 |
| 1 | questioned by detectives in Manhattan. | 1 | Q I apologize. I've been corrected by my colleague. |
| 2 | Q And what individual was that? | 2 | The term is "concerned party." |
| 3 | A I believe his last name was Bressman. I don't recall | 3 | Do you remember using that phrase? |
| 4 | his exact name at this point. | 4 | A I don't recall. |
| 5 | Q What did you do? | 5 | Q Does that mean that Mr. Tarantino, when he referred |
| 6 | A I told them I was representing that individual and to | 6 | you the case of Mr. Bressman, was concerned about his own |
| 7 | cease any questioning. | 7 | welfare? |
| 8 | Q How did you know where Mr. Bressman was? | 8 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 9 | A Mr. Tarantino advised me. | 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 10 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. | 10 | BY MR. ROSEN: |
| 11 | THE COURT: Any questions? | 11 | Q Does that mean that Mr. Tarantino, if you know, based |
| 12 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 12 | upon your experience with him, if that meant he was |
| 13 | BY MR. ROSEN: | 13 | concerned about his own welfare? |
| 14 | Q Mr. Roth, when you heard from Mr. Tarantino, did he | 14 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 15 | offer you any money to represent Mr. Bressman? | 15 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 16 | A No. | 16 | MR. ROSEN: I have nothing further. |
| 17 | Q Did you know Mr. Tarantino in a professional sense? | 17 | THE COURT: Anything further? |
| 18 | A Yes. | 18 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. |
| 19 | Q You had personally represented him, had you not? | 19 | THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down. |
| 20 | A I had. | 20 | (Witness excused.) |
| 21 | Q Is it unusual to have former clients of yours refer | 21 | THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take our |
| 22 | cases to you? | 22 | mid-morning break. Don't discuss the case. |
| 23 | A No. | 23 | (Whereupon, at this time the jury exits the |
| 24 | Q In the criminal field, do you basically rely on | 24 | courtroom.) |
| 25 | former clients and perhaps some other lawyers to get you | 25 | (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) |
| | Owen M. Wicker, RPR | | Owen M. Wicker, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | M. Roth - Cross/Rosen | | 1130 |
| | 1128 | 4 | |
| 1 | 1128 | 1 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make |
| 1 2 | your business? | 2 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up |
| 1 2 3 | your business? A That's correct. | _ | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the |
| 1 2 3 4 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from | 2 3 4 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different |
| 3 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? | 2 3 4 5 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is |
| 3 4 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. | 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation |
| 3 4 5 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. |
| 3 4 5 6 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Because when they called you, they used his name as a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the tape, because there are several statements that the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Because when they called you, they used his name as a referral? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the tape, because there are several statements that the defendant makes referring to the DNA connection of |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Because when they called you, they used his name as a referral? A That's correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the tape, because there are several statements that the defendant makes referring to the DNA connection of Willard, and this detective was there and he will be able |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Because when they called you, they used his name as a referral? A That's correct. Q Did you use on the phone with the police officer | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the tape, because there are several statements that the defendant makes referring to the DNA connection of Willard, and this detective was there and he will be able to explain yeah, I said those things. So that's another |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Because when they called you, they used his name as a referral? A That's correct. Q Did you use on the phone with the police officer when you called, did you use the term "a concerned friend"? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the tape, because there are several statements that the defendant makes referring to the DNA connection of Willard, and this detective was there and he will be able to explain yeah, I said those things. So that's another piece that the jury can take with it to determine the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Because when they called you, they used his name as a referral? A That's correct. Q Did you use on the phone with the police officer when you called, did you use the term "a concerned friend"? A I don't recall. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the tape, because there are several statements that the defendant makes referring to the DNA connection of Willard, and this detective was there and he will be able to explain yeah, I said those things. So that's another piece that the jury can take with it to determine the authenticity of the tapes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Because when they called you, they used his name as a referral? A That's correct. Q Did you use on the phone with the police officer when you called, did you use the term "a concerned friend"? A I don't recall. Owen M. Wicker, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the tape, because there are several statements that the defendant makes referring to the DNA connection of Willard, and this detective was there and he will be able to explain yeah, I said those things. So that's another piece that the jury can take with it to determine the authenticity of the tapes. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | your business? A That's correct. Q Have you ever received any referrals from Mr. Tarantino before? A I had. Q Approximately how many? A I would be guessing. Probably anywhere from 10 to 20. Maybe more. Q And these were people that Mr. Tarantino knew from the street, so to speak? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. ROSEN: Q That Mr. Tarantino knew? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. If you know. A I would assume so. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Because when they called you, they used his name as a referral? A That's correct. Q Did you use on the phone with the police officer when you called, did you use the term "a concerned friend"? A I don't recall. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: So I don't have to stand up and make objections, the detectives coming on, they are gearing up to play the show the transcripts. We played the transcripts once to the jury. We have gone into different parts of the transcript. And I don't know if this is going to be cumulative or this is going to be a situation that's just more repetition, more they have seen it. They have heard it. They have talked about it. And I don't see the point if it's going to be cumulative and repetitious to play a fourth or fifth time, show the transcript a fourth or fifth time. THE COURT: Showing the transcript, you have shown it a number of times. I don't know how many times. Have you played the tapes once or twice? MR. MISKIEWICZ: We played the tape very briefly with Agent Schelhorn and Kenneth Marr. The point is not to be repetitious. The point of the specific excerpts of the tape we are going to play with the next witness is to further establish the identity of the speakers on the tape, because there are several statements that the defendant makes referring to the DNA connection of Willard, and this detective was there and he will be able to explain yeah, I said those things. So that's another piece that the jury can take with it to determine the authenticity of the tapes. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | -nea | 05/21/13 Page 15 of 83 PageID #: 4422 |
|--|---|--|---|
| | 4018 | ١, | 1133 |
| 1 | (The jury enters the courtroom.) | 1 | make that clear. If they want to stipulate, that's up to |
| 2 | THE COURT: Come on up here. | 2 | them. |
| 3 | (Sidebar.) | 3 | MR. ROSEN: He did not contest we asked when |
| 4 | THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, you are saying it's | 4 | William Gargiulo took the witness stand, we said, Judge, |
| 5 | repetitious. | 5 | we are not contesting who is on it. You let them put him |
| 6 | MR. ROSEN: And cumulative. | 6 | on anyway. They wouldn't agree to a stipulation. I don't |
| 7 | THE COURT: I think it's a relatively confusing | 7 | know where that comes from. To me, this is all |
| 8 | piece of evidence. I think the government has the | 8 | cumulative. |
| 9 | responsibility in order to meet its burden of proof of | 9 | THE COURT: I heard your objection. |
| 10 | establishing defendant's involvement in these two murders. | 10 | MR. ROSEN: It's repetitious. |
| 11 | So the cast of characters and the names of people that are | 11 | THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Please |
| 12 | mentioned during the tape require some explanation. | 12 | proceed. |
| 13 | Also, the actual gathering of the DNA, although | 13 | (Sidebar concluded.) |
| 14 | it was touched upon by Agent Schelhorn, there are certain | 14 | (Continued on the next page.) |
| 15 | other references to the other detectives that were there, | 15 | |
| 16 | and I think that that clarification may entail focusing on | 16 | |
| 17 | the portions of the tape that deal with the DNA. | 17 | |
| 18 | MR. ROSEN: Judge, they played that portion. | 18 | |
| 19 | Detective Brostech was the witness. He was up there when | 19 | |
| 20 | they took the buccal swabs. He testified. We conceded | 20 | |
| 21 | the voice of the defendant. This is just totally | 21 | |
| 22 | cumulative giving them an opportunity to go back. | 22 | |
| 23 | Everybody knows about what took place in this on this | 23 | |
| 24 | audiotape based upon the transcript they have read | 24 | |
| 25 | continuously. | 25 | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | 1132 | | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz |
| | 1102 | | Zacarese - directiviiskiewicz |
| 1 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. | | 1134 |
| 1 2 | | 1 | 1134 (In open court.) |
| | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. | 1 2 | 1134 |
| 2 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the | | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. |
| 3 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. | 2 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective |
| 2 3 4 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the | 2 3 4 5 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. |
| 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. | 2 3 4 5 6 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional — let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. For clarification purposes, I think this is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police Department. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. For clarification purposes, I think this is aside from the last objection, but there has never been a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police Department. Q How long were you a member of the Nassau County |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. For clarification purposes, I think this is aside from the last objection, but there has never been a stipulation to this jury, the defense never stipulated | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police Department. Q How long were you a member of the Nassau County Police Department? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. For clarification purposes, I think this is aside from the last objection, but there has never been a stipulation to this jury, the defense never stipulated that is the defendant on the tape. There has been stipulations or concessions in pretrial litigation and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police Department. Q How long were you a member of the Nassau County Police Department? A 33 years. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. For clarification purposes, I think this is aside from the last objection, but there has never been a stipulation to this jury, the defense never stipulated that is the defendant on the tape. There has been stipulations or concessions in pretrial litigation and motions, but before this jury there is no stipulation. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police Department. Q How long were you a member of the Nassau County Police Department? A 33 years. Q You started out as a patrol officer? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional — let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. For clarification purposes, I think this is aside from the last objection, but there has never been a stipulation to this jury, the defense never stipulated that is the defendant on the tape. There has been stipulations or concessions in pretrial litigation and motions, but before this jury there is no stipulation. I'm not saying even if there was, it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police Department. Q How long were you a member of the Nassau County Police Department? A 33 years. Q You started out as a patrol officer? A Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional — let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. For clarification purposes, I think this is aside from the last objection, but there has never been a stipulation to this jury, the defense never stipulated that is the defendant on the tape. There has been stipulations or concessions in pretrial litigation and motions, but before this jury there is no stipulation. I'm not saying even if there was, it's inappropriate to have Detective Zacarese, but I wanted to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police Department. Q How long were you a member of the Nassau County Police Department? A 33 years. Q You started out as a patrol officer? A Yes, sir. Q When did you make detective? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: It hasn't been continuous. MR. ROSEN: Yes, it has. They had the transcript up with the entire 39 minutes. THE COURT: That was one time earlier in the trial. MR. ROSEN: They have used the transcripts to highlight other things. We have conceded the identity. This is just cumulative. Repetitious. Everybody knows about it. We have had witnesses on the armored car and Craig Miller about the Dorval homicide. THE COURT: This is one additional — let me finish. This is one additional person who was there during the collection of the DNA and has been referred to on the tape. So I'm going to allow it and that's my ruling. Your objection is overruled. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. For clarification purposes, I think this is aside from the last objection, but there has never been a stipulation to this jury, the defense never stipulated that is the defendant on the tape. There has been stipulations or concessions in pretrial litigation and motions, but before this jury there is no stipulation. I'm not saying even if there was, it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (In open court.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government calls Detective Zacarese. THE CLERK: State and spell your name for the record. THE WITNESS: Anthony Zacarese, Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E. ANTHONY ZACARESE, having been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Good morning, sir. Detective Zacarese, are you currently retired? A Yes, sir. Q You are retired from what employment? A I was a detective in the Nassau County Police Department. Q How long were you a member of the Nassau County Police Department? A 33 years. Q You started out as a patrol officer? A Yes, sir. |

| | Cas | e 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 16 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz 4019 | | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz #. |
| 1 | Α | 1998. | 1 | combined time was probably maybe a little short of an hour |
| 2 | Q | When did you retire? | 2 | in total. |
| 3 | Ā | 2002. | 3 | Q Do you see the defendant that you took the DNA from |
| 4 | Q | 2002? | 4 | in courtroom here today? |
| 5 | Α | Yes, sir. | 5 | A Yes, sir, I do. |
| 6 | Q | In the end of 1999 and beginning of 2000, were you | 6 | Q Can you point to him and describe the clothing he is |
| 7 | ass | igned or did you inherit an open investigation? | 7 | wearing? |
| 8 | Α | Yes, sir, I did. | 8 | A The defendant is sitting at defense counsel table. |
| 9 | Q | What was that? | 9 | He is wearing a light tan jacket and a light blue shirt. |
| 10 | Α | It was an investigation that had been held by two | 10 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May the record reflect the |
| 11 | pric | or detectives ahead of me of the death of Julius | 11 | witness has identified the defendant, Christian Tarantino? |
| 12 | Bau | umgardt during the commission of a robbery in the Second | 12 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 13 | Pre | cinct. | 13 | Q Now, Detective Zacarese, when you since taking the |
| 14 | Q | That's the Second Precinct in Nassau? | 14 | DNA, have you had an opportunity to listen to what is |
| 15 | Α | Yes, sir. | 15 | variously referred to as the Gargiulo microcassette tape |
| 16 | Q | Does that encompass the area of Syosset or Old | 16 | in this case? |
| 17 | Bro | okville? | 17 | A Yes, sir. Ultimately some years later, even after I |
| 18 | A | Syosset, Old Brookville, Woodbury. | 18 | retired, I finally got to listen to that microcassette |
| 19 | Q | Did there come a time that you joined forces, if you | 19 | tape. |
| 20 | _ | , with the FBI? | 20 | And have you listened to it once or more than once? |
| 21 | A | Yes, sir. | 21 | A More than once. |
| 22 | Q | Did you work with any particular law enforcement | 22 | Q Have you had occasion to review any transcripts |
| 23 | | ent with the FBI during that period of time? | 23 | prepared in connection with that tape recording? |
| 24 | A | When we joined together, I was working with Agent | 24 | A Yes, sir, I have. |
| 25 | Kol | bert Schelhorn. | 25 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, at this time we |
| | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | Zacaroso direct/Miskiewicz | | Zacaroso direct/Miskiewicz |
| | | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz | | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz |
| 1 | Q | 1136 | 1 | 1138 |
| 1 2 | Q Mr. | 1136 Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with | 1 2 | 1138 request to have the members of the jury put on their |
| 2 | Mr. | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? | 2 | 1138 request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the |
| _ | Mr. | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled | _ | 1138 request to have the members of the jury put on their |
| 2 | Mr. | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? | 2 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. |
| 2 3 4 | Mr. A tog Q | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. | 2 3 4 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn |
| 2 3 4 5 | Mr. A tog Q you | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did | 2 3 4 5 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Mr. A tog Q you | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard | 2 3 4 5 6 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard of Treatment Center? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard of Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard of Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Det | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Mr. A togg Q you Dru A Q A Q A Q A Det | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Det Q A | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Det Q A prir | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and its from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Det Q A priir Q | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard of Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and dective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and onts from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. Did you at some point take the DNA? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. Q And so you told him you were there to investigate a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Mr. A tog Q you A Q A Det Q A prin Q A | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and its from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. Did you at some point take the DNA? Yes, sir, we did. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. Q And so you told him you were there to investigate a botched armored car robbery? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Det Q A prin Q A Q | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and dective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and the from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. Did you at some point take the DNA? Yes, sir, we did. Did you see the defendant that day? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. Q And so you told him you were there to investigate a botched armored car robbery? A No, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Priir Q A Q A | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and ective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and ints from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. Did you at some point take the DNA? Yes, sir, we did. Did you see the defendant that day? Yes, sir, I did. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. Q And so you told him you were there to investigate a botched armored car robbery? A No, sir. Q So when you say you wanted to assure yourself that he |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Priir Q A Q A Q | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard of Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and this from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. Did you at some point take the DNA? Yes, sir, we did. Did you see the defendant that day? Yes, sir, I did. About how long were you in the same room or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. Q And so you told him you were there to investigate a botched armored car robbery? A No, sir. Q So when you say you wanted to assure yourself that he knew why you were there, what exactly did you tell him? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Det Q A Q CON | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and this from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. Did you at some point take the DNA? Yes, sir, we did. Did you see the defendant that day? Yes, sir, I did. About how long were you in the same room or afference room or what facility it was? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. Q And so you told him you were there to investigate a botched armored car robbery? A No, sir. Q So when you say you wanted to assure yourself that he knew why you were there, what exactly did you tell him? A I told him that we had a subpoena that we were |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Priir Q A Q A Q | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and the from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. Did you at some point take the DNA? Yes, sir, we did. Did you see the defendant that day? Yes, sir, I did. About how long were you in the same room or ference room or what facility it was? In the area they set aside for us, I believe the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. Q And so you told him you were there to investigate a botched armored car robbery? A No, sir. Q So when you say you wanted to assure yourself that he knew why you were there, what exactly did you tell him? A I told him that we had a subpoena that we were supposed to take from him, specifically hair samples, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Mr. A tog Q you Dru A Q A Q A Det Q A Q CON | Did there come a time that you traveled anywhere with Schelhorn or Agent Schelhorn to connect DNA? Yes, sir, on a number of occasions we traveled ether. I want to direct your attention to July 2000. Did travel to an upstate facility known as the Willard g Treatment Center? Yes, I did. Who did you go there with? Along with two other detectives and Agent Schelhorn. Two other detectives from what agency? Nassau County Police Department. Who are they? Detective Charlie Costello from the print section and tective Bazylewicz, who was at the lab at that time. Whose DNA or prints were you going to take? We were going up to that facility to get the DNA and this from the defendant, Christian Tarantino. Did you at some point take the DNA? Yes, sir, we did. Did you see the defendant that day? Yes, sir, I did. About how long were you in the same room or afference room or what facility it was? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | request to have the members of the jury put on their headphones again and publish to the jury portions of the tape. THE COURT: If you would, just remember to turn the button on, the green button. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, we have for the record played the first one minute and 44 seconds of the recording. I will ask you a couple of questions about what we heard. First of all, when you went up to Willard, did you say anything to the defendant about the purpose of your visit that day? A Yes, sir, I did. Q What did you say? A I wanted to be sure that he understood why we were there and what the procedure was going to be regarding fulfilling the subpoena from the Grand Jury. Q And so you told him you were there to investigate a botched armored car robbery? A No, sir. Q So when you say you wanted to assure yourself that he knew why you were there, what exactly did you tell him? A I told him that we had a subpoena that we were |

| (| Case 2:08-cr-00655-15 Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 17 of 83 Page D #: Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Zacařese - dírect/Miskiewicz 4020 | | 1141 |
| 1 | mucal (ph) cell samples and palm prints as per the | 1 | Q Six years one month. |
| 2 | subpoena from the Grand Jury. | 2 | Did you tell the defendant that you were |
| 3 | Q What about did you make any reference to a botched | 3 | investigating a matter that was or a murder that was |
| 4 | armored car robbery? | 4 | six, a little over six years old at that point? |
| 5 | MR. ROSEN: Objection. Asked and answered. | 5 | A I didn't give the defendant any indication of what I |
| 6 | THE COURT: Sustained. | 6 | was investigating. |
| 7 | MR. ROSEN: Cumulative. Repetitious. | 7 | Q Before we put on the speakers, bear with me, I will |
| 8 | THE COURT: Sustained. | 8 | try to find the right place. |
| 9 | Q In your presence, did anyone make any reference to | 9 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: If the members of the jury can |
| 10 | any specifics of the investigation that you were up there | 10 | put their headphones again, and for the record, I'm |
| 11 | to pursue that day? | 11 | beginning the tape 7 minutes 37 seconds into the |
| 12 | A No, sir. | 12 | designated area. |
| 13 | Q There is the word maroon that is heard. Was there | 13 | (Tape played) |
| 14 | any vehicle or car of any kind that was red or maroon that | 14 | (Tape stopped) |
| 15 | you were interested in in the course of your investigation | 15 | Q Did you make any reference to an investigation into a |
| 16 | into the death of Julius Baumgardt? A Yes, sir, there was. | 16 | security guard being killed as one of the reasons why you |
| 17 18 | A Yes, sir, there was. Q What was that? | 17 18 | were up at Willard that day in July 2000? A Absolutely not. |
| 19 | A That was a red Chevy blazer which was recovered at | 19 | MR. ROSEN: Asked and answered three times. |
| 20 | the scene of that homicide. | 20 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 21 | Q Okay. And what were you attempting to do with | 21 | A Absolutely not. |
| 22 | respect to taking DNA from defendant on that day? | 22 | Q What about, the word Louie or Louis Dorval come out |
| 23 | A We had recovered some evidence from that vehicle. | 23 | of anybody's mouth? |
| 24 | The crime lab at the time had gone through that vehicle | 24 | A No, sir. |
| 25 | and taken tape lifts of hair samples and other items that | 25 | Q From you or anybody else present? |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Zasavasa divast/Miskisyvisa | | |
| | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz | | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz |
| 4 | 1140 | 4 | 1142 |
| 1 | 1140 were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory | 1 2 | 1142 A No, sir. |
| 2 | 1140 were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was | 2 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before |
| 2 | 1140 were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. | 2 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. |
| 2 3 4 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? | 2 3 4 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the |
| 2 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. | 2 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). |
| 2 3 4 5 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. | 2 3 4 5 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or | 2 3 4 5 6 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, six, coming up on seven years. You went up to Willard | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with you that day mention that they were also seeking to take |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, six, coming up on seven years. You went up to Willard with Agent Schelhorn in July of 2000? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with you that day mention that they were also seeking to take the DNA of Scott Mulligan? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, six, coming up on seven years. You went up to Willard with Agent Schelhorn in July of 2000? A Yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with you that day mention that they were also seeking to take the DNA of Scott Mulligan? A No, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, six, coming up on seven years. You went up to Willard with Agent Schelhorn in July of 2000? A Yes, sir. Q And how many years had it been since the murder of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with you that day mention that they were also seeking to take the DNA of Scott Mulligan? A No, sir. Q There is a reference also in the portion of the tape |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, six, coming up on seven years. You went up to Willard with Agent Schelhorn in July of 2000? A Yes, sir. Q And how many years had it been since the murder of Julius Baumgardt? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with you that day mention that they were also seeking to take the DNA of Scott Mulligan? A No, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, six, coming up on seven years. You went up to Willard with Agent Schelhorn in July of 2000? A Yes, sir. Q And how many years had it been since the murder of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with you that day mention that they were also seeking to take the DNA of Scott Mulligan? A No, sir. Q There is a reference also in the portion of the tape that we heard to a trunk, finger being squished on a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that — any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, six, coming up on seven years. You went up to Willard with Agent Schelhorn in July of 2000? A Yes, sir. Q And how many years had it been since the murder of Julius Baumgardt? A That took place in June of 1994, so it would be six | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with you that day mention that they were also seeking to take the DNA of Scott Mulligan? A No, sir. Q There is a reference also in the portion of the tape that we heard to a trunk, finger being squished on a trunk. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | were recovered and that had been stored in the laboratory and we were looking to match anything up to what was recovered from that car. Q Did you tell the defendant that — any of this? A No, sir. Q In your presence, did either Agent Schelhorn or Detective Bazylewicz or Detective Costello say that to the defendant? A No, sir, that would not have been done. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, your Honor, we ask the members of the jurors put on their headphones. THE COURT: If you would. (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, for the record, again, we have heard a portion, about a minute long, starting at approximately 4 minutes seven seconds into the tape. We heard the reference to how long it had been, six, coming up on seven years. You went up to Willard with Agent Schelhorn in July of 2000? A Yes, sir. Q And how many years had it been since the murder of Julius Baumgardt? A That took place in June of 1994, so it would be six years one month. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, I can queue it up before we put it on the speakers. Again, if the members of the jury can put on the speakers (sic). (Tape played) (Tape stopped) Q Detective Zacarese, as part of your investigation with the FBI at this time, did you at some point seek to take DNA from an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, sir, I did. Q Was that before or after you went to Willard? A I believe it was after. Q Did you tell the defendant when you were up at Willard that you were going to next take the DNA of anybody by the name of Scott? A No, sir, absolutely not. Q In your presence, did any members of the team with you that day mention that they were also seeking to take the DNA of Scott Mulligan? A No, sir. Q There is a reference also in the portion of the tape that we heard to a trunk, finger being squished on a trunk. Did you or Agent Schelhorn or anybody else in |

Filed 05/21/13 cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz 1143 1145 your party say anything about the trunk that has been Have you done that? 2 moved into evidence as CW 1 -- sorry, CW 4? 2 Α Yes, sir, I have. 3 Q A No, sir, we did not. 3 In summary, what did -- did you base the summary on 4 Q Any mention of Louis Dorval's murder? 4 the actual records or did you base them on something else? 5 A Not at all. 5 Α They are based on the actual records contained in the 6 Q I would like to move on. 6 subpoenaed documents. 7 Detective Zacarese, have you had an opportunity 7 Q I'm showing you what's been marked for identification 8 or occasion to study or review any of the exhibits, 8 as AZ 1. Do you recognize AZ 1? 9 specifically the phone records, that have been offered 9 Α Yes, sir, I do. 10 into evidence in this case? 10 **Q** What do you recognize AZ 1 to be? 11 A Yes, sir, I have. 11 This is a compilation of the records that I prepared 12 12 **Q** First of all, prior to your retirement, did you have consistent with what I found in the subpoenaed records and 13 13 any duties in the Nassau County Police Department related compiled it into this report. 14 to either the acquisition of or analysis of telephone 14 **Q** Does it include every transaction that's in those 15 records? 15 records that we have read out a minute ago? 16 A Yes, sir, my prior assignments in the Police 16 No, sir. These are specific records to specific Department included some tenure in the District Attorney's 17 phone numbers on specific dates and specific time periods. 17 18 office where I learned how to do phone record analysis. 18 In summary fashion? Α 19 **Q** Did you receive any training to do that? 19 Yes, sir. 20 20 A Yes, sir, I did. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves for the 21 Q From who? 21 admission of AZ 1. 22 A 22 From AT&T, Bell Atlantic at the time, they no longer MR. ROSEN: Objection. It does not -exist, some people from Verizon, and I had an opportunity 23 THE COURT: Come on up. 23 24 to get some training directly from the research arm of 24 (Sidebar.) AT&T, Lucent Technology. They had an office here on Long 25 THE COURT: Mr. Rosen. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz 1144 1146 Island. I spent some time with them. 1 MR. ROSEN: Is this coming in as an aid or as an **Q** Have you done actual analysis of telephone records in 2 2 aid to the jury or an exhibit in evidence? There is a difference. 3 other homicide investigations in the past when you were --3 4 prior to your retirement? 4 THE COURT: I'm aware of the difference. This A Yes. One other homicide investigation in the federal 5 record is a compilation, as the witness has said, of 5 6 system and one attempted murder case in the state system. 6 records that are already in evidence. I would assume it's 7 **Q** I will show you what has been received in evidence in 7 coming in as an aid? this matter, I will read them out for the record, but I'm 8 MR. MISKIEWICZ: Yes. 9 9 showing you what's been received in evidence as, among THE COURT: I will advise the jury they have to others, VZ 1, SN 1, AT 1, AT 2, AT 3, VZ 2, VZ 3, VZ 4, VZ 10 10 base their view of the evidence and their determination on 11 11 what is in the subpoenaed records. Those are in evidence. 5, 6, 7 and VW 2. 12 Showing you these, have you seen these records 12 But generally speaking, I allow charts to come 13 13 prior to your testimony today? in when there is voluminous records which have been 14 A Yes. 14 summarized to the extent of the government's view of the Q Have you reviewed them? 15 evidence. If you have a different view of what these are, 15 16 A Yes, sir. 16 you can put in your own charts. I don't have a problem 17 **Q** For what purpose have you reviewed those records? 17 with that. You can put them in through this witness. 18 18 The records contained here are records specific to MR. ROSEN: As long as the Court gives that 19 certain phone numbers attached to this case with 19 instruction to the jury, what you just said in regards to 20 20 principals named on those phone records as being part of that's the government's version that this is coming in 21 this case. 21 strictly as an aid, I have no problem, because this was 22 22 **Q** In anticipation of your testimony, were you asked to introduced as an exhibit, not as an aid. 23 prepare a summary of certain transactions that are 23 THE COURT: It will become an exhibit with the 24 contained in those exhibits that I just read aloud? 24 cautionary instruction that it is based on documents

25

already in evidence. It the government's view to assist

Official Court Reporter

Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR

25

A Yes, sir.

Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz | iled | 05/21/13 Page 19 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz 4022 | | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz |
| | | | 1149 |
| 1 | them. They don't have to adopt it. But it's an aid and | 1 | MR. ROSEN: That is the witness' |
| 2 | the Court finds that it will shorten the length of this | 2 | THE COURT: I'm allowing the witness to testify, |
| 3 | examination of this witness. We don't need him to | 3 | let him complete and he can use that answer and you can |
| 4 | recreate the chart in front of us by referring to all the | 4 | cross-examine him on than phrase. |
| 5 | different items in here. So that will be the instruction | 5 | MR. ROSEN: That word, pertinent. |
| 6 | the jury has. It's totally appropriate. | 6 | THE COURT: You certainly can, sir. |
| 7 | MR. ROSEN: Okay. | 7 | Please complete your answer, Mr. Zacarese. |
| 8 | (Sidebar concluded.) | 8 | Q Did you conclude your answer? |
| 9 | (In open court.) | 9 | A Yes, sir. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this | 10 | Q There are arrows in the middle. What do they |
| 11 | particular exhibit is going to be admitted into evidence. | 11 | represent? |
| 12 | It is based on records that are already in evidence. It | 12 | A That's the direction of the call. |
| 13 | is the government's view of what these phone calls mean. | 13 | Q So based on your training and your looking at the |
| 14 | Therefore, in order to assist you and aid you in | 14 | actual exhibits, you are able to determine who is calling |
| 15 | eventually reaching a verdict in this case, you can look | 15 | whom? |
| 16 | at this particular exhibit, but you must find that what is | 16 | A Yes, sir. |
| 17 | on the particular exhibit is in fact what is in the phone | 17 | Q In some instances, did you have, let's say, two |
| 18 | records. It has to be based on documents already in the | 18 | different or multiple records from different phones or |
| 19 | phone records. | 19 | different phone companies overlapping on a particular |
| 20 | So to that extent, I'm allowing it in as an | 20 | transaction? |
| 21 | exhibit, but it's not necessarily the evidence in this | 21 | A That takes a little explaining. The phone companies |
| 22 | case. You have to rely upon the phone records. This is | 22 | themselves, whether they be landline or cellular |
| 23 | to assist you and shorten the examination. You may have a | 23 | companies, each have unique characteristics and the way |
| 24 | different view of what these records represent, the whole | 24 | they do their bookkeeping for their phone records. |
| 25 | string of records that have been presented to you. | 25 | The ideal situation, even if you have the same |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| - | Official Court Reporter Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | | |
| | | | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz |
| 1 | 1148 | 1 | 1150 |
| 1 | 1148 If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. | 1 | 1150 company, when you are looking at two separate individual |
| 2 | 1148 If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. | 2 | 1150 company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same |
| 2 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: | 2 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending |
| 2 3 4 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in | 2 3 4 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be |
| 2 3 4 5 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you | 2 3 4 5 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? | 2 3 4 5 6 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. Q Now, was that all of the calls for that particular | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the phone company because they get paid by the call, or by the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. Q Now, was that all of the calls for that particular caller on that day or you just selected one? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the phone company because they get paid by the call, or by the minute, or by the second, however they decide to do their |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. Q Now, was that all of the calls for that particular caller on that day or you just selected one? A On that day, that's, this particular call was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the phone company because they get paid by the call, or by the minute, or by the second, however they decide to do their business. And that information has to be downloaded |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. Q Now, was that all of the calls for that particular caller on that day or you just selected one? A On that day, that's, this particular call was pertinent. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the phone company because they get paid by the call, or by the minute, or by the second, however they decide to do their business. And that information has to be downloaded periodically to the company in order to do the billing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. Q Now, was that all of the calls for that particular caller on that day or you just selected one? A On that day, that's, this particular call was pertinent. Q There are arrows | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the phone company because they get paid by the call, or by the minute, or by the second, however they decide to do their business. And that information has to be downloaded periodically to the company in order to do the billing records. So a dump would be the actual switching network, when you do a search for the switch, you describe what you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. Q Now, was that all of the calls for that particular caller on that day or you just selected one? A On that day, that's, this particular call was pertinent. Q There are arrows MR. ROSEN: I didn't quite get that, was what? THE WITNESS: That particular call was pertinent. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the phone company because they get paid by the call, or by the minute, or by the second, however they decide to do their business. And that information has to be downloaded periodically to the company in order to do the billing records. So a dump would be the actual switching network, when you do a search for the switch, you describe what you are looking for and, again, varying companies handle the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. Q Now, was that all of the calls for that particular caller on that day or you just selected one? A On that day, that's, this particular call was pertinent. Q There are arrows MR. ROSEN: I didn't quite get that, was what? THE WITNESS: That particular call was pertinent. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the phone company because they get paid by the call, or by the minute, or by the second, however they decide to do their business. And that information has to be downloaded periodically to the company in order to do the billing records. So a dump would be the actual switching network, when you do a search for the switch, you describe what you are looking for and, again, varying companies handle the Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | If would you, Mr. Miskiewicz. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, I'm showing you on the screen in front of you what is now in evidence as AZ 1. Did you prepare this chart? A Yes, sir, I did. Q You prepared it using the phone records that are in evidence, the ones that I read out loud before? A Yes, sir. The object was to take the phone records and look for certain comparison indicators in the phone records and then compile a chart from that. Q So just to orient the jury, the left-hand column has the date and the first one there on the upper left is 7/25/03. Do you see that? A Yes, sir. Q Now, was that all of the calls for that particular caller on that day or you just selected one? A On that day, that's, this particular call was pertinent. Q There are arrows MR. ROSEN: I didn't quite get that, was what? THE WITNESS: That particular call was pertinent. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | company, when you are looking at two separate individual phone records, for example, two cell phones from the same company or two landlines from the same company, depending on the type of records that you obtain, whether they be call detail records of the calls on that individual phone or what we call a dump of the switch information contained for that phone, very often you will find that you will get the outgoing call from one phone being recorded as an incoming call on the other phone that's being called. So you can do a comparison and see both sides of the transaction. Q Okay. What is a dump? A Without making it really technical, the switching network that most of the phone companies go through handles all the traffic of the phones going to and from locations. That information needs to be maintained by the phone company because they get paid by the call, or by the minute, or by the second, however they decide to do their business. And that information has to be downloaded periodically to the company in order to do the billing records. So a dump would be the actual switching network, when you do a search for the switch, you describe what you are looking for and, again, varying companies handle the |

₁₁₅₁4023

1 information and how long it's maintained in different

2 ways. Some last three months, some used to last six

3 months in the switching network where they could go back

4 six months and get records of particular transactions you

5 were looking for. That would be the switching network.

6 Q Okay.

When I get my bill in the mail from the phone

8 company, and there are phone numbers on there, is that a

9 dump?

7

10 A No, sir, that's your call detail. That's the

11 individual call detail that's produced for the billing

12 records.

13 Q So what's the difference in the information that's

14 provided in a dump versus what I get in the mail?

15 A A dump generally provides more information for the

16 phone company to accurately track their phone records,

17 considering that especially when you are going from one

18 carrier to another, or from a cell phone company through

19 the switching network of a landline company, you are

20 calling a regular house phone as opposed to another cell

21 phone.

22 Q What additional piece of information do you get out

23 of the dump that you don't get out of just, you know, your

24 cell phone bill, your phone bill?

25 A There is a higher degree of accuracy in the dump on

Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz

1152

- the time allotment. They split down, I think in some
- 2 cases to hundred thousandth of a second in some cases.
- 3 There are inbound and outbound call records are defined
- 4 better than your call at home, for example, on your bill.
- 5 It doesn't tell you which way it's going.
- **6 Q** Do you get both inbound and outbound calls on a dump?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- **8 Q** You don't get that in your monthly bill?
- 9 A Depending on the phone company, some people, if you
- 10 so desire, I guess you can ask the phone company to give
- 11 you that information. I know my bill doesn't have it.
- 12 Q All right.

13

Back to AZ 1. What you have depicted here, just

14 in general, what is it that -- the first several

15 transactions, what do you indicate here on July 25, July

16 30, July 31, August 1 of '03, what do you depict?

17 A Those are records we analyzed and looked at for the

18 Gargiulo cell phone number that was listed in the case.

19 Made phone calls from his cell phone to Agent Schelhorn's

20 phone on those dates and times for that duration.

21 Q Sir, in this case, the Gargiulo phone that you are

22 referring to, was that in Vincent Gargiulo's name or in

23 somebody else's name?

24 A I believe it was in Mr. Matulis' name.

25 Q Let's jump to the next transaction, August 4, 2003 at

Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 1 11:59. What did you find there?

2 A We found a transaction of the Bressman cell phone

3 records, calling the Gargiulo cell which lasted for 4.11

4 minutes.

5 Q Again, this is based on the actual records?

6 A These are the actual phone company records, yes, sir.

7 **Q** Again, for clarity, this isn't the only phone call on

8 that day for the Gargiulo cell -- or the Bressman cell?

9 A No, there were other cells. We were only looking for

10 connections that we were interested in.

11 Q Jumping down to the last date there, August 8, 2003,

12 specifically starting at 2:18:10, for the record, who is

13 calling whom here?

14 A At 2:18:10, we have Bressman's cell calling

15 Gargiulo's cell. At 2:18:31, we have another call from

16 the Bressman cell to the Gargiulo cell.

17 Q The first call was only 15 seconds, according to the

18 records you looked at?

19 A Yes, sir. And the next call was 1:37, one minute 37

20 seconds.

21 Q At 3:13 p.m., what happens?

22 A At 3:13 p.m., we have calls going -- doesn't

23 represent the reverse, but this time it's Gargiulo's cell

24 calling the Bressman cell, and the same thing for the next

25 phone call at 5:00 p.m.

Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz

1154

1153

1 Q At 5:00 p.m., there is a call going between the

2 Gargiulo cell and the Bressman cell, is that what you saw?

3 A At 5:00 p.m.?

4 Q At 5:00 p.m.

5 A Yes, the Gargiulo cell calls the Bressman cell phone,

6 at which call lasted 1.06.

7 Q And then at 5:06, what happens?

8 A At 5:06, on that same date, the Bressman cell phone

9 calls the cell phone listed for Tarantino and that call

10 lasts for ten seconds.

11 Q Were there any other calls between Bressman and

12 Tarantino phone on that day?

13 A Later on that evening, at 7:18 p.m., the Tarantino

14 cell phone calls the Bressman cell phone, and that call

15 lasted for one minute and 47 seconds.

16 Q Sir, I want to show you what's been received in

17 evidence as Government's Exhibit SN 1. There is a

18 stipulation in evidence that the number 646-739-3560 was

19 in 2003 used by the defendant in this case. This first

20 page of the record has what name as the user name?

21 A Andrew Levine, David Palau, and I believe in the

22 header of that page attached to care of Synergy Fitness or

23 Synergy gyms.

24 Q Is that what you are referring to (indicating) --

25 A Yes, sir.

Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

Filed 05/21/13 cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz

Q -- this number, 646-739-3560, is that what you are in

2 your summary chart referring to as the Tarantino cell?

3 A Yes, sir.

4 **Q** So even though it says Andrew Levine, David Palau,

5 care of Synergy Fitness as the billing name and account

6 billing name, that is the number, for purposes of your

7 analysis, that is referred to throughout the summary chart

8 as defendant's?

9 A Yes, sir.

10 **Q** I will show you the next page of SN 1. Is this page

11 of the actual phone company records that you retained and

12 analyzed for preparation of this summary chart?

13 A Yes, this is the page that was produced by Sprint

14 NEXTEL on those records for that phone number.

15 **Q** Just briefly, on left-hand column under customer PTN,

16 that's the phone number?

17 Α That is the phone number, yes, sir.

18 Q Is the date --

19 The date is designated by the date of the month, then

20 the month spelled out or abbreviated and then the year,

21 '03.

22 Q It has call initiation?

23 A The call initiation time is the time the call

24 actually starts, and it's done in military time.

25 **Q** Military time, that is 0 to 2400 hours?

> Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz

1156

A 0 meaning 3 in this case, 3:00 in the morning. 3:00

2 in the afternoon would be 1500.

3 **Q** And then under the column time, that's what, the

4 duration, or what is that?

A In this particular case, this company breaks it out 5

6 in seconds and then divides the seconds down into minutes

7 and decimal of minutes.

8 **Q** And then what is this, says outbound?

9 That means the call was an outbound call from this

particular phone going to the number that is following the 10

11 inbound or outbound designation.

12 **Q** So outbound to this 212 number?

13 A On the first line of the report, it would be outbound

14 from Mr. Tarantino's cell phone number to that 212 number.

15 **Q** And then elsewhere we see the word inbound but

16 basically the information is the same, but in that

instance the line refers to an inbound call?

18 A That phone number on the end was calling into the

19 defendant's cell phone.

20 **Q** Is this a dump?

21 Α Yes, sir.

22 **Q** Or an example of a dump.

Back to the second page of SZ 1, is the format

the same? 24

25 A Yes, sir, basically the format remains the same,

> Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

obviously the information on it continues going to the

2 calendar date on the left-hand side, we continue with the

3 calendar date.

4 **Q** So on August 9, did you find a call between the

5 defendant's cell phone and the Bressman cell phone?

6 Yes, sir, at 11:48 p.m., the Tarantino cell phone

7 called the Bressman cell phone, and that call lasted 16

8

9 **Q** There was similar contact between those two phones on

10 August 10, is that correct?

11 A Yes, sir. In that case, on August 10, there is one

12 call, each going in each direction.

13 Q Jumping to August 15, 2003, Friday, did you find a

14 call from Vincent Gargiulo to Special Agent Schelhorn in

15 that evening?

16 A Yes, at 7:06 p.m.

17 Q At 7:16 p.m. on August 15, any other phone calls that

18 you found that you analyzed and summarized on this chart?

19 Can you say that again?

20 **Q** Any other phone call on August 15 that you summarized

21 on this chart?

22 On the 15th at 7:16 p.m., we found a phone call

23 originating on the Tarantino cell going to the Bressman

24 cell for 0 minutes and seconds.

25 **Q** I want to focus your attention on the last

> Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz

1158

1157

transaction on this summary, August 16, 2003, Saturday, a

2 little after midnight. Did you have the records of the

3 Leshawn Campbell apartment?

4 Α Yes, sir.

5 Q Previously showing you what's in evidence as VZ 1,

6 the first page of that.

7 Α That's the subscriber information from Verizon, yes,

8 sir.

15

9 Q There is a call for 0 seconds to Martin Matulis'

10 cell. Is that a different cell in the name of Martin

11 Matulis or is that the Vincent Gargiulo cell phone?

12 A I would have to go back and look at the index, but I

13 think that's a different number.

14 **Q** We will come back to that later.

12:18 a.m., what did you find in your analysis

16 of the records?

17 From that apartment phone number listed on that

subscriber information, the Leshawn Campbell phone, that 18

19 phone made a call at 12:18 a.m. on the 16th and spoke for

20 three minutes and five seconds for the Tarantino cell.

21 **Q** You heard testimony earlier today questioning about 22 how long that phone call took place, or whether or not it

23 was of any length of time in that phone call.

24 Fair to say the Leshawn Campbell VZ 1 records,

25 the way this company kept the records, didn't tell you how

> Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

1290

23

17

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-15 Document 436-2 F | iled | d 05/21/13 Page 22 of 83 PageID #: M. Mulligan (Jury absent) |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz 4025 | | m. maingan gury absent/ |
| 1 | long that phone call was, correct? | 1 | MR. ROSEN: Yes. |
| 2 | A On the call detail in that phone company's records, | 2 | Mr. Flynn, you may inquire. |
| 3 | no, they do not. | 3 | MR. FLYNN: May I have one moment, your Honor? |
| 4 | Q How do you know it was 3 minutes and 3 3.05 | 4 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 5 | minutes? | 5 | (Pause) |
| 6 | A In this case, we go to the other phone and look for | 6 | () |
| 7 | the dump of the Tarantino cell, which is more explicit | 7 | MANON MULLIGAN, |
| 8 | than the Verizon records. In those records, it shows that | 8 | having been duly sworn |
| 9 | call lasted 3.05 minutes. | 9 | was examined and testified as follows: |
| 10 | Q Are you talking about this Andrew Levine, David | 10 | |
| 11 | Palau, for which there is a stipulation? | 11 | THE CLERK: State your name for the record, |
| 12 | A Yes, sir. | 12 | please. |
| 13 | Q The defendant's phone? | 13 | THE WITNESS: Manon Mulligan, M.A.N.O.N., |
| 14 | A The defendant's phone. | 14 | M.U.L.L.I.G.A.N. |
| 15 | Q SN 1? | 15 | THE COURT: You may inquire. |
| 16 | A SN 1, which stands for Sprint NEXTEL. | 16 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 17 | Q I'm showing you a page listed I will show you one | 17 | BY MR. FLYNN: |
| 18 | of the pages from that and ask you to tell us what you | 18 | Q Afternoon, Ms. Mulligan. |
| 19 | see, or what is it here that told you that that phone call | 19 | A Afternoon. |
| 20 | from the Leshawn Campbell apartment shortly after midnight | 20 | Q How are you? |
| 21 | Saturday lasted three minutes plus. | 21 | A I'm good. |
| 22 | Do you see that phone call? | 22 | Q Are you appearing here today pursuant to a subpoena |
| 23 | A Yes, sir. It's on the top of the two highlighted | 23 | that's been issued to you by my office, the United States |
| 24 | lines in that report, August 16, it shows at 18 minutes | 24 | Attorney's office? |
| 25 | after midnight | 25 | A Yes, I am. |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | | |
| | Zacarese - direct/Miskiewicz | | M. Mulligan (jury absent) |
| | 1160 | | 1162 |
| 1 | 1160 Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking | 1 | 1162 Q For the record, what country were you born in? |
| 2 | 1160 Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? | 2 | Q For the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. |
| 3 | 1160 Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's | 2 | Q For the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? |
| 2 3 4 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. | 2 3 4 | Q For the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? | 2 3 4 5 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. | 2 3 4 5 6 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 1160 Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | The record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | The second of th |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. Q Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q Thow do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. Q Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, I am. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm about to get | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. Q Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, I am. Q Is your husband currently incarcerated? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A Yes, sir, bust in front of the word inbound. Q That's the 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm about to get into another area. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. Q Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, I am. Q Is your husband currently incarcerated? A Yes, he is. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Yes, sir, but in front of the word inbound. Q That's the 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm about to get into another area. THE COURT: Now is a good time for us to break. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | To the record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. Q Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, I am. Q Is your husband currently incarcerated? A Yes, he is. Q Was your husband previously incarcerated in 2003 and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm about to get into another area. THE COURT: Now is a good time for us to break. Ladies and gentlemen, don't talk about the case. I will see you folks at 2:00. (A recess was taken.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | The record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. Q Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, I am. Q Is your husband currently incarcerated? A Yes, he is. Q Was your husband previously incarcerated in 2003 and 2004? A Yes, he was. Q What was he incarcerated for in 2003 and 2004? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm about to get into another area. THE COURT: Now is a good time for us to break. Ladies and gentlemen, don't talk about the case. I will see you folks at 2:00. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | The record, what country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. Q Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, I am. Q Is your husband currently incarcerated? A Yes, he is. Q Was your husband previously incarcerated in 2003 and 2004? A Yes, he was. Q What was he incarcerated for in 2003 and 2004? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm about to get into another area. THE COURT: Now is a good time for us to break. Ladies and gentlemen, don't talk about the case. I will see you folks at 2:00. (A recess was taken.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | The country were you born in? A In Canada. What region of Canada? A Quebec City. What is your native language? French Canadian. Are you a United States citizen? Yes, I am. Are you fluent in English? Yes. But is that your native language? No, French is. Sorry? French is. Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? Yes, I am. Is your husband currently incarcerated? Yes, he is. Was your husband previously incarcerated in 2003 and 2004? Yes, he was. What was he incarcerated for in 2003 and 2004? MR. ROSEN: Objection, relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm about to get into another area. THE COURT: Now is a good time for us to break. Ladies and gentlemen, don't talk about the case. I will see you folks at 2:00. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, we are going forward with this hearing? Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | The control of the country were you born in? A In Canada. Q What region of Canada? A Quebec City. Q What is your native language? A French Canadian. Q Are you a United States citizen? A Yes, I am. Q Are you fluent in English? A Yes. Q But is that your native language? A No, French is. Q Sorry? A French is. Q Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? A Yes, I am. Q Is your husband currently incarcerated? A Yes, he is. Q Was your husband previously incarcerated in 2003 and 2004? A Yes, he was. Q What was he incarcerated for in 2003 and 2004? MR. ROSEN: Objection, relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q Let me put my pen there. Is this what we are talking about (indicating)? A Yes, sir. We have an inbound call to Mr. Tarantino's cell phone that lasts for 3.08 minutes. Q That's the 3.08 minutes? A Yes, sir, just in front of the word inbound. Q This is Leshawn Campbell's number? A Yes, sir, that's the phone number on the Verizon records for Leshawn Campbell. Q This is the defendant's number, 646-739-3560? A Yes, sir. Q How do we know the time of day this phone call occurred? A Right after 15 August '03. Q 15 August? A Sorry, 16, 16 August '03, there are the numbers 0:18, and that represents 18 minutes after midnight. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, I'm about to get into another area. THE COURT: Now is a good time for us to break. Ladies and gentlemen, don't talk about the case. I will see you folks at 2:00. (A recess was taken.) THE COURT: Mr. Rosen, we are going forward with this hearing? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | The country were you born in? A In Canada. What region of Canada? A Quebec City. What is your native language? French Canadian. Are you a United States citizen? Yes, I am. Are you fluent in English? Yes. But is that your native language? No, French is. Sorry? French is. Are you married to an individual by the name of Scott Mulligan? Yes, I am. Is your husband currently incarcerated? Yes, he is. Was your husband previously incarcerated in 2003 and 2004? Yes, he was. What was he incarcerated for in 2003 and 2004? MR. ROSEN: Objection, relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. |

| | Cas | se 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F M. Mulligan (Jury absent) | iled | 05/21/13 | M. Mulligan (Jury absent) |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | M. Mulligan (Jury absent) 4026 | | | 1165 |
| 1 | Α | Conspiracy of selling marijuana. | 1 | hearing. | |
| 2 | | Where did he serve his prison term for that crime | 2 | - | COURT: Any objection? |
| 3 | | oughout the year of 2003 and 2004? | 3 | | ROSEN: Yes. If it expired in 2002 and the |
| 4 | Α | In Massachusetts. | 4 | | ace in 2003, what is the relevance? |
| 5 | Q | When did Mr. Mulligan, Scott, report to federal | 5 | | COURT: All right. |
| 6 | pris | son in Massachusetts specifically? | 6 | MR. | ROSEN: She went to Canada in 2003, she |
| 7 | Α | In January. | 7 | didn't have a p | passport. I don't know the purpose of any |
| 8 | Q | Of what year? | 8 | of this based ι | upon the fact we are supposed to be dealing |
| 9 | Α | Of 2003. | 9 | with Mr. Froca | ro, Mr. Pellegrino. |
| 10 | Q | And after he reported to prison on January 3 of 2003 | 10 | THE | COURT: We are not dealing with any of these |
| 11 | in N | Massachusetts, did you leave the country for a period | 11 | people. This i | s an offer of proof on the authentication |
| 12 | of t | time? | 12 | issue. We are | e not dealing with that at this point in |
| 13 | | Yes, I did. | 13 | time, maybe o | on appeal, but it's not being dealt with now. |
| 14 | Q | Where did you go? | 14 | What's the rele | evance of this passport? |
| 15 | Α | Costa Rica. | 15 | MR. | FLYNN: To set a foundation for when she |
| 16 | | Did you go visit your family after he reported to | 16 | left the country | y and when she returned from Quebec. There |
| 17 | • | son? | 17 | | the passport that indicate she returned from |
| 18 | | Yes, I did. | 18 | • | the country on January 22, 2003. |
| 19 | Q | Where does your family live? | 19 | | COURT: You have stamps from 2003? |
| 20 | Α | In Quebec City, Canada. | 20 | | FLYNN: I do, your Honor. |
| 21 | Q | After Scott left to go to prison in January of 2003, | 21 | | COURT: Then I will allow it to come in on |
| 22 | | ere did you go? | 22 | that basis only | |
| 23 | | To Quebec City. | 23 | | ernment Exhibit MM 1 received in evidence.) |
| 24 | Q | For what reason? | 24 | | FLYNN: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 25 | Α | To visit my family. | 25 | BY MR. FLYN | |
| | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | | Official Court Reporter | | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | M Mulligan (jum, abount) | | | M Mulligan (juny aboont) |
| | | M. Mulligan (jury absent) | | | M. Mulligan (jury absent) |
| 1 | O | 1164 | 1 | Q Ms Mullio | 1166 |
| 1 2 | Q | 1164 Okay. | 1 2 | | |
| 1 2 3 | _ | Okay. Who did you go with? | 2 | passport. | 1166 |
| 3 | Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. | 2 | passport. A Yes. | 1166 |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | _ | Okay. Who did you go with? | 2 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. | 1166 gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the |
| 3 4 | _ | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? | 2 3 4 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. | 1166 |
| 3 4 5 | _ | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. I | 1166 gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? |
| 3 4 5 6 | A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. | 2 3 4 5 6 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. | nd66 gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A Q has | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mulliq | nd 1166 gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A Q has Go | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked | the gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Q has Go | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullique been marked have directed | an, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Q has Go mir | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullique been marked have directed that's the nume Each page is in the second of the second o | an, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. In the passport individually stamped. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q has Go mir like | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you be. Yes. Do you recognize that document? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is i | pan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Inber that's actually written on the passport. Individually stamped. Du it is now appearing on the screen in |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A Q has Go mir like A Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you be. Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullique been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is in Do ye the courtroom | gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. hber that's actually written on the passport. individually stamped. ou it is now appearing on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A Q has Go mir like A Q A Q | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you expect that document? Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mulligher marked a have directed. That's the number beach page is in the courtroom marking on your service. | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Inber that's actually written on the passport. Individually stamped. Individually stam |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A Q has Go mirr like A Q A Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you exhibit so you recognize that document? Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is a Do you the courtroom marking on you reflects your tr | gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. hber that's actually written on the passport. individually stamped. ou it is now appearing on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A Q has Go mir like A Q A Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you see. Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is i Do you the courtroom marking on you reflects your to prison? | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Inber that's actually written on the passport. Individually stamped. Individually stam |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Q has Go mir like A Q A Q you | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you be. Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mulligher marked a have directed. That's the number beach page is in the courtroom marking on your effects your triprison? A Yes. | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. hber that's actually written on the passport. individually stamped. ou it is now appearing on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a our passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Q has Go mirr like A Q A Q you A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you expect that document? Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to us? It was issued in 1997. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mulligher marked a have directed. That's the nunneach page is in the courtroom marking on your reflects your triprison? A Yes. Q Would your triprison. | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. individually stamped. ou it is now appearing on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a our passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Q has Go mirr like A Q A Q you A Q | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you expect that document? Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to us? It was issued in 1997. Is it still current? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is a Do you the courtroom marking on you reflects your tr prison? A Yes. Q Would you A It's a blace | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Individually stamped. The property of the monitors. Do you see a pur passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for u identify what that stamp looks like? The property of the stamp of the street in the passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for u identify what that stamp looks like? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A Q has Go mirr like A Q A Q you A Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you be. Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to us? It was issued in 1997. Is it still current? No, it's not. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is i Do you the courtroom marking on you reflects your tr prison? A Yes. Q Would you A It's a blace entering Cana | gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Inber that's actually written on the passport. Individually stamped. Fou it is now appearing on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a four passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for The identify what that stamp looks like? The identify what looks like? The identify what looks like? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Q has Go mir like A Q A Q you A Q A Q | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you is: Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to it? It was issued in 1997. Is it still current? No, it's not. When did it expire? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mulligher marked a have directed. That's the number beach page is in the courtroom marking on your effects your triprison? A Yes. Q Would your marking Canal Q And the work. | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Inber that's actually written on the passport. Individually stamped. Individually stamped. Individually stamped. Individually stamped on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a four passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for the unidentify what that stamp looks like? It's a stamp on January 14, 2003 ada, Quebec City. Individually written on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a four passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for the unidentify what that stamp looks like? It's a stamp on January 14, 2003 ada, Quebec City. Individually stamped the passport on that black stamp indicates it was |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Q has Go mirr like A Q A Q you A Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you expect that document? Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to an it is still current? No, it's not. When did it expire? 2002. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullighteen marked a have directed that's the nunneach page is in the courtroom marking on your effects your transport of the courtroom marking on your effects your transport of the courtroom marking on your effects your transport of the courtroom marking on your effects your transport of the courtroom of the cou | gan, I ask you to turn to page 10 of the ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Inber that's actually written on the passport. Individually stamped. Fou it is now appearing on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a four passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for The identify what that stamp looks like? The identify what looks like? The identify what looks like? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Q has Go mirr like A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you is. Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to it? It was issued in 1997. Is it still current? No, it's not. When did it expire? 2002. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, the United States moves | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is a Do you the courtroom marking on you reflects your tr prison? A Yes. Q Would you A It's a blace entering Cana Q And the w issued, looks it A Yes. | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Individually stamped. Fou it is now appearing on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a four passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for Fix stamp. It's a stamp on January 14, 2003 and, Quebec City. For writing on that black stamp indicates it was like from Customs in Quebec, Canada? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Q has Go mirr like A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you is. Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to it? It was issued in 1997. Is it still current? No, it's not. When did it expire? 2002. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, the United States moves the admission of this passport for the purposes of the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is a Do you the courtroom marking on you reflects your tr prison? A Yes. Q Would you A It's a blace entering Cana Q And the w issued, looks it A Yes. | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Inber that's actually written on the passport. Individually stamped. Individually stam |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Q has Go mirr like A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A | Okay. Who did you go with? My mother and my son. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, may I approach? THE COURT: Yes. MR. FLYNN: Thank you. Ms. Mulligan, I handed you a physical exhibit which is been marked for identification in this trial as evernment's Exhibit MM, for Manon Mulligan, 1. Take a mute to look at that. Read through it, if would you is. Yes. Do you recognize that document? Yes, it's my passport. What country issued you that passport? Canada. Referring to the inside, what year was it issued to it? It was issued in 1997. Is it still current? No, it's not. When did it expire? 2002. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, the United States moves | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | passport. A Yes. Q Okay. MR. THE MR. Q Ms. Mullig been marked a have directed That's the nun Each page is a Do you the courtroom marking on you reflects your tr prison? A Yes. Q Would you A It's a blace entering Cana Q And the w issued, looks it A Yes. | ROSEN: Judge, can I see the document? COURT: Sure. Show it to counsel. FLYNN: Certainly. gan, your passport is now in evidence. It's and admitted as Government's Exhibit MM 1. I your attention to page 10 of your passport. Individually stamped. Fou it is now appearing on the screen in as well and on the monitors. Do you see a four passport on that page, page 10, which rip to Quebec after your husband left for Fix stamp. It's a stamp on January 14, 2003 and, Quebec City. For writing on that black stamp indicates it was like from Customs in Quebec, Canada? |

Filed 05/21/13 Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 M. Mulligan (jury absent) M. Muliigan (jury absent) 11674027 A Yes. There was a white envelope with a typed address on 2 **Q** Does that comport with your recollection as to when 2 it. you left for Canada to visit your family after Scott was, 3 Q Whose address -- withdrawn. 3 or after Scott reported to federal prison in Massachusetts 4 4 What did the envelope physically look like? 5 in 2003? 5 Α It was about 4-by-6, white, and it was typed in 6 A Yes. 6 black. 7 **Q** Do you see a corresponding stamp on that page, same 7 Q What was typed on the outside of the envelope? page, reflects your return to the United States from 8 Α It was Scott Mulligan to our address. 8 9 Quebec City in 2003? 9 Q The letter was addressed to your husband? A Yes. 10 Α 10 Q 11 **Q** That stamp is a little bit difficult to make out as 11 At your home, 4 Joseph Court in Bellmore? it appears on the screens in courtroom. But can you read 12 Α 12 Q 13 the date on the booklet you have in front of you? 13 Did you notice a postmark? 14 A Yes, I can. 14 Α Q **15 Q** For the record, I'm referring to the red stamp which 15 Did you notice a return address? overlaps in part the Quebec, black Quebec stamp, am I 16 Α correct? 17 Q Did you open the envelope? 17 18 A Yes. 18 Α Yes, I did. 19 **Q** What's the date of the stamp? 19 Q Where in the house were you standing when you opened 20 A January 22, 2003. 20 the envelope? 21 Q Does that date, January 22, 2003 comport with your 21 I was downstairs in the living room. 22 Q 22 recollection as to when you returned to the United States Was anyone with you at the time? from Canada after your trip following your husband's 23 Α Yes. 23 24 reporting to prison? 24 Q Who? A Yes. 25 My mother and my son. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan (jury absent) M. Mulligan (jury absent) 1168 1170 Q How did you -- by what means of transportation did Q How old -- what's your son's name? 2 Ty. 2 you return to the United States? Α Q 3 A I took a car service. 3 How old was Ty at the time? 4 **Q** How did you first get into the country from Quebec? 4 Α Ty was about eight months. A Airplane. O What was inside the envelope? 5 5 **Q** Where did you land? 6 6 Α There was a letter. 7 A I landed in Newark, New Jersey. 7 Q How many pieces of paper? **Q** From the Newark Airport, where did you go on January 8 Α 22, 2003? 9 Q 9 What size was the piece of paper, if you can recall? 10 Α A I went to my home in Bellmore, Long Island. Like 5-by-7, about. 10 Q 11 **Q** Is that where you were living at the time, Bellmore? 11 What color was the paper? 12 A Yes. 12 Α White. 13 Q Is that where your husband Scott had lived prior to 13 **Q** Was the letter handwritten? 14 his reporting to prison 19 days earlier? 14 Α No, it was typed also. A Yes. 15 Q Was it signed? 15 Α 16 **Q** When you got home from the airport that day after 16 No. spending roughly eight days in Canada, what did you do? Q 17 17 So it was anonymous essentially? Α 18 A I opened my mail. 18 19 19 **Q** Where did you open your mail? Q How long was the letter? Α 20 A Downstairs in my living room. 20 Maybe two paragraphs, half a page. 21 **Q** Was there anything included in the mail that had 21 Q Did you read the letter? 22 Α Yes, I did. 22 accumulated over the eight days you were out of the 23 country that caused you concern? 23 Q In sum and substance, what did the letter say? A Yes. 24 24 It said that whoever wrote the letter had requested a 25 25 **Q** What did you find? ransom of 500,000 in exchange of a tape that he had. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter 1290

Filed 05/21/13 Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 M. Maliigan (jury absent) **Q** When you use the word ransom, did the letter contain **Q** As you read the letter, did you have an understanding 2 a demand essentially for \$500,000? as to who had written it? 3 A Yes. 3 Α Yes. Q Q It referenced a tape. Did the letter, as you read it Who? 4 4 Α 5 and as you recall, did it say what was on this tape? 5 Vinnie Gargiulo. A It said that it was previous criminal crimes that 6 Had something occurred prior to Scott reporting for 6 7 they have done. 7 prison which caused you to believe that the letter had 8 **Q** Who did you -- based on your reading of the letter on 8 been written by Vinnie? 9 January 22, 2003, who did you -- whose crimes did you 9 A Yes. 10 Q What? 10 understand the letter to be referring to? 11 A Chris Tarantino and my husband Scott Mulligan. 11 One night we went out for dinner, we got home, I 12 **Q** Did the letter say anything about what would happen 12 checked the messages and there was a message on the 13 13 if money was not paid to the author? answering service. 14 A That he would return the tape to the FBI. 14 **Q** Were you and your husband physically home when this 15 Q Did it say anything else? 15 message had been left on your answering machine? 16 A Yes, there was a deadline. 16 Α 17 **Q** Again, where were you? 17 **Q** Did the letter say -- to the best of your recollection, did the letter say anything about what would 18 Α We were out to dinner. happen if somebody happened to the author of the letter? 19 **Q** When you came home from dinner, who played the 20 A That somebody else had a copy of the tape as well. 20 message? 21 Q And? 21 Α I did. 22 A And whoever had it would return it to the FBI if 22 Q Did your husband also hear it? 23 Α Yes. 23 something would happen to him. 24 **Q** Was there something about the letter that caused you 24 Q And on the message, what did you hear? 25 particular concern and caused you particular anxiety? 25 I heard somebody rambling and screaming. It was hard Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan (jury absent) M. Mulligan (jury absent) 1172 1174 Yes, the deadline. to make up what he was saying, but I heard something like 2 **Q** What deadline? 2 stop talking about me or I will go kill you, and the rest A There was a date that if he didn't get the money by 3 was just screaming. 3 that date, that he would turn the tape in but the date had **Q** After that message was left, did you subsequently 4 4 passed. come to understand that Mr. Gargiulo had left it? 5 5 6 Q Sorry? 6 Α No, not that night. 7 A The date had passed when I got home and opened the 7 Q But did you subsequently, after the message was left, envelope, and I read the letter, I noticed that the date come to understand that Vinnie had left it? 9 Α 9 was already passed, that I was actually in Canada when Yes. that happened. 10 Q 10 Going back to January 22, 2003, the day you returned 11 Q So if I understand you correctly, there was a 11 from Quebec and read the letter and became scared because 12 deadline in the letter that indicated that if the money 12 the deadline in the letter passed, did you call anyone? 13 was not paid, the tape would be turned over to the FBI? 13 Α Yes, I did. A Yes. 14 Q Who did you call? Q If I understand your testimony correctly, you were 15 A I called my friend Keith Pellegrino. concerned because that date had already passed? 16 Is he a friend of yours -- was he a friend of yours in 2003? A Yes. 17 Α 18 Q Because -- were you -- had you been in Canada for 18 Yes. over a week prior? 19 **Q** Was he a friend of your husband's in 2003? 20 Α

14

15

17

19

20 A Yes.

21 Q Were you scared at this point?

22 A Yes, I was.

23 Q Why?

24 Α Because I was alone with my son at home and my

25 mother.

> Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

Q

21

22

23 Α Yes.

Did you understand him to be a friend of the

24 Q Is Mr. Pellegrino also a business partner and owner

defendant's in 2003?

of certain Synergy gyms on Long Island? Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

| 1177 1 A Yes. 2 Q How soon after you read this threatening letter did 3 you call Mr. Keith Pellegrino? 4 A Right away. 5 Q What did you say to him? 6 A I said that I got something weird in the mail and he 7 said okay, I will come over. 8 Q Did you subsequently see Mr. Pellegrino that day? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 21 G When he said that attorney, who did you understand him 22 G Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | your |
|--|-------|
| 1 June vacation? 2 Q How soon after you read this threatening letter did 3 you call Mr. Keith Pellegrino? 4 A Right away. 5 Q What did you say to him? 6 A I said that I got something weird in the mail and he 7 said okay, I will come over. 8 Q Did you subsequently see Mr. Pellegrino that day? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney 21 girst before you tell him anything. 22 A No. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 24 June vacation? 25 THE COURT: June vacation where? 26 MR. ROSEN: To Canada. 26 THE COURT: June of 2003, all right. 27 Q Do you remember that conversation you had with you about the letter? 28 A No. 29 A No. 21 Q In January of this year? 29 A No. 21 Q If I played it, would it help you refresh your recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 28 A No. 29 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of You didn't remember that conversation you had with you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of He You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of You didn't remember that conversation you had with you about the letter? 27 A No. 28 Did you tell him anything. 29 A No. 29 A No. 29 A No. 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of You didn't remember that conversation you had with you about the letter? 28 A No. 29 A No. 29 A No. 20 A No. 20 Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the |) |
| 3 MR. ROSEN: To Canada. 4 A Right away. 5 Q What did you say to him? 6 A I said that I got something weird in the mail and he 7 said okay, I will come over. 8 Q Did you subsequently see Mr. Pellegrino that day? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney 21 G When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 22 G When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 23 MR. ROSEN: To Canada. 14 THE COURT: What year? 15 MR. ROSEN: 2003. 16 THE COURT: June of 2003, all right. 7 Q Do you remember that conversation you had with yeah he availed to THE COURT: June of 2003, all right. 7 Q Do you remember that conversation you had with yeah husband? 9 A No. 10 Q About the letter? 11 A No. 12 Q In January of this year? 13 A No. 14 Q If I played it, would it help you refresh your recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 17 A Yes, but I never said anything. 18 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember letter Y You didn't remember letter Y You didn't remember letter Yo |) |
| 4 Right away. 5 Q What did you say to him? 5 Q What did you say to him? 6 A I said that I got something weird in the mail and he 7 said okay, I will come over. 8 Q Did you subsequently see Mr. Pellegrino that day? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney 21 Girst before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 24 THE COURT: What year? 5 MR. ROSEN: 2003. THE COURT: What year? 6 MR. ROSEN: 2003. THE COURT: What year? 9 A No. 14 Q Do you remember that conversation you had with year? 16 No. 17 A No. 18 Q About the letter? 19 I played it, would it help you refresh your recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 19 A Yes, but I never said anything. 19 Letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter year. 22 A No. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him |) |
| 5 Q What did you say to him? 6 A I said that I got something weird in the mail and he 7 said okay, I will come over. 8 Q Did you subsequently see Mr. Pellegrino that day? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 25 MR. ROSEN: 2003. 6 THE COURT: June of 2003, all right. 7 Q Do you remember that conversation you had with y husband? 9 A No. 10 Q About the letter? 11 A No. 12 Q In January of this year? 13 A No. 14 Q If I played it, would it help you refresh your recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 17 A Yes, but I never said anything. 18 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember when you got Q P Sefore I play the tape, tell me what was in the |) |
| 6 A I said that I got something weird in the mail and he 7 said okay, I will come over. 8 Q Did you subsequently see Mr. Pellegrino that day? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 10 Q About the letter? 11 A No. 12 Q In January of this year? 13 A No. 14 Q If I played it, would it help you refresh your 15 recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him |) |
| 7 Q Do you remember that conversation you had with y 8 Q Did you subsequently see Mr. Pellegrino that day? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 27 Q Do you remember that conversation you had with y 8 husband? 9 A No. 10 Q About the letter? 11 A No. 12 Q In January of this year? 13 A No. 14 Q If I played it, would it help you refresh your 15 recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 16 You about the letter? 17 A Yes, but I never said anything. 18 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter of you didn't remember that converses |) |
| 8 |) |
| 9 A Yes. 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 10 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 G When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | |
| 10 Q Where? 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 21 d A No. 21 D A A No. 21 D A A No. 22 A No. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 21 D A A No. 22 A No. 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | |
| 11 A At my house. 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 21 I A No. 22 Q In January of this year? 13 A No. 14 Q If I played it, would it help you refresh your 15 recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 16 you about the letter? 17 A Yes, but I never said anything. 18 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the You You didn't remember exactly what was in the You You Hell No. | |
| 12 Q The same day, January 22, 2003? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 29 In January of this year? 19 It played it, would it help you refresh your 19 recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 16 Yes, but I never said anything. 18 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter 22 A No. 22 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | |
| 13 A Yes. 14 Q Did you give Mr. Pellegrino anything when he arrived? 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 10 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 11 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 12 first before you tell him anything. 13 A No. 14 Q If I played it, would it help you refresh your 15 recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 16 you about the letter? 17 A Yes, but I never said anything. 18 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter 22 A No. 22 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | |
| 15 A I gave him the letter. 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 10 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 25 recollection of what you said to him and what he said to you about the letter? 26 you about the letter? 27 A Yes, but I never said anything. 28 Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you didn't remember exactly what was in the letter you about the letter? | |
| 16 Q Did he read it in your presence? 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 10 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 16 you about the letter? 17 A Yes, but I never said anything. 18 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got 19 letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter 22 A No. 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | |
| 17 A Yes, he did. 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 24 Yes, but I never said anything. 25 Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got 19 letter? 26 A No. 27 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter 28 A No. 28 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | t the |
| 18 Q After he read the letter, what did Mr. Pellegrino say 19 to you? 10 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 24 Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got 25 A No. 26 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got 27 A No. 28 Q Did you tell him you didn't remember when you got 29 A No. 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter 22 A No. 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | t the |
| 19 to you? 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 29 letter? 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter 22 A No. 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | t the |
| 20 A He said that he would give it to the attorney and he 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 20 A No. 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter 22 A No. 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | |
| 21 said don't tell Scott, let's just give it to the attorney 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 21 Q You didn't remember exactly what was in the letter 22 A No. 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | |
| 22 first before you tell him anything. 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 22 A No. 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | _ |
| 23 Q When he said the attorney, who did you understand him 23 Q Before I play the tape, tell me what was in the | ? |
| | |
| 24 to be referring to? 24 letter that you read? What was the date on the letter? | |
| 24 to be referring to? 25 A James Frocaro. 26 letter that you read? What was the date on the letter? 27 Letter that you read? What was the date on the letter? 28 A James Frocaro. | |
| Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | |
| Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter | |
| Mulligan - cross/Rosen Mulligan - cross/Rosen | |
| 1176 | i |
| 1 Q Did Mr. Frocaro represent your husband in January of 1 Q You testified that the date had already expired for | |
| 2 2003? 2 the demand, correct? | |
| 3 A Yes, but it was no date on the top of the letter. | |
| 4 Q Did Mr. Pellegrino leave with the letter that day? 5 A Yes. 4 Q What was the date for the demand in the letter? 5 A I don't remember the exact date, but I remember | :4 |
| 6 Q Have you ever seen that letter again? 6 was passed, January 22. | ıı |
| 7 A No. 7 Q Do you remember the date of the demand? | |
| 8 MR. FLYNN: No further questions, your Honor. 8 A No, I don't. | |
| 9 THE COURT: Any questions? 9 Q So that's one portion of the letter that you don't | |
| 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 remember, correct? | |
| 11 BY MR. ROSEN: 11 A That's correct. | |
| 12 Q Mrs. Mulligan, you have conversed with your husband, 12 Q We know that the letter was signed by Vincent | |
| 13 have you not, since he has been in jail since December of 13 Gargiulo? | |
| 14 2011 on the telephone? 14 A It was not signed. | |
| 15 A Yes. 15 Q It was not signed? | |
| 16 Q I have subpoenaed those phone calls and I have your 16 A No. | |
| 17 calls with you and your husband. 18 A Okay | |
| 18 A Okay. 19 Q I just want you to know that. 18 Vincent Gargiulo had sent it to you? 19 A Well, I just had a call prior to that threatening my | , |
| 20 Did you receive the letter after you came back 20 husband, and I knew that he had some mental problem. | |
| 21 from your June vacation in Canada or your January vacation 21 drug problem, so it was pretty clear when I read the | anu |
| 22 in Canada? 22 letter that it was from Vinnie. | |
| 23 A My January vacation. 23 Q So you had received a message on your landline p | hone? |
| l | |
| 24 Q Did anything happen in June in regards to the letter, 24 A Yes. | |
| Q Did anything happen in June in regards to the letter, the tape or anything else after you came back from your Q And this is before your husband went to Devons, | |
| | |

| | Cas | se 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Mulligan - cross/Rosen 4000 | iled | 05/ | 21/13 Page 27 of 83 PageID #: Muligan - cross/Rosen |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | Mulligan - cross/Rosen 4030 | | | mumgan - cross/kosen ^o 1181 |
| 4 | 00- | | 4 | 0 | |
| 2 | COL | rect? Yes. | 1 2 | | You are testifying under oath that you did not know |
| 3 | Q | So your husband would have heard that, correct? | 3 | A A | as Vincent Gargiulo who was on the phone? No, I didn't know. |
| 4 | A | Yes. | 4 | Q | The letter was not signed. You don't remember the |
| 5 | Q | Because you played it together? | 5 | | nand date. And there was no date on the letter itself, |
| 6 | A | No, I played it first and I let him listen after. | 6 | corr | |
| 7 | Q | And you were there when he heard it? | 7 | A | No. |
| 8 | A | Yes. | 8 | Q | Was the letter more than one page? |
| 9 | Q | Then the letter comes to you shortly thereafter, is | 9 | A | No, it wasn't. |
| 10 | | t what you are testifying under oath today, that's what | 10 | Q | Was it handwritten or typed? |
| 11 | | opened, the sequence? | 11 | A | It was typed. |
| 12 | - | I received the letter when I got home and I opened my | 12 | Q | And tell us, to the best of your recollection, what |
| 13 | | il. It was already at my house when I arrived on | 13 | - | on the letter? |
| 14 | | nuary 22 from Canada. | 14 | A | There was mention of a tape. |
| 15 | | What was the date that you had received the message | 15 | Q | Tell us what was said about the tape on the letter. |
| 16 | | the phone from Vincent Gargiulo? | 16 | A | The tape said that he said that he had a tape that |
| 17 | A | I don't remember the date. I know it was about | 17 | | prior crime, criminal crime that they have done, and |
| 18 | | vember, December of '02. | 18 | | e didn't get 500,000 by a certain date, that he would |
| 19 | Q | Did you save the message? | 19 | | rn the tape to the FBI, and if he was for some reason |
| 20 | A | No. | 20 | | vould disappear, somebody else had a copy of that tape |
| 21 | Q | Did Mr. Pellegrino hear the message? | 21 | | they would give it to the FBI. |
| 22 | Ā | No. | 22 | Q | What else did it say? |
| 23 | Q | Did Mr. Tarantino hear the message? | 23 | A | That's it. |
| 24 | A | Yes, he did. | 24 | Q | Did it mention your husband by name? |
| 25 | Q | And when did you play that for him? | 25 | - | I don't recall. |
| | _ | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | | Official Court Reporter | | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | Mulligan - cross/Rosen | | | Mulligan - cross/Rosen |
| | | <u> </u> | | | muniguri oroso/100cm |
| | | 1180 | | | 1182 |
| 1 | Α | | 1 | Q | _ |
| 1 2 | | 1180 I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. | 1 2 | Q A | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. |
| _ | for Q | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan | | _ | 1182 Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? |
| 2 | for Q | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? | 2 3 4 | A Q A | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. |
| 2 3 4 5 | for Q | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. | 2 3 4 5 | A Q | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? |
| 2 3 4 | for Q play A Q | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's | 2 3 4 5 6 | A Q A | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | for Q play A Q Jan | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A Q A Q | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A Q A | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | for Q play A Q Jarr son me | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the ssage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Q A Q | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the ssage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A Q A Q | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the ssage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A Q A Q | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A Q | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the ssage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A Q A Q | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | for Q play A Q Jarr son me that A Q hus | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A Q A Q | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A Q hus A | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A Q A Q Port | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A Q hust A that | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the ssage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left the message, because I had no clue. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A Q A Q Port had | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A Q hus A that Q | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the ssage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A Q A Q Port had | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make officetions of her testimony here today. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | for Q pla A Q Jarr sor me tha A Q hus A tha Q A | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A Q A Q Port had reco | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make ollections of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A Q hust A that Q A Q | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A Q A Q Port had reco | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make office tions of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | for Q play A Q Jarr son me that A Q huss A that Q A Q rec | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the ssage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to the message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eiving this message? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A Q A Q Port had reco | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make office tions of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A Q hus A that Q A Q rec A | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eiving this message? I met Vinnie in 1994. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A Q A Q Port had reco | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make office tions of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ternment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same is that I got from GEO. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | for Q plat A Q Jarr sor me that A Q hus A that Q A Q rec A Q | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to the message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eviving this message? I met Vinnie in 1994. So we are talking about December of '02. That's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A Q A Q Port had recco | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make officions of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same is that I got from GEO. MR. FLYNN: For the record, we received seven |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A Q hus A that Q A Q rec A Q eight | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eiving this message? I met Vinnie in 1994. So we are talking about December of '02. That's int years? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A Q A Q Port had reco | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make elections of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same is that I got from GEO. MR. FLYNN: For the record, we received seven speat disks that contain hundreds of calls. We got them |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | for Q play A Q Jarr sor me that A Q hus A that Q A Q rec A Q eight | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the ssage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to the message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eiving this message? I met Vinnie in 1994. So we are talking about December of '02. That's hit years? Yes. When somebody is screaming on the phone and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Q A Q Port had record gove disk | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make offections of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same is that I got from GEO. MR. FLYNN: For the record, we received seven spect disks that contain hundreds of calls. We got them days ago. We haven't been able to listen to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | for Q play A Q Jarr som that A Q hust A that Q A Q rec A Q eight A doctors | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to the message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eliving this message? I met Vinnie in 1994. So we are talking about December of '02. That's hit years? Yes. When somebody is screaming on the phone and tesn't make any sense or they are on drugs, sometimes you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Q A Q Port had reccordisk commutwo entire | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make office tions of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same is that I got from GEO. MR. FLYNN: For the record, we received seven apact disks that contain hundreds of calls. We got them days ago. We haven't been able to listen to the rety of the disks, but they are in our physical |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | for Q play A Q Jarr som that A Q hust A that Q A Q rec A Q eight A doctors | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to the message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eiving this message? I met Vinnie in 1994. So we are talking about December of '02. That's hit years? Yes. When somebody is screaming on the phone and tesn't make any sense or they are on drugs, sometimes you not make sense of who is talking. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A Q A Q Port had reccordisk commutwo entire | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make office tions of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same is that I got from GEO. MR. FLYNN: For the record, we received seven apact disks that contain hundreds of calls. We got them days ago. We haven't been able to listen to the rety of the disks, but they are in our physical session. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | for Q play A Q Jarr som that A Q hust A that Q A Q rec A Q eight A doctors | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eiving this message? I met Vinnie in 1994. So we are talking about December of '02. That's hit years? Yes. When somebody is screaming on the phone and tesn't make any sense or they are on drugs, sometimes you not make sense of who is talking. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Q A Q Port had reccordisk commutwo entire | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make ellections of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same is that I got from GEO. MR. FLYNN: For the record, we received seven upact disks that contain hundreds of calls. We got them days ago. We haven't been able to listen to the rety of the disks, but they are in our physical session. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | for Q play A Q Jarr som that A Q hust A that Q A Q rec A Q eight A doctors | I didn't play that. My husband played the message him and I was not present at that time. Who told you? Who told you that Scott Mulligan yed Vincent Gargiulo's message for Christian Tarantino? My husband. And when did he tell you that? He went to Devon's muary 3. So he would have had to have told him about it metime between when he got the message, he heard the sage and then played it for Mr. Tarantino. When would to be? The next day. So sometime in November, December of 2002, your shand told you he played that message for Mr. Tarantino? Yes, and he told me because I wanted to know who left to the message, because I had no clue. You didn't know Vincent Gargiulo? I knew him, but I didn't know it was his voice. How long had you known Vincent Gargiulo prior to you eiving this message? I met Vinnie in 1994. So we are talking about December of '02. That's hit years? Yes. When somebody is screaming on the phone and tesn't make any sense or they are on drugs, sometimes you not make sense of who is talking. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Q A Q Port had reccordisk commutwo entire | Did it mention Mr. Tarantino by name? I don't recall. Did it mention the prior crimes that were involved? No. So you remember ten words of a letter? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. The letter was not that long. THE COURT: No, you don't answer it. Next stion. MR. ROSEN: Can I have a moment, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we are going to play two ions of two different phone calls that Mrs. Mulligan with her husband where her husband is helping her make office tions of her testimony here today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you provided the ernment with any copies of these phone conversations? MR. ROSEN: I have. I have given them the same is that I got from GEO. MR. FLYNN: For the record, we received seven apact disks that contain hundreds of calls. We got them days ago. We haven't been able to listen to the rety of the disks, but they are in our physical session. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Mulligan - cross/Rosen ₁₁₈₃ 4031 | iled | 05/21/13 Page 28 of 83 Page ID #: M. Mulligan-Cross/Rosen (Jury Absent) |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: Do you want to identify what | 1 | replay. But at this point in time, are you going to be |
| 2 | portion? Then I will break for a while. I don't see us | 2 | much longer? Because I will have the witness come back at |
| 3 | getting done with this witness today if we are going to | 3 | another time. |
| 4 | continue the trial, and I'm not going to interrupt the | 4 | MR. ROSEN: Let's come back then. Because we |
| 5 | trial to continue this hearing. | 5 | already heard that |
| 6 | So identify what tapes you are talking about. | 6 | THE COURT: I don't want a summary. You tell me |
| 7 | You intend to play one tape one tape or two, you are | 7 | it will be longer? |
| 8 | holding up your fingers. | 8 | MR. ROSEN: Yes. |
| 9 | MR. ROSEN: We have two CDs, we will stick with | 9 | THE COURT: Mr. Flynn, I see you rising to |
| 10 | one CD with two phone calls that will last probably a | 10 | object, there is just so much I can do in the space of |
| 11 | total of five minutes, six minutes. | 11 | this particular amount of time. If every one was here |
| 12 | MR. FLYNN: Just play it now. | 12 | earlier this morning, we could have done it this morning. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Play it now then. I didn't know how | 13 | And that was not to be. |
| 14 | long they were. | 14 | I'm not looking at you as being the one that is |
| 15 | MR. ROSEN: We will try to keep it | 15 | late. It was certain things beyond Mr. Tarantino's |
| 16 | THE COURT: Play it now. | 16 | control. However, it is what it is. It is now a quarter |
| 17 | (Continued on the next page.) | 17 | after 1:00. I have a criminal case I had to take |
| 18 19 | | 18 19 | in-between. I have a jury I told 2:00 o'clock. |
| 20 | | 20 | Mr. Rosen is finding it difficult to queue up. If it is going to take ten minutes to queue up, we will |
| 21 | | 21 | not go very far. |
| 22 | | 22 | How long will it take you to get to that portion |
| 23 | | 23 | of the tape? |
| 24 | | 24 | MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to do the best I can. |
| 25 | | 25 | Hopefully |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | M. Mulligan-Cross/Rosen (Jury Absent) | | M. Mulligan-Cross/Rosen (Jury Absent) |
| | | | 1186 |
| 1 | 1184 | 1 | 1186 |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | , , |
| | 1184 | | 1186 THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you |
| 2 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? | 2 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? |
| 2 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? | 2 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the |
| 2 3 4 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) | 2 3 4 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And | 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? MR. ROSEN: 1648. And I hope it goes as smooth | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? MR. ROSEN: 1648. And I hope it goes as smooth as the last one. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? MR. ROSEN: 1648. And I hope it goes as smooth as the last one. Judge, for the record, was the court reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? MR. ROSEN: 1648. And I hope it goes as smooth as the last one. Judge, for the record, was the court reporter able to take down | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay? MR. ROSEN: Yes. We should be good. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? MR. ROSEN: 1648. And I hope it goes as smooth as the last one. Judge, for the record, was the court reporter able to take down THE COURT: The court reporter doesn't take down | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay? MR. ROSEN: Yes. We should be good. May I proceed? THE COURT: Yes, please. (Audio tape is played.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? MR. ROSEN: 1648. And I hope it goes as smooth as the last one. Judge, for the record, was the court reporter able to take down THE COURT: The court reporter doesn't take down any tapes or recordings. MR. ROSEN: So if we replay THE COURT: If you need to replay, you will | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay? MR. ROSEN: Yes. We should be good. May I proceed? THE COURT: Yes, please. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: That completes the call? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? MR. ROSEN: 1648. And I hope it goes as smooth as the last one. Judge, for the record, was the court reporter able to take down THE COURT: The court reporter doesn't take down any tapes or recordings. MR. ROSEN: So if we replay THE COURT: If you need to replay, you will Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay? MR. ROSEN: Yes. We should be good. May I proceed? THE COURT: Yes, please. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: That completes the call? Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: Are you ready to go, Mr. Rosen? MR. FLYNN: Can I have a date and time, please? MR. DODDATO: January 4th, and it starts at 2200 hours. (Counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: It is just a few calls, Judge. And when you hear all of them THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: Okay, Judge. I'm ready. THE COURT: Okay. Just play the tape. That's all. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: Now there is another call? MR. ROSEN: Yes. January 5th. THE COURT: January 5th, what time? MR. ROSEN: 1648. And I hope it goes as smooth as the last one. Judge, for the record, was the court reporter able to take down THE COURT: The court reporter doesn't take down any tapes or recordings. MR. ROSEN: So if we replay THE COURT: If you need to replay, you will | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: That is the only additional tape you want to play; is that correct? MR. ROSEN: Yes. For now, yes. For the purposes of this hearing, yes. THE COURT: For the purposes of this hearing, all right. Now, Mr. Flynn, I heard what was on the tape. I don't need a summary now. See if you can get it up on the tape now. MR. ROSEN: Now? THE COURT: The phone call now. MR. ROSEN: Okay. THE COURT: Please, next time designate the area so we can know precisely where it is. MR. ROSEN: That is what I did. THE COURT: All right. I will just step outside for a moment. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay? MR. ROSEN: Yes. We should be good. May I proceed? THE COURT: Yes, please. (Audio tape is played.) THE COURT: That completes the call? |

| MR. ROSEN. Yes. 189 MR. ROSEN. Yes. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 You want to examine the winess further? 4 BY MR. ROSEN. 5 Q Did you hear the tape in regards to the conversation of you had concerning chief arrainto and telling you to stop asking questions? 5 Q Did you hear the tape in regards to the conversation of you had concerning chief arrainto and telling you to stop asking questions? 5 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 9 Q And your husband says — you say to your husband, I do don't remember that? And he says. I remember it clear as 11 day? 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 Q And it is up to you to determine — MR. FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you tis her him? He is shouting in your ear. 17 MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can't have one moment? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 THE COURT: Yes. 27 THE COURT: Yes. 28 A Yes. 29 The COURT: Yes. 29 THE COURT: Yes. 20 Thank you. 20 Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 20 Thank you. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 (Government converse of yes yet you were paid at your home in Bellmore - Warring the contents of the letter you received in the yes. 20 The Yes. 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Yes. 26 The Yes. 27 | | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F M. Mulligan-Cross/Rosen (Jury Absent) 4000 | iled | 05/21/13 Page 29 of 83 Page D #: M. Mulligan Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 the defendant visiting you how and telling you to stop asking questions about Vinnie; is that correct? 2 THE COURT: Thank you. You want to examine the withess further? 4 BYMR. ROSSN: 5 Q Did you hear the tape in regards to the conversation of you had concerning Chris Tarantino and felling you to stop asking questions? 8 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 9 Q and you husband asyse – you say to your husband, I don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as it day? 10 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 11 Q And it is up to you to determine – MR. FLYNN: Objection. 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 Q And it is up to you to determine – MR. FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 15 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 16 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 17 MR. ROSSN: I haven't finished. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 10 MR. GARJUID because of a visit you were paid al your home in Bellmore – MR. ROSSN: I have no further questions. 11 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 12 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the power saw that letter. 15 A Ves. 16 Q No you remember being shown that letter? 16 A Ves. 1do. 17 Q Mr. FLYNN: Surphanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Multigan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the power saw that letter. 16 Q All right. 17 Q Mr. FLYNN: Surphanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Multigan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the power saw that letter. 18 A Ves. 19 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 19 A Ves. 20 A Pospor was we have that letter. 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 C And you have an independent recollection of that that shore the letter you received from the showed you, your 23 A Yes. 24 A Yes, totally different. 25 C And there was testimony in this case, and evidence, that Mr. Gargluid's have a specific recollection. 26 A Mesport of the proving the proving | | 4032 | | |
| 2 THE COURT: Thank you. 3 You want to examine the withess further? 4 BYMR, ROSEN: 5 Q Did you hear the tape in regards to the conversation of you had concerning Chris Tarantino and telling you to stop a saking questions? 5 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 9 Q And your husband says - you say to your husband, I don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as a flad your husband says - you say to your husband, I don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as a flad your husband says - you say to your husband, I don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as a flad your husband says - you say to your husband, I don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as a flad your husband says - you say to your husband, I don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as a flad your husband says - you say say the says, I remember it clear as a flad your husband says - you say say the says, I remember it clear as a flad your husband says - you say say the says in the says, I remember it clear as a flad your husband says - you say how had your husband, I don't flad your husband says - you say how had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say how had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say how had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband, I was a flad your husband says - you say had your husband says - you say had your husband says - you say had your husband says - your husband says - you say had your husband says - you say had your husband sa | 1 | | 1 | |
| 4 BYMR. ROSEN: 5 Q Did you hear the tape in regards to the conversation 6 you had concerning Chris Tarantino and telling you to stop 7 asking questions? 8 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 9 Q and your husband asys — you say to your husband, I 10 don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as 1 day? 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 Q And it is up to you to determine — 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you 16 hear him? He is shouting in your ear. 17 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 21 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 22 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 23 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 24 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 MGR COURT Reporter M. Multigan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 27 ROMGail Court Reporter M. Multigan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 28 ROM R. FLYNN: Your how here he showed you that letter? 29 A Yes. 20 Do you remember being shown that letter? 20 A A Yes, I do. 3 BY MR. FLYNN: Oby one hear of the shall have been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? 3 A Yes. 4 Q All right. 5 A Yes. 6 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 7 A Yes, I do. 7 W. FLYNN: Oby one that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer with a shall be that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer with a shall be that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer was post with telestry cursceived from the recollection is that was not the letter you received from the recollection is that was not the letter you received from the recollection is that was not the letter you received from the recollection is that you received a completely different letter, is that correct? 19 A Yes, 10 Q Noy u have an independent recollection of that 12 sletter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 12 letter; i | | | | |
| 4 BY MR. ROSEN: Q Did you have the tape in regards to the conversation 5 Q Did you have the tape in regards to the conversation 5 Q And doncerning Chris Tarantino and telling you to stop 7 asking questions? 8 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 9 Q And your hasband says you say to your husband, I go don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as a day? 11 day? 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 Q And it is up to you to determine MR. FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you to far him? He is shouting in your ear. 16 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 17 MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 20 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 20 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 21 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 21 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 22 Mr. Flynn? 23 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 24 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 22 Mr. Flynn? 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 27 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 28 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 29 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 Redirect Effynn (Jury Absent) 1188 11 MR. ROSEN: I have further duestions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's roughless of the first properties of the first propert | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 5 Q Did you hear the tape in regards to the conversation 6 you had concerning Chris Tarantino and telling you to stop 7 asking questions? 8 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 10 don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as 1 day? 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 15 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 16 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 16 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 17 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you 18 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 19 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 19 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 10 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 11 MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. 11 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 12 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 13 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 14 Country Tyes. 15 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 16 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 17 Mr. Mulligan-Rodirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1188 1188 1188 1189 1190 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 Mr. Mulligan-Rodirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 16 The COURT: Do you cheen that? 17 Mr. Mulligan-Rodirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 17 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 18 MR. FLYNN: 19 Q What did you tell us – what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schehorn when he showed you, your 19 A Yes, Ido. 10 Q What did you tell us – what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schehorn when he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Mr. Gargulo's is hat correct? 18 A Assolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Mr. Gargulo's is hat correct? 19 Q Your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Mr. Gargulo's is hat correct? 19 Q You recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Mr. Gargulo's is hat correct? 19 Q You recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargulo's is hat correct? 19 Q You recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargulo's i | | | _ | |
| 6 Q And there was testimony in this case, and evidence, asking questions? 8 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 9 Q And your husband says – you say to your husband, I don't remember that? And he says. I remember it clear as 11 day? 12 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 13 Q And it is up to you to determine – MR, FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you here hear him? He is shouting in your ear. 16 MR, ROSEN: I haven't finished. 17 MR, ROSEN: I haven further questions. 18 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MR, ROSEN: I haven further questions. 11 MR, ROSEN: I have no further questions. 11 MR, ROSEN: I have no further questions. 12 THE COURT: Objection. 13 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 15 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 16 In Bellmore a week later? 17 MR, ROSEN: I have no further questions. 18 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore – MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. 19 MR, ROSEN: I have no further questions. 10 MR, ROSEN: I have no further questions. 11 MR, ROSEN: I have no further questions. 12 THE COURT: Nor Hornor, can I have no memoral? 13 MR, FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have no memoral? 14 THE COURT: Nor Hornor, can I have no memoral? 15 Stephanie Prozezi. OCR RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1188 14 Yes, 100. 15 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 16 MR, ROSEN: I share a stable the province of the letter you to passe port with the stable and the province of the letter you to passe port with the state or nore? 19 A Yes, 100. 11 MR, ROSEN: I share a province passion of the later of the later you previously testified that you uponed the letter on the tape. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different teller; is that correct? 10 A Yes, 101 Q What did you tell us – what did you tell was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; to have a specific recollection of that that day you have an independent recoilection of that that far you have an independent recoilection of that that | _ | | _ | -, |
| 7 asking quastions? 8 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 9 Q And your husband says — you say to your husband, I odon't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as 1 day? 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 Q And its up to you to determine — MR. FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 15 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 16 hear him? He is shouting in your ear. 17 MR. ROSEN: I haven finished. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MR. ROSEN: I haven further questions. 11 THE COURT: Objection. 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 Dy And is used to see the should provide the should be a sustained. 14 MR. ROSEN: I haven further questions. 15 THE COURT: Objection. 16 MR. ROSEN: I haven further questions. 17 THE COURT: Objection. 18 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 19 MR. ROSEN: Use on the stay of the letter of the latter of the l | | | _ | |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q And your husband says - you say to your husband, I don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as a day? 11 day? 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 Q And it is up to you to determine - MR. FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you is hear him? He is shouting in your ear. 16 hear him? He is shouting in your ear. 17 MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Do you have anything further. 20 Mr. FLYNN: Objection. 21 Mr. FLYNN: Objection. 22 Mr. FLYNN: Objection. 23 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 24 Mr. FLYNN: Objection. 25 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 26 MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. 27 Mr. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? THE COURT: Do you have anything further. 28 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? The COURT: Ves. 29 (Government counsel confer.) 20 MR. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 21 A Yes. 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 Stephanie Picczzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 27 MR. Bulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen a shout the government showing you a letter that had been witten—that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember being shown that letter? 28 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 29 A Yes, I do. 10 Q What did you tell us — what did you tell special page on the content of the tell elter you previously it estified that your then went to Canada and your home in Bellmore the content of the cont | 6 | | 6 | |
| 9 Q And your husband says – you say to your husband, I 10 dort remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as 11 day? 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 Q And its up to you to determine – 14 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you hear him? He is shouting in your ear. 16 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MR. ROSEN: I haven of urther questions. 11 THE COURT: Oby you have anything further, 12 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 13 MR. ROSEN: Justained. 14 Yes. 15 MR. ROSEN: Justained. 16 Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore – 16 MR. ROSEN: Justained. 17 A Yes. 18 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 19 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 20 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, Can I have one moment? 21 THE COURT: Ves. 22 (Government counsel confer.) 23 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, Can I have one moment? 24 Mr. Flynn? 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 (Government counsel confer.) 27 (MR. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 10 MR. ROSEN: Land and a rafter the June trip in Canada or after the June trip. Canada? 26 (MR. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 27 (MR. FLYNN: Objection. 28 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 29 MR. ROSEN: Land July July Absent) 20 MR. ROSEN: Land July July Absent) 21 MR. ROSEN: Land July July Absent) 21 MR. ROSEN: Land July July Absent) 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 MR. ROSEN: Land July July Absent) 24 Return Land July Absent) 25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 26 MR. ROSEN: Land July July Absent) 27 Computer. Do you remember that? 28 A Yes. 29 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 30 A Yes, Ido. 31 Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? 31 A Yes that correct? 32 A Yes, Ido. 33 Douglas have a specific recollection of that letter; but a correct pour received from the following trip of with you are paid at your home in Bellmore ther? 32 A Yes, Ido. 33 Douglas have a specific recolle | 7 | • . | 7 | |
| don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as 11 day? MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you he hear him? He is shouting in your ear. MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. MR. ROSEN: I substained. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the June trip to Canada or after the June trip to Canada? Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) THE COURT: I didn't reach that conclusion, Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been band to the province of the substained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes, Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, Ido. Q What did you tell us — what did you tell C Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you, your Mr. Gragiulo because of a visit you evere letter from the same that letter. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the June trip, according to what was on the tape. THE COURT: Idin't reach that conclusion, Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the June trip, according to what was on the tape. THE COURT: Idin't reach that conclusion, Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) MR. ROSEN: I don't reach that conclusion, Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) MR. ROSEN: I don't reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) MR. ROSEN: I don't | 8 | MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 8 | A Yes. |
| 11 day? All All Yes. 12 Q Thank you. 13 Q And it is up to you to determine — MR, FLYNN: Objection. 14 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you learn hear him? He is shouting in your ear. 17 MR, ROSEN: I haven't finished. 18 MR, FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MR, ROSEN: Thaven't finished. 11 A Yes. 12 Q Thank you. 13 Do you also have a specific recollection of concerning the contents of the letter you received from the finished. 15 Mr. Graguluo because of a visit you were paid at your home in Bellmore — 16 in Bellmore a week later? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore — 19 MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is a term of the June trip to Canada or after the Juneary trip to Canada? 20 and R. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 21 THE COURT: Pes. 22 (Government counsel confer.) 23 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 27 Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1188 1188 1188 1188 1188 1189 129 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1198 1199 120 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1190 121 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 122 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 130 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 140 Country absent) 151 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 152 THE COURT: In in in the exert alking about the government showing you a letter that had been written — that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's to computer. Do you remember being shown that letter? 130 A Yes. 141 Q All right. 152 Special Agent Schelnon when he showed you that letter? 153 A Yes. 154 A Yes. 155 Q And you have an independent recollection of that all the process of the letter you received a completely of recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo: is that correct? 153 A Yes. 154 Q All right. 155 Q And you have an independent recollection of that all the process of the letter of the letter of the letter of the letter of t | 9 | Q And your husband says you say to your husband, I | 9 | Q Some eight months after you received a letter from |
| 12 And it is up to you to determine — 13 Do you also have a specific recollection 14 Mr. F.LYNN: Objection. 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you he hear him? He is shoutling in your ear. 17 Mr. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 18 Mr. F.LYNN: Objection. 18 Mr. F.LYNN: Objection. 18 Mr. F.LYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 19 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 19 Mr. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the January trip to 19 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 19 Mr. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the January trip to 19 Canada? 19 Mr. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the June trip to Canada or after the January trip to 19 Canada? 19 Mr. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the June trip to Canada or after the January trip to 19 Canada? 19 THE COURT: I didn't reach that conclusion, 19 She said the letter came in her mailbox after 21 THE COURT: I didn't reach that conclusion, 19 Sorty. Stephanie Picozzi. OCR. RPR Official Court Reporter Mr. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1190 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 1190 MR. ROSEN: I can play it | 10 | don't remember that? And he says, I remember it clear as | 10 | Mr. Gargiulo in January of 2003; is that correct? |
| 13 | 11 | day? | 11 | A Yes. |
| MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you hear hear him? He is shouting in your ear. MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROSEN: I have not further questions. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROSEN: I have not further questions. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Do you have anything further, THE COURT: Do you have anything further, THE COURT: Os you have anything further, THE COURT: Yes. Government counsel confer. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) THE COURT: I didn't reach that conclusion, Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) THE COURT: I didn't reach that conclusion, Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) THE COURT: Do you remember baing shown that letter? A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Absolutely not, yes. G Nat and you tell us – what did you te | 12 | MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 12 | Q Thank you. |
| 15 THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you for hear him? He is shouting in your ear. 17 MR. ROSEN: I haven't finished. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. 21 THE COURT: Do you have anything further. 22 Mr. Flynn? 23 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 27 Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan, Padirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 10 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 11 Page Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been about the government showing you a letter that had been about the government showing you a letter that had been about the government showing you a letter that had been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you a letter that head been about the government showing you along the form the showed you that letter? 10 A Yes, 1do. 11 Q What did you tell us – what did you government showing your dearly the you previously testified that you runsband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you have an independent recollection of that along your passport with the stary | 13 | Q And it is up to you to determine | 13 | Do you also have a specific recollection |
| fig. hear him? He is shouling in your ear. | 14 | MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 14 | concerning the contents of the letter you received from |
| fig. hear him? He is shouling in your ear. | 15 | THE COURT: There is an objection. Can't you | 15 | Mr. Gargiulo because of a visit you were paid at your home |
| 17 MR. ROSEN: İ haven't finished. 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. 21 THE COURT: Do you have anything further, 22 Mr. Flynn? 23 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 27 Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1188 1190 1190 1190 1190 1190 1190 | 16 | hear him? He is shouting in your ear. | 16 | |
| THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Do you have anything further, MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? THE COURT: Yes. THE COURT: Yes. (Government counsel confer.) Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) TRECOURT: Examination BY MR. FLYNN: MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the June trip to Canada? She said the letter came in her mailbox after the June trip, according to what was on the tape. THE COURT: Idin't reach that conclusion, sorry. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) THE COURT: Idin't reach that conclusion, sorry. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is n | 17 | 5 , | 17 | A Yes. |
| THE COURT: Sustained. MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Do you have anything further, MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? THE COURT: Yes. THE COURT: Yes. (Government counsel confer.) Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) TRECOURT: Examination BY MR. FLYNN: MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is after the June trip to Canada? She said the letter came in her mailbox after the June trip, according to what was on the tape. THE COURT: Idin't reach that conclusion, sorry. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) THE COURT: Idin't reach that conclusion, sorry. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: Do you remember that? MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to pay it again. THE COURT: No. It is n | 18 | MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 18 | Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore |
| MR. ROSEN: I have no further questions. THE COURT: Do you have anything further, MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? THE COURT: Yes. Government counsel confer.) Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) THE COURT: Mr. Absolutation of the government showing you a letter that had been written — that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A A Yes. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from the garding in that did you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you have an independent recollection of that Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 20 And you have an independent recollection of that Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 21 A Yes. Deficial Court Reporter 22 She said the letter came in her malibox after the June trip, according to what was on the tape. THE COURT: No. Id indrif reach that conclusion, THE COURT: Ididn't reach that conclusion, THE COURT: Ididn't reach that conclusion, THE COURT: Ididn't reach that conclusion, Mr. BLYIN: Oker, M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. MR. FLYNN: Okay. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to — you previously testified that your theye about. MR. FLYN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to — you previously testified that your theye nent to Canada shout. MR. FLYN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to — you previously testified that your play to previously testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino, is that correct? A Yes. Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? A Yes, I do Writer and he had seen your passport with the sonn the face of the face of the face o | | - | 19 | |
| THE COURT: Do you have anything further, THE COURT: Yes. Grovernment counsel confer.) Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: SPARE, LYNN: REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, Ido. A Yes, Ido. A I never saw that letter. A A Absolutely not, yes. Q And you have an independent recollection of that Letter; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. WM. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your thank and went to Devans on January 3, a 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q No previously testified that you then went to Canada to you previously testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. Q And you sead independent recollection of that Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter A Yes. Q Ho do under the fire that he showed you, your recollection is that was not he tape. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to you reverse that you re | | | | |
| 22 MR. Flynn? 23 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 (Government counsel confer.) 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 27 Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen 5 about the government showing you a letter that had been 6 written – that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, Ido. 11 Q What did you tell us – what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you, your 15 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that was not the letter you received a completely 29 different letter; is that correct? 20 And you have an independent recollection of that 21 sletter; is that correct? 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 the June trip, according to what was on the tape. 24 THE COURT: I didn't reach that conclusion, 25 Sorry. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1190 1 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 2 THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to 3 play it again. Just specify what date we are talking 4 about. 5 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to – you previously 2 testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 Supplied that you previously testified that you opened the letter on 12 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 24 Official Court Reporter 25 Q And you have | | • | | |
| 23 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, can I have one moment? 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 (Government counsel confer.) Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you, your 15 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1190 1 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 1190 1 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 8 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? 21 A Yes, I had a visitor. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | | | 1 | |
| 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 (Government counsel confer.) Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen 5 about the government showing you a letter that had been 6 written – that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, 1 do. 11 Q What did you tell us – what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that twas not the letter you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 (Government counsel confer.) 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1190 1 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 1 THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to 2 play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. 5 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to –- you previously 7 testified that you rhusband went to Devans on January 3, 8 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. | | - | | |
| 25 Sorry. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen 5 about the government showing you a letter that had been 6 written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutally not, yes. 19 Q You recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 28 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 29 A Yes. 20 Q Id you gagae in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1190 M. ReoSen 1 M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) | | | | |
| Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? 3 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 1 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 2 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely offiferent letter; is that correct? 19 A Yes, totally different. 20 Q And you have an independent recollection of that Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1190 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 1190 MR. ROSEN: I can play it ag | | | | |
| M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1 | 25 | | 25 | |
| M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1188 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your recoilection is that was not the letter you received from the Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? 10 A Yes, totally different. 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about the Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 1190 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 11 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 11 THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that you rhaveled to you previously testified that you rhusband went to Devans on January 3, 8 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 18 letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 18 letter; is that correct? 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore 19 Did in the 19 Did in the 19 Did in the 20 Din | | • | | • |
| 1188 1 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen 5 about the government showing you a letter that had been 6 written — that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us — what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. FLYNN: Okay. 18 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 19 Q You previously testified that you traveled to — you previously 19 testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? 20 And you have not the letter you received from 21 A I never saw that letter. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 17 Official Court Reporter | | | | |
| 1 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen 5 about the government showing you a letter that had been 6 written – that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us – what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you have an independent recollection as about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 1 MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. 2 THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to a play it again. 3 play it again. Just specify what date we are talking 4 about. 5 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your thoeans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 20 Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And you have an independent seventher of the 18 will be 19 will be 20 wil | | w. munigan-redirect/r lythi (Jury Absent) | | w. wumgan-Reunecon lynn (Jury Absent) |
| 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. FLYNN: 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen 5 about the government showing you a letter that had been 6 written — that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us — what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 19 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 2 THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to 3 play it again. Just specify what date we are talking 4 about. 5 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to — you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 8 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 1 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 15 A Yes. 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you — you were visited at your 18 home in Bellmore? 21 A Yes, I had a visitor. 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino 25 Letter; be the correct of the 19 play it again. 26 D Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to — you previously testified that you previously testified that you received? 29 A Yes. 29 Q And you also testified that you open | | 1188 | | 1190 |
| 3 play it again. Just specify what date we are talking 4 Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen 5 about the government showing you a letter that had been 6 written — that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us — what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q You recollection is that you received a completely 19 different letter; is that correct? 20 And you have an independent recollection of that 21 letter; is that correct? 22 A Yes. 23 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 3 play it again. Just specify what date we are talking 4 about. 5 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to — you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 8 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you — you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 27 Official Court Reporter | 1 | 1188 | 1 | |
| 4 | | | | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. |
| 5 about the government showing you a letter that had been 6 written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? 7 testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 2 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 19 Q You recollection is that was not the letter you received from 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 10 A Yes, totally different. 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 18 letter; is that correct? 23 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about 19 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 5 MR. FLYNN: Okay. 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 2 stamps; is that correct? 12 A Yes. 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 19 home in Bellmore? 21 A Yes, I had a visitor. 22 Q A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 19 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 2 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to |
| 6 written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q You recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 6 Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that you husband went to Devans on January 3, 8 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 son thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? 11 A Yes. 12 A Yes. 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? 21 A Yes, I had a visitor. 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 19 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 3 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: | 2 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking |
| 7 computer. Do you remember that? 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q You recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 7 testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? 9 A Yes. 2003; is that correct? 11 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 27 Official Court Reporter | 3 4 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen | 2 3 4 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. |
| 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us — what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q You recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 8 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you — you were visited at your 19 home in Bellmore? 21 A Yes, I had a visitor. 22 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 27 Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been | 2 3 4 5 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. |
| 9 Q Do you remember being shown that letter? 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q You recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 9 A Yes. 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written — that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's | 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously |
| 10 A Yes, I do. 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 10 Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, |
| 11 Q What did you tell us what did you tell 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 11 soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the 24 stamps; is that correct? 25 d A I never saw that letter. 26 A Yes. 27 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 28 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 29 A Yes. 20 And you also testified that you opened the letter on 20 A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? |
| 12 Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 12 stamps; is that correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. |
| 13 A I never saw that letter. 14 Q All right. 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 13 A Yes. 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada |
| 14 Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 14 Q You testified you returned from Quebec on 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the |
| 15 So the letter that he showed you, your 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 15 January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? |
| 16 recollection is that was not the letter you received from 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 16 A Yes. 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino 26 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR 27 Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. |
| 17 Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 17 Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on |
| 18 A Absolutely not, yes. 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 18 that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? |
| 19 Q Your recollection is that you received a completely 20 different letter; is that correct? 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 19 A Yes. 20 Q A week after that you you were visited at your 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. |
| different letter; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? A Yes. letter; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you have an independent recollection of that A Yes. Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? A Yes. Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? A Chris Tarantino. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter A week after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? A Chris Tarantino. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on |
| 21 A Yes, totally different. 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 21 home in Bellmore? 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? |
| 22 Q And you have an independent recollection of that 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 22 A Yes, I had a visitor. 23 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 24 A Chris Tarantino. 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? |
| 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 28 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 29 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 20 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 21 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. Q Your recollection is that you received a completely | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? A Yes. |
| 23 letter; is that correct? 24 A Yes. 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 28 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 29 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 20 Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? 21 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? A Yes. Q A week after that you you were visited at your |
| 25 Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter 25 Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? A Yes. Q A week after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? |
| Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. Q And you have an independent recollection of that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? A Yes. Q A week after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? A Yes, I had a visitor. |
| Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written — that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us — what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? A Yes. Q A week after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? A Yes, I had a visitor. Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? |
| Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Q Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? A Yes. Q A week after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? A Yes, I had a visitor. Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? A Chris Tarantino. |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. Ms. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? A Yes. Q You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you also testified that you opened the letter on that day you gave it to Mr. Pellegrino; is that correct? A Yes. Q A week after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? A Yes, I had a visitor. Q Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? A Chris Tarantino. Q Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino |
| | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN: Q Ms. Mulligan, you heard questions from Mr. Rosen about the government showing you a letter that had been written that had been obtained from Mr. Gargiulo's computer. Do you remember that? A Yes. Q Do you remember being shown that letter? A Yes, I do. Q What did you tell us what did you tell Special Agent Schelhorn when he showed you that letter? A I never saw that letter. Q All right. So the letter that he showed you, your recollection is that was not the letter you received from Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? A Absolutely not, yes. Q Your recollection is that you received a completely different letter; is that correct? A Yes, totally different. Q And you have an independent recollection of that letter; is that correct? A Yes. Q And you heard Mr. Rosen ask you some questions about Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: I can play it again. THE COURT: No. It is not necessary for you to play it again. Just specify what date we are talking about. MR. FLYNN: Okay. MR. Mulligan, after you traveled to you previously testified that your husband went to Devans on January 3, 2003; is that correct? Yes. You previously testified that you then went to Canada soon thereafter and he had seen your passport with the stamps; is that correct? Yes. You testified you returned from Quebec on January 2nd, 2003; is that correct? Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Yes. A Nes. A Nes. A Nes Newek after that you you were visited at your home in Bellmore? A Yes, I had a visitor. Who came to visit you at your home in Bellmore then? A Chris Tarantino. Did you engage in a conversation with Mr. Tarantino Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |

| | کے د | :e 2:08-cr-00655-15 Document 426-2 E | ilad | 1 05/21/13 Page 30 of 83 PageID # |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Jas | M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) 0-2 F | neu | M. Mulligan-Recross/Rosen (Jury Absent) |
| | i | 1191 | | 1193 |
| 1 | - | our home in Bellmore a week after you opened the er at approximately on January 22nd, 2003? | 1 2 | comfort? A He said, well, the thing had already passed and |
| 3 | _ | Yes. | 3 | nothing happened, so it is okay. |
| 4 | Q | Had you called Mr. Tarantino to come over? | 4 | Q And then what did you say? |
| 5 | A | No. | 5 | A I said, then could someone go talk to him, you know, |
| 6 | Q | Did he just show up? | 6 | maybe just talk to him and see what is going on. |
| 7 | Ā | Yes. | 7 | And he says, yes, I'll go talk to him and I will |
| 8 | Q | Did you invite him in? | 8 | take care of it. Don't worry. |
| 9 | Α | Yes. | 9 | Q When the defendant said he would take care of it, in |
| 10 | Q | Did you subsequently engage in a conversation in your | 10 | what manner did he say that to you? |
| 11 | hor | me? | 11 | A He whispered in my ear. |
| 12 | Α | Yes. | 12 | MR. FLYNN: Thank you, no further questions. |
| 13 | Q | Where was that conversation in your home? | 13 | |
| 14 | Α | In the kitchen. | 14 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 15 | Q | Were you seated or were you standing? | 15 | BY MR. ROSEN: |
| 16 | Α | I was standing. | 16 | Q Did you give the letter to Keith Pellegrino? |
| 17 | Q | Was the defendant seated or was he standing? | 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | | MR. ROSEN: Objection to leading. | 18 | Q Did you go in the same car to Keith Pellegrino's |
| 19 | | THE COURT: Overruled. | 19 | office to James Froccaro's office? |
| 20 | Α | G | 20 | A No, we didn't. |
| 21 | Q | All right. | 21 | Q You are sure about that? |
| 22 | | And during that conversation, did the two of you | 22 | A I'm positive. |
| 23 | | cuss the letter that you had received from | 23 | Q Is this something else your husband told you about in |
| 24 | | Gargiulo? | 24 | one of the phone calls? |
| 25 | Α | Yes. | 25 | MR. FLYNN: Objection. |
| | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter |
| | | Official Court Reporter M. Mulligan-Redirect/Flynn (Jury Absent) | | |
| | | | | M MIIIIIdan-Roctoss/Roson / IIIt// Ansont) |
| | | | | M. Mulligan-Recross/Rosen (Jury Absent) 1194 |
| 1 | Q | 1192 Tell us about that conversation. | 1 | M. Mulligan-Recross/Rosen (Jury Absent) 1194 THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 1 2 | Q A | 1192 | 1 2 | 1194 |
| 1 2 3 | A | 1192 Tell us about that conversation. | | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 1 2 3 4 | A coi | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the | 2 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |
| 3 | A cor abo | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the natent said and that there was I was mostly concerned | 2 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. |
| 3 | A cor abo | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the ntent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he | 2 3 4 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 3 4 5 | A cor abo sai sor | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the ntent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he d to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. | 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. |
| 3 4 5 6 | A cor abo sai sor goi | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the ntent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he d to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A cor abordance sair sor goi | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the ntent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he d to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A cor abordance sair sor going car Q | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the ntent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he d to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A cor abordance sair sor going car Q | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the ntent said and that there was I was mostly concerned out the deadline date and it already had passed. And he d to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that a asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. Q With who? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A correction sair sorrection going carrection Q you | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the intent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that it asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A cor abo sai sor goi | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the stent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ang crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that a asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did a have a mutual understanding as to who had written your | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. Q With who? A He was with Steven Laganas. Q Did he leave with Mr. Laganas with the letter? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A corrador abordos sai sorrador gorio carrado you you lett | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the ntent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he d to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that a asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did a have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. Q With who? A He was with Steven Laganas. Q Did he leave with Mr. Laganas with the letter? A Yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A con abo sai son goi car Q you lett | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the ntent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he d to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that if asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did if have a mutual understanding as to who had written your eer and his letter? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. Q With who? A He was with Steven Laganas. Q Did he leave with Mr. Laganas with the letter? A Yes. Q And where did he tell you he was taking the letter? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A con abording sail son goil car Q you lett A Q | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the intent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that if asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did if have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A con abording sail son goid carred you you lett A Q A | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the intent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that a asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did a have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A con abording sail son goil car Q you lett A Q | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the intent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that if asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did if have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A con abording sain son going carr Q you lett A Q A Q A | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the stent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is sing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that a asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did a have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. And did the defendant say that to you? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A cool about sail sool good car Q you lett A Q A Q A Q | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the stent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is sing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that a asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did a have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. And did the defendant say that to you? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. Q With who? A He was with Steven Laganas. Q Did he leave with Mr. Laganas with the letter? A Yes. Q And where did he tell you he was taking the letter? A He was taking it to James Froccaro, our attorney. Q Okay, when you say our attorney My husband's attorney. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A coor above sair soor good carr Q you lett A Q A Q above soor good soor goo | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the intent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that it asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did it have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. And did the defendant say that to you? Yes. And did the two of you, you and the defendant, talk | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. Q With who? A He was with Steven Laganas. Q Did he leave with Mr. Laganas with the letter? A Yes. Q And where did he tell you he was taking the letter? A He was taking it to James Froccaro, our attorney. Q Okay, when you say our attorney A My husband's attorney. Q He told you he was leaving your home and going to take this to Scott Mulligan's attorney, James Froccaro; is |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A coor above sair soor good carr Q you lett A Q A Q above soor good soor goo | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the stent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is largerazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that a asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did a have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. And did the defendant say that to you? Yes. And did the two of you, you and the defendant, talk but how Mr. Gargiulo's deadline for the disclosure of tape had already passed? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A cool about sail soon good carr Q you lett A Q A Q about the | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the intent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that it asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did it have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. And did the defendant say that to you? Yes. And did the two of you, you and the defendant, talk but how Mr. Gargiulo's deadline for the disclosure of tape had already passed? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. Q With who? A He was with Steven Laganas. Q Did he leave with Mr. Laganas with the letter? A Yes. Q And where did he tell you he was taking the letter? A He was taking it to James Froccaro, our attorney. Q Okay, when you say our attorney A My husband's attorney. Q He told you he was leaving your home and going to take this to Scott Mulligan's attorney, James Froccaro; is that correct? A Yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A con abordance sain son going car Q you lett A Q A Q abord the A | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the stent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that if asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did if have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. And did the defendant say that to you? Yes. And did the two of you, you and the defendant, talk but how Mr. Gargiulo's deadline for the disclosure of tape had already passed? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A con abordance sain son going car Q you lett A Q A Q abord the A | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the intent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that it asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did it have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. And did the defendant say that to you? Yes. And did the two of you, you and the defendant, talk but how Mr. Gargiulo's deadline for the disclosure of tape had already passed? Yes. Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino MR. FLYNN: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled. Let him finish his question. Q What did you see Mr. Pellegrino do with the letter? A He took it in his hand when he walked out of my house. Q Was he with anyone? A Yes, he was. Q With who? A He was with Steven Laganas. Q Did he leave with Mr. Laganas with the letter? A Yes. Q And where did he tell you he was taking the letter? A He was taking it to James Froccaro, our attorney. Q Okay, when you say our attorney A My husband's attorney. Q He told you he was leaving your home and going to take this to Scott Mulligan's attorney, James Froccaro; is that correct? A Yes. Q And what was the discussion you had with Mr. Pellegrino for the reason why he was going to deliver |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A con abordance sain son going car Q you lett A Q A Q abord the A | Tell us about that conversation. That I was worried about the letter and what the intent said and that there was I was mostly concerned but the deadline date and it already had passed. And he do to me, I got the same one. And I said, well, can meone go talk to him, you know, because I know he is ing crazy. And he said, don't worry about it. I will take the of it. And when you said he said you testified that it asked Mr. Tarantino, should someone go talk to him. During your conversation with the defendant, did it have a mutual understanding as to who had written your ter and his letter? Yes. Who? Billy Gargiulo. And did the defendant say that to you? Yes. And did the two of you, you and the defendant, talk but how Mr. Gargiulo's deadline for the disclosure of tape had already passed? Yes. Okay. And did the defendant try to offer you some | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: Sustained. Q You gave the letter to Keith Pellegrino |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 31 of 33 Page D# M. Mulligan Recross/Rosen (Jury Absent) |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 1195 Abserti 4034 | | M. Mulligan-Recross/Rosen (July Absent) |
| 1 | A Because my husband was in prison. | 1 | MR. ROSEN: Nothing further. |
| 2 | Q And what was the purpose for him to bring it to | 2 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 3 | Mr. Froccaro? | 3 | Do you have anything further? |
| 4 | A Because I couldn't leave my house. I had an eight | 4 | MR. FLYNN: No, your Honor. |
| 5 | month old baby. And I was bringing it to the attorney, | 5 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 6 | and I called Keith, who was my friend, who said I will | 6 | You are done for now. |
| 7 | bring it to the attorney. | 7 | I will make a determination as to whether I will |
| 8 | Q And what was the purpose in you lying for | 8 | hear any additional argument that you have. Right now the |
| 9 | Mr. Froccaro to have this letter? | 9 | witness is free to go. |
| 10 | MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 10 | I will see you folks at 2:00 o'clock. |
| 11 | Q To protect Scott or not to protect Scott? | 11 | (Luncheon Recess.) |
| 12 | THE COURT: Sustained. | 12 | (|
| 13 | Q Was the purpose of you giving Mr. Froccaro, your | 13 | |
| 14 | husband's lawyer, the letter was for his own protection? | 14 | |
| 15 | MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 15 | |
| 16 | THE COURT: Sustained. | 16 | |
| 17 | MR. ROSEN: On what ground? Judge | 17 | |
| 18 | THE COURT: She already answered the question | 18 | |
| 19 | for starters. | 19 | |
| 20 | MR. ROSEN: I didn't hear it. If I did, I | 20 | |
| 21 | wouldn't ask the question again. | 21 | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Okay. | 22 | |
| 23 | Q Is there something funny about these proceedings? | 23 | |
| 24 | A No. | 24 | |
| 25 | MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 25 | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | | |
| | M. Mulligan-Recross/Rosen (Jury Absent) | | |
| | 1196 | | 1198 |
| 1 | 1196 Q Then why are you smirking? | 1 | 1198 AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 2 | Q Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 2 | AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 2 3 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. | 2 | AFTERNOON SESSION (Whereupon, the following takes place in the |
| 2 3 4 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking | 2 3 4 | AFTERNOON SESSION (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) |
| 2 3 4 5 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. | 2 3 4 5 | AFTERNOON SESSION (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 2 3 4 5 6 | AFTERNOON SESSION (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | AFTERNOON SESSION (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | AFTERNOON SESSION (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | AFTERNOON SESSION (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | AFTERNOON SESSION (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. Q But why Mr. Froccaro? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. Well, number one, if we look at the burden of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. Q But why Mr. Froccaro? A Because he was his attorney. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. Well, number one, if we look at the burden of proof, it has to be on the proponent. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. Q But why Mr. Froccaro? A Because he was his attorney. Q And what good would that have done Scott? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. Well, number one, if we look at the burden of proof, it has to be on the proponent. THE COURT: A preponderance of the evidence to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. Q But why Mr. Froccaro? A Because he was his attorney. Q And what good would that have done Scott? MR. FLYNN: Objection. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. Well, number one, if we look at the burden of proof, it has to be on the proponent. THE COURT: A preponderance of the evidence to show initially that this is testimony that can be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. Q But why Mr. Froccaro? A Because he was his attorney. Q And what good would that have done Scott? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. Well, number one, if we look at the burden of proof, it has to be on the proponent. THE COURT: A preponderance of the evidence to show initially that this is testimony that can be authenticated by the recollection of the witness who is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. Q But why Mr. Froccaro? A Because he was his attorney. Q And what good would that have done Scott? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. What, if any, good would it have done Scott? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. Well, number one, if we look at the burden of proof, it has to be on the proponent. THE COURT: A preponderance of the evidence to show initially that this is testimony that can be authenticated by the recollection of the witness who is testifying. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. Q But why Mr. Froccaro? A Because he was his attorney. Q And what good would that have done Scott? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. What, if any, good would it have done Scott? THE WITNESS: I don't know. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. Well, number one, if we look at the burden of proof, it has to be on the proponent. THE COURT: A preponderance of the evidence to show initially that this is testimony that can be authenticated by the recollection of the witness who is testifying. MR. ROSEN: I think the first thing that the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Then why are you smirking? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: I can't see the witness' face. MR. ROSEN: I can tell you she keeps looking over to counsel table for the government smirking. MR. FLYNN: Objection. MR. ROSEN: Am I lying? THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Rosen. She is also looking at your direction. I don't have that advantage. If you would, Ms. Mulligan, turn slightly toward me with the microphone and you can answer that way. All right. Q What was the purpose in your mind for Pellegrino to deliver to Froccaro the letter from Vincent Gargiulo? A It was actually to protect myself. I was alone and my husband was in prison. And I was scared. Q It had nothing to do with Scott? A He was already in prison. Q But why Mr. Froccaro? A Because he was his attorney. Q And what good would that have done Scott? MR. FLYNN: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. What, if any, good would it have done Scott? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, the following takes place in the absence of the jury.) THE COURT: Mr. Zacarese, we will finish up with you. Where is Mr. Rosen? MR. DODDATO: I just called him. We separated for a few minutes. I think he might be in the men's room. THE COURT: Please go get him. I don't want to keep the jury waiting any longer than necessary. MR. DODDATO: Sure. (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Before I bring the jury out, do you wish to make any statements with regard to the testimony of Manon Mulligan? MR. ROSEN: Yes. Well, number one, if we look at the burden of proof, it has to be on the proponent. THE COURT: A preponderance of the evidence to show initially that this is testimony that can be authenticated by the recollection of the witness who is testifying. |

Filed 05/21/13 Page 32 of 83 PageID #: Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 11994035 deadline set in the letter for the \$500,000 or the tape 1 Court has to decide is whether or not the document has would be turned over. And she remembers that 2 been lost or misplaced under the statute. 3 The last we heard, Mr. Keith Pellegrino had in 3 distinctively. And that is why she contacted 4 his person -- on his person the letter in question. 4 Mr. Pellegrino. 5 Without going forward with Mr. Pellegrino, either he 5 The letter can also be corroborated. 6 destroyed it or he gave it to Mr. Froccaro, they cannot 6 authenticated, by the testimony of other witnesses. 7 meet their burden. 7 Bob Gerrato. Bob Gerrato already testified in 8 8 the trial here that they told him that they had sent a Then if we get to that stage, then the Court 9 will have to determine whether or not her statements can 9 letter already to Manon Mulligan. That is Bob Gerrato's 10 testimony that corroborates Ms. Mulligan's testimony here 10 be used to self-authenticate the document. But we have 11 11 not reached that stage. Because the first part of the at the evidentiary hearing. statute deals with the party proponent introducing 12 Ms. Mulligan also testified that the week after 12 13 sufficient evidence by a preponderance that the document 13 her -- her recollection is solidified in her mind by the 14 is lost, and the government hasn't done that. 14 fact that the defendant came to her home a week later. 15 THE COURT: Well, I think they have in the sense 15 Obviously he had gotten word of the letter. How he got word we don't know. That is 16 that the proponent here is not Mrs. Mulligan. Rather, it 16 17 is the government. Okay? 17 irrelevant. 18 18 There is nothing to indicate that this He came to the home and had a discussion with particular document wasn't in the government custody or 19 19 her about the letter, about its content, and how the control. 20 20 author of the letter and the identical letter he had 21 So the witness has said what happened to it. 21 received, or a similar letter, was Vinnie. 22 She hasn't seen it since. So I don't think this burden 22 So it is not only Ms. Mulligan's testimony, 23 coming about as you described it. 23 which I submit, your Honor, on its face is sufficient. 24 Let me hear from the government a moment. 24 But it is also taken in conjunction with the 25 MR. ROSEN: Then I have comments about 25 circumstances. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter 1200 1202 I cite United States versus Bagaric, 706 F. 2d Mrs. Mulligan's testimony. 1 THE COURT: I understand, and we will get into 2 42, 67, Second Circuit 1983. that. 3 Taken in conjunction with the circumstances Is there anything the government would like to 4 surrounding the Mulligans, the Tarantinos, and Gargiulo at respond as to whether or not you met your burden with 5 this period of time in 2003, and we heard the testimony regard to the testimony of Mrs. Mulligan with respect to 6 about the surrounding circumstances. And I submit, your the quality of the letter or recollection as to what was 7 Honor, that is additional authentication. contained in the letter and her subsequent statement as 8 If you would like to hear me on the hearsay side 9 they pertain to her giving the letter to another person? of it or the best evidence rule, I can speak to that as 10 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, the legal framework for well. 11 getting this testimony in, and there are three parts to She can authenticate that letter, your Honor, it. 12 and the way she has done it is legally sufficient here. 13 One of them, as you correctly identified, is THE COURT: In terms of the best evidence, I 14

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

authentication.

The legal standard under the Second Circuit is that the document can be authenticated by its distinctive contents taken in conjunction with all the surrounding circumstances surrounding the document.

19 This document -- this letter can be 20 authenticated not only from Ms. Mulligan's testimony, 21 which was fairly clear both on direct and on cross-examination regarding the content of the letter. 22 23 Does she remember the letter? Does she have it

memorized? No.

But she remembers distinctively that there was a Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

14 don't think it is necessary to get to that. And let me

15 hear what you say about getting the letter in at this point.

16

21

17 MR. ROSEN: Judge, we played a tape --18 THE COURT: Now you are going into the content

19 of the letter; is that correct?

20 MR. ROSEN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

22 MR. ROSEN: It is clear that he is telling her,

23 Mr. Scott Mulligan is telling his wife on the phone call

24 he doesn't remember the contents exactly what is in the 25 letter. Because, don't you remember, you came up and told

> Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter

24

25

15

16

17 18

Filed 05/21/13 Page 33 of 83 PageID #: Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 12034036 1205 me about the contents, what you told me about the letter? finish what he has to say and then I will listen to you. 1 2 I don't remember. 2 MR. ROSEN: Thank you. 3 Then he tells her what is in the letter. 3 MR. FLYNN: We can listen to the tape again, 4 It is as clear as day. She has no recollection 4 your Honor. We can listen to it 20 times. 5 5 until she went to the jail in January and her husband told If you listen to that tape, she has a clear 6 her what was in the letter. And that is in both calls one 6 independent recollection -- she tells him on the tape what 7 and two. 7 was in the letter she got. 8 8 As a matter of fact, she even says in phone call There was no coaxing there. The second 9 two, it probably was in June because the date -- I'll play 9 recording doesn't have to do with the letter in January of 10 10 2003. It is that the defendant came to the house after 11 THE COURT: No. You don't have to play it 11 Vinnie died and told Scott to stop talking about it in a 12 12 again. I heard it clearly the first time. I just don't completely different conversation. 13 13 agree with your interpretation with what is on there. THE COURT: And that is what I heard. 14 14 MR. ROSEN: She says, I don't remember. It MR. ROSEN: We will ask the court reporter to 15 could have been the second trip to Canada back in June 15 clarify it. 16 when I got the letter. 16 THE COURT: In any event --17 And then he coached her into telling her what 17 MR. ROSEN: I have a more pressing issue. 18 took place back in January, and what he understood the 18 She mentioned in the transcript, and first her 19 contents of the letter to be. 19 husband brought it up, and then it was verified --20 20 It is clear as day that this woman has no THE COURT: There is no transcript actually. It 21 independent recollection. She doesn't know the date. She 21 is a tape. 22 22 doesn't know the date in which the payoff --MR. ROSEN: I meant the tape. 23 THE COURT: She doesn't know if there is a date 23 THE COURT: Yes, on two phone calls. 24 on it. She testified consistently, Mr. Rosen, that the 24 MR. ROSEN: Yes. letter was received and opened by her when she got back 25 25 She read a letter downloaded from Kyatt's Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter 1204 1206 from Canada in January of 2003. computer and I never got that letter. 2 2 MR. ROSEN: That is not what the tape says, THE COURT: I don't think she said Kyatt's 3 Judge, in all due respect. 3 computer. 4 4 The second tape says it could have been June. What she indicated, and the government asked her 5 And I would like to play it over so we have a clear 5 questions on redirect about a letter shown to her which 6 record. 6 supposedly came off -- came from Vinnie. And she said at 7 THE COURT: I heard what was on the second tape. 7 the time the government asked her these guestions showed 8 I haven't reached the same conclusion that you have. 8 her this letter. She had never seen it before. 9 9 What else does the government have to say on It is a different thing we are talking about 10 10 this? here. 11 11 MR. FLYNN: I appreciate Mr. Rosen's comments, Please, indicate whether or not, one, what your 12 Judge. Unfortunately for the defense, the tape they 12 position is with regard to the record made on redirect by 13 played, the first tape, actually corroborates her 13 the government. And also, what, if anything, you have 14 completely. 14 shown to the defendant or not shown to the defendant with 15 THE COURT: The first phone call did corroborate 15 respect to this letter. 16 16 her. MR. FLYNN: The letter that I brought up on 17 MR. ROSEN: Because her husband told her what to 17 redirect, they don't have the letter. That is true. 18 18 During Ms. Mulligan's prep session, we showed say. 19 THE COURT: No, Mr. Rosen. That is not what is 19 her a letter that came off of Vincent Gargiulo's computer 20 20 on the tape. at his father's home. The letter is a letter that looks 21 MR. FLYNN: That is not what is on the tape, 21 to be a draft of a letter that Vinnie apparently wrote at 22 22 your Honor. one point to Scott and Chris, the defendant, and Mr. Mulligan. 23 MR. ROSEN: I don't know. Maybe I'm losing it. 23 24 24 Can I bring one point up --We showed her that letter. We printed it and 25 THE COURT: No. Not at this time. Let him 25 showed her, is this the letter you received?

Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR

Official Court Reporter

Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 34 of 83 PageID #: Zacarese-Direct/Miskiewicz |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 4037 1207 | | Zacarese-Direct/Miskiewicz |
| 1 | She said, no, that is not it. I know what I | 1 | THE COURT: Is there some reason you can't turn |
| 2 | received. That is not it. It is a different letter, and | 2 | it over to the defendant? |
| 3 | that's all. | 3 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: We can turn it over. |
| 4 | There were some questions with regard to that | 4 | THE COURT: Turn it over to them now. |
| 5 | letter on cross-examination, and I on redirect brought | 5 | THE CLERK: Jury entering. |
| 6 | out, yes, we did show you the letter. | 6 | (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the |
| 7 | She said, yeah, I remember that. | 7 | courtroom.) |
| 8 | What did you say? | 8 | THE COURT: All right. We are ready to go. |
| 9 | That was not the letter. I remember what I got, | 9 | 5 , 5 |
| 10 | and that is not it. | 10 | ANTHONY ZACARESE, |
| 11 | So that is further proof that she has a memory | 11 | called as a witness, having been previously |
| 12 | of what she got. We showed her something and she said | 12 | duly sworn, was examined and testified as |
| 13 | that is not it. | 13 | follows: |
| 14 | THE COURT: The only discrepancies I have seen | 14 | |
| 15 | with respect to the content of her testimony here today | 15 | THE COURT: We are ready to continue with the |
| 16 | that would give me any pause is that initially the | 16 | testimony of Mr. Zacarese. |
| 17 | government indicated that in the letter Mrs. Mulligan | 17 | · |
| 18 | received in January, the one she read, she testified that | 18 | DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) |
| 19 | it referred to both Mr. Mulligan, her husband, and to the | 19 | BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: |
| 20 | defendant by name. And that is not consistent with her | 20 | Q Sir, I want to direct your attention back to the |
| 21 | testimony. That is inconsistent. | 21 | exhibit you were looking at before the lunch break, and |
| 22 | MR. FLYNN: What she has testified to | 22 | particularly the third page of that exhibit. It is AZ-1. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Today she says she doesn't know | 23 | (At this time a document was exhibited on |
| 24 | she doesn't recall saying the names. But in your | 24 | courtroom screen.) |
| 25 | submission to the Court on page 7, you indicate that she | 25 | Q I'm showing you now page three of AZ-1. |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | | Zacarese-Direct/Miskiewicz |
| | | | |
| | 1208 | | 1210 |
| 1 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's | 1 | Would you tell us what days and what |
| 2 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of | 2 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? |
| 2 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. | 2 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th |
| 2 3 4 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, | 2 3 4 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. |
| 2 3 4 5 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. | 2 3 4 5 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the | 2 3 4 5 6 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At this point in time I indicated to you what I think is the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At this point in time I indicated to you what I think is the appropriate basis for admission under 901. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is that there is no identification of which Synergy Gym. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At this point in time I indicated to you what I think is the appropriate basis for admission under 901. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we get that letter? Can | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is that there is no identification of which Synergy Gym. THE COURT: Can you identify where that phone is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At this point in time I indicated to you what I think is the appropriate basis for admission under 901. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we get that letter? Can you ask the government to produce it? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is that there is no identification of which Synergy Gym. THE COURT: Can you identify where that phone is located? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | did by name recall who was mentioned in Mr. Gargiulo's letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At this point in time I indicated to you what I think is the appropriate basis for admission under 901. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we get that letter? Can you ask the government to produce it? THE COURT: Are you intending on using it in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is that there is no identification of which Synergy Gym. THE COURT: Can you identify where that phone is located? THE WITNESS: I believe it is the West 23rd |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At this point in time I indicated to you what I think is the appropriate basis for admission under 901. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we get that letter? Can you ask the government to produce it? THE COURT: Are you intending on using it in evidence? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is that there is no identification of which Synergy Gym. THE COURT: Can you identify where that phone is located? THE WITNESS: I believe it is the West 23rd Street gym. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At this point in time I indicated to you what I think is the appropriate basis for admission under 901. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we get that letter? Can you ask the government to produce it? THE COURT: Are you intending on using it in evidence? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is that there is no identification of which Synergy Gym. THE COURT: Can you identify where that phone is located? THE WITNESS: I believe it is the West 23rd Street gym. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | letter that the witness claimed to have read in January of 2003. MR. FLYNN: Well, she has testified, your Honor, that the letter was addressed to her husband Scott. THE COURT: But there is no mention in the letter about the defendant and Scott. MR. FLYNN: That was her testimony today. But she did indicate she understood the letter was directed THE COURT: Yes, that was her understanding, and not by name. I kept the jury waiting now 25 minutes beyond the time I told them to come in. I will continue with Mr. Zacarese's testimony, and if we have to take a break to deal with this issue, we will take a break. And you can summarize your opinion as to whether or not Mrs. Mulligan's testimony should go forward. At this point in time I indicated to you what I think is the appropriate basis for admission under 901. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we get that letter? Can you ask the government to produce it? THE COURT: Are you intending on using it in evidence? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Would you tell us what days and what transactions or phone calls were summarized on this page? A Starting at the top of the page, the date is the 18th of August of '03. Q Why did you focus on that particular day? A That is the day of the Gargiulo murder. Q Okay. And what phone records or phone calls did you find by looking at the various records that you testified about in the morning on August 18th? A Started very early in the morning of the first record that we came up with subsequent to the time of the murder that was recorded by the New York City Police Department, was a call from the Synergy, outbound to the Tarantino cell phone, which lasted 17 seconds long. And that was at 7:05 that morning, approximately, from what I remember of the New York City Police reports, 15 or 20 minutes from the actual shooting. MR. ROSEN: Judge, the only objection I have is that there is no identification of which Synergy Gym. THE COURT: Can you identify where that phone is located? THE WITNESS: I believe it is the West 23rd Street gym. |

Official Court Reporter

Filed 05/21/13 Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 Zacarese-Direct/Miskiewicz 1215⁴⁰³⁹ 1 Do you know which Synergy it was? It is a graphic representation of the occurrences 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. It is the West 23rd 2 that took place according to that time line. 3 3 **Q** Explain to us what is represented in this map. Street gym. 4 4 Α THE COURT: Thank you. Here we have the location where the Gargiulo murder 5 **Q** In addition to that, on the 19th at 1:50 p.m., what, 5 took place at sometime prior to the first phone call. if anything, do you see in terms of a phone call that you 6 6 We have the Synergy Gym located here on West 7 summarized in this chart? 7 23rd Street (indicating). 8 A There is an outbound call from the Campbell apartment 8 Q Is this the gym you indicated earlier --9 that was made to the Synergy Gym, again, on West 23rd, 9 Α In the phone records. 10 Q 10 which lasted less than two minutes. Sorry? 11 **Q** Now, directing your attention to one of the last 11 Α In the phone records, yes. 12 Q 12 pages of your summary, August 21st, 2003. At 7:06 a.m.? 13 Α 13 Yes. Why did you particularly look at this date, Q To where? 14 which is after the date of the murder? 14 15 A Well, what stood out on this date is that the phone 15 Α Could you put that page up or the hard copy? 16 calls at 10:31 and 10:39 a.m. on the 21st originated from 16 (At this time a document was exhibited on Mr. Roth's cell phone number to the Midtown South precinct 17 17 courtroom screen.) 18 on two separate occasions on that date. 18 Q At 7:06, where is that? 19 **Q** And why were you looking at this date? 19 At 7:06 in the morning, the phone at Synergy Gym 20 A That was the date that Mr. Bressman was being 20 calls the Tarantino cell phone. And that call lasts for 21 21 19 seconds. interviewed at the precinct, the Midtown South precinct. 22 22 Q All right. MR. ROSEN: Is that the West 23rd? 23 23 Q Is that the West 23rd Street gym? And is it fair to say that the phone records 24 bore out that Midtown South was contacted by Mr. Roth? 24 Α Yes, the West 23rd Street gym. 25 A Yes, sir, it does. 25 And back to the map, what next occurred -- what is Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Direct/Miskiewicz Zacarese-Direct/Miskiewicz 1216 1218 **Q** And prior to -- prior to that -- withdrawn. depicted on this map in terms of phone traffic? 1 2 Lastly, I will show you the fourth page of AZ-1. 2 The next phone traffic originates on -- from the And this is also -- this map is also included in another 3 first pay phone to the Tarantino cell phone. 3 4 exhibit, but you have added some items here. 4 And you are indicating at or about -- you are 5 5 indicating a location at approximately Sixth Avenue Could you just -- let me try to zero in on this, 6 and explain, what, if anything, you summarized by way of 6 between 17th and 16th Street -- West 17th, 16th? 7 analysis of phone records on this portion of AZ-1. 7 Α Yes, that's correct. 8 This map depicts the area of the homicide, which 8 **Q** And that is -- that phone call was made when? Α 9 7:15. 9 occurs here (indicating). Q To who? 10 The location of the Synergy Gym, which is 10 11 11 located here (indicating). From that pay phone at that location to the Tarantino 12 **Q** Well, by here, what Synergy Gym are you referring to 12 cell phone. And it lasted 12 seconds. 13 13 **Q** Back to the map, what is next? for the record? 14 This is the West 23rd Street gym which is located 14 The second pay phone call which originates from this 15 location (indicating). And the address escapes me again 15 here (indicating). 16 The pay phone on the first phone call is here 16 without the reference on the screen. But that pay phone 17 (indicating). The second phone call that -- there happens 17 from 103 West 14th Street originates from that pay phone 18 to be another Synergy Gym on West 14th Street. Basically and goes to the Tarantino cell phone, and that lasts for 18 19 that is the activity for that date, the date of the 19 13 seconds. 20 20 Gargiulo murder. MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I have a moment, your 21 **Q** And does that somehow correlate to the summary chart 21 Honor? 22 22 that we looked at for 8/19/03? THE COURT: All right. 23 A Yes, sir, it does. 23 (Government counsel confer.) 24 Q Is this basically a more graphic representation of 24 MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. 25 25 that summary? THE COURT: All right. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter

| | Cas | se 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | iled | 05 | /21/13 Page 37 of 83 PageID #: Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Zăcarese-Cross/Rosen 1219 | | | 1221 |
| 1 | | Cross-examination. | 1 | Α | For Mr. Martulis' phone? |
| 2 | | MR. ROSEN: Yes. | 2 | Q | Yes. |
| 3 | | | 3 | Α | I would have to look at the records. |
| 4 | CR | OSS-EXAMINATION | 4 | | MR. ROSEN: May I approach? |
| 5 | BY | MR. ROSEN: | 5 | | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 6 | Q | What phone records do you have, sir, in front of you? | 6 | | (Handed to the witness.) |
| 7 | Α | This is just the AT&T Bressman | 7 | Α | You were asking me for the address on the Martulis |
| 8 | Q | The Bressman cell records? | 8 | ac | count? |
| 9 | Α | Yes. | 9 | Q | Yes. |
| 10 | Q | I want you to go what is the exhibit number, | 10 | | He had two phone numbers; is that correct? |
| 11 | ple | ase? | 11 | Α | I have one listed here. |
| 12 | Α | I beg your pardon? | 12 | Q | Well, you indicated that Gargiulo had one of |
| 13 | | THE COURT: AT-1. | 13 | Ма | artulis' phones. So I'm trying to get the phone number |
| 14 | Q | AT-1? | 14 | for | Vincent Gargiulo which was registered to Martin |
| 15 | Α | Yes, sir. | 15 | Ма | irtulis, and I also want Martin Martulis' phone. |
| 16 | Q | Would you please go to the date of April 22, 2003. | 16 | Α | Could you repeat that question, please? |
| 17 | | (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in | 17 | Q | Okay. |
| 18 | the | proceedings.) | 18 | | Did Vincent Gargiulo use a phone registered to |
| 19 | Q | Do you have it, sir? | 19 | Ма | artin Martulis? |
| 20 | Α | I'm looking. | 20 | Α | He used a cell phone registered to Mr. Martulis. |
| 21 | Q | Thank you. | 21 | Q | Tell me that phone number, please. |
| 22 | | (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in | 22 | Α | (917) 509-9375. |
| 23 | | proceedings.) | 23 | Q | And you call that the Vincent Gargiulo phone; is that |
| 24 | | You did say April 22nd? | 24 | cor | rrect? |
| 25 | Q | Please. | 25 | Α | Registered to Martin Martulis. |
| | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | | Official Court Reporter | | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | | | | |
| | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| 1 | | 1220 | 1 | 0 | 1222 |
| 1 2 | Δ | 1220 Do you have it? | 1 2 | Q A | 1222 Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? |
| 2 | A | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. | 2 | A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only |
| 3 | | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I | 3 | A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. |
| 2 3 4 | | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. | 2 3 4 | A nu Q | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, |
| 3 | | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. | 3 | A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. |
| 2 3 4 5 | | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. | 2 3 4 5 | A nu Q | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We | 2 3 4 5 6 | A nu Q 200 | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A nu Q 200 | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We slooking for our copy, but I don't see it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A nu Q 200 | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A nu Q 200 | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We allooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | tho are | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We allooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | tho are Q Vin | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | thoo are Q Vin | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We looking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with ident Gargiulo? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with scent Gargiulo? I have no idea. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We looking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with ocent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with incent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty irtulis' phone? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A nu Q 200 Pho A Q A Q A (91 Q Q | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We looking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with ident Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty intulis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A nu Q 200 Pho A Q A Q A (91 Q Q | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with seent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty reluis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, or do I know Mr. Gargiulo. What investigation did you do to determine the ationship between Vincent Gargiulo and Marty Martulis? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A nu Q 200 Pho A Q A Q A (91 Q Cal A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone I; is that correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with incent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty intulis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, or do I know Mr. Gargiulo. What investigation did you do to determine the ationship between Vincent Gargiulo and Marty Martulis? I personally did no relationship investigation. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A nu Q 200 Pho A Q A Q A (91 Q Cal A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone I; is that correct? The phone call was made from that phone to that one. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We looking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with locent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty rulis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, or do I know Mr. Gargiulo. What investigation did you do to determine the lationship between Vincent Gargiulo and Marty Martulis? I personally did no relationship investigation. Vincent Gargiulo had a phone registered to Marty | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 Q cal A pho | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone I; is that correct? The phone call was made from that phone to that one. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I hught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with scent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty artulis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, or do I know Mr. Gargiulo. What investigation did you do to determine the cationship between Vincent Gargiulo and Marty Martulis? I personally did no relationship investigation. Vincent Gargiulo had a phone registered to Marty crulis, did he not? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 Q cal A pho | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone I; is that correct? The phone call was made from that phone to that one. Yes. To that phone? Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We looking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with ocent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty intulis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, or do I know Mr. Gargiulo. What investigation did you do to determine the lationship between Vincent Gargiulo and Marty Martulis? I personally did no relationship investigation. Vincent Gargiulo had a phone registered to Marty intulis, did he not? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 Q cal A pho Q | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone I; is that correct? The phone call was made from that phone to that one. Yes. To that phone? Yes, sir. You said (917) 509-9375? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | tho are | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with ocent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty ritulis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, or do I know Mr. Gargiulo. What investigation did you do to determine the cationship between Vincent Gargiulo and Marty Martulis? I personally did no relationship investigation. Vincent Gargiulo had a phone registered to Marty ritulis, did he not? Yes. What was the address on that registration form? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 Q cal A pho Q | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone I; is that correct? The phone call was made from that phone to that one. Yes. To that phone? Yes, sir. You said (917) 509-9375? Yes. And the number that was called should have been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with electrocard Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty intulis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, or do I know Mr. Gargiulo. What investigation did you do to determine the electronship between Vincent Gargiulo and Marty Martulis? I personally did no relationship investigation. Vincent Gargiulo had a phone registered to Marty intulis, did he not? Yes. What was the address on that registration form? Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 Q cal A pho Q A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone I; is that correct? The phone call was made from that phone to that one. Yes. To that phone? Yes, sir. You said (917) 509-9375? Yes. And the number that was called should have been Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | tho | Do you have it? Not in this batch. Maybe it is here. MR. ROSEN: I guess we have to add ours. I sught the exhibit is whole. THE COURT: No. Whatever you have, show it to the witness. MR. ROSEN: Judge, we will come back to it. We clooking for our copy, but I don't see it. THE COURT: Whatever it is. MR. ROSEN: I'll go on to something else. You mentioned Marty Martulis. What was Marty Martulis' relationship with ocent Gargiulo? I have no idea. Well, what was Vincent Gargiulo doing with Marty ritulis' phone? Again, I have no idea. I don't know Mr. Martulis, or do I know Mr. Gargiulo. What investigation did you do to determine the cationship between Vincent Gargiulo and Marty Martulis? I personally did no relationship investigation. Vincent Gargiulo had a phone registered to Marty ritulis, did he not? Yes. What was the address on that registration form? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A nu Q 200 pho A Q A Q A (91 Q cal A pho Q A | Now, please tell me Martin Martulis' phone number? When you say his phone number that is the only mber I have for him. Take a look, if you would, sir, to August the 3rd, 03. Maybe I can help you along here, okay? August the 3rd, 2003, on Vincent Gargiulo's one of (917) 509-9375. August 3rd, 2003? Yes. At 3:40 p.m. Yes, sir. Who is making the call and who is being called? It indicates that the call phone number was 17) 509-9375. So the caller was Vincent Gargiulo; is that correct? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Well, the phone that he was using made that phone I; is that correct? The phone call was made from that phone to that one. Yes. To that phone? Yes, sir. You said (917) 509-9375? Yes. And the number that was called should have been |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS,Document 436-2 F | iled | d 05/21/13 Page 38 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 430-2 F 4041 | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | | | 1225 |
| 1 2 | Justin Bressman. A The called phone number for the time you specified on | 1 2 | |
| 3 | A The called phone number for the time you specified on 8/3 at 3:40 p.m., the number that was called was | 3 | |
| 4 | (917) 509-9375. | 4 | • |
| 5 | Q Do you have Mr. Gargiulo's phone here? | 5 | |
| 6 | A This is Mr. Gargiulo's phone. | 6 | |
| 7 | Q How could he be calling himself? | 7 | |
| 8 | A That is what you do when you get your voice mail. | 8 | |
| 9 | Q Oh. So he was picking up voice mail messages from | 9 | • |
| 10 | his phone? | 10 | _ |
| 11 | A That is how it works on my phone. | 11 | - |
| 12 | Q Okay. | 12 | |
| 13 | That's all you have to say. | 13 | |
| 14 | What was the next call that was made from that | 14 | |
| 15 | phone? | 15 | - |
| 16 | A The next call on 8/3? | 16 | |
| 17 | Q Yes. At 3:41 p.m.? | 17 | |
| 18 | A That call was made to a number, (212) 535-8082. | 18 | _ |
| 19 | Q Whose phone number is that? | 19 | _ |
| 20 | A I have no idea. | 20 | _ |
| 21 | Q Isn't that Marty Martulis' phone call phone | 21 | |
| 22 | number? | 22 | • |
| 23 | A I just said I have no idea whose it is. | 23 | |
| 24 | Q Why didn't you take the time to determine whose phone | 24 | |
| 25 | number he was calling, Vincent Gargiulo, on that day? You | 25 | - |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Roserr | | Zacarese-cross/Rosen |
| | 1224 | | 1226 |
| 1 | didn't think it was pertinent? | 1 | 1226 |
| 1 2 | 1224 | 1 2 | 1226 I July 27th? 2 A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at |
| | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. | | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. |
| | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? | 2 3 4 | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of | 2 3 4 5 | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. | 2 3 4 5 6 | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 1226 July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. Q The key numbers were developed by you, is that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. Q What is the next call you have on Justin Bressman's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. Q The key numbers were developed by you, is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. Q What is the next call you have on Justin Bressman's phone? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. Q The key numbers were developed by you, is that correct? A The key numbers were drawn down from the information | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. Q What is the next call you have on Justin Bressman's phone? A On the 27th of July? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. Q The key numbers were developed by you, is that correct? A The key numbers were drawn down from the information of the case on which numbers we were looking to key in on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. Q What is the next call you have on Justin Bressman's phone? A On the 27th of July? Q Please. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. Q The key numbers were developed by you, is that correct? A The key numbers were drawn down from the information of the case on which numbers we were looking to key in on to track and find out the communications between them. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. Q What is the next call you have on Justin Bressman's phone? A On the 27th of July? Q Please. A The call is an incoming call at 3:58 p.m., with no |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. Q The key numbers were developed by you, is that correct? A The key numbers were drawn down from the information of the case on which numbers we were looking to key in on to track and find out the communications between them. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. Q What is the next call you have on Justin Bressman's phone? A On the 27th of July? Q Please. A The call is an incoming call at 3:58 p.m., with no recorded number. It lasts four minutes long. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. Q The key numbers were developed by you, is that correct? A The key numbers were drawn down from the information of the case on which numbers we were looking to key in on to track and find out the communications between them. Q And you didn't think that Martin Martulis was such a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. Q What is the next call you have on Justin Bressman's phone? A On the 27th of July? Q Please. A The call is an incoming call at 3:58 p.m., with no recorded number. It lasts four minutes long. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | didn't think it was pertinent? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q You don't know whose phone number that is, do you? A Looking at these records, no, sir, I have no way of knowing. Q Is there anything you can look at at this counsel table to help you refresh your recollection as to whose phone number that is? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. Q Is there anything you can look at in your files to help you refresh your recollection on whose phone number was called? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A The only index I have are the key phone numbers that we used for the search parameters. Q The key numbers were developed by you, is that correct? A The key numbers were drawn down from the information of the case on which numbers we were looking to key in on to track and find out the communications between them. Q And you didn't think that Martin Martulis was such a person for you to track down? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | July 27th? A I have July 27th, Justin Bressman's phone number, at 3:55 p.m. Q Okay. My notes say 3:54. Tell us who he called on that date. A The number listed MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. What time? MR. ROSEN: He has 3:55. It is my error, I said 3:54. THE COURT: 3:55, okay. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Thank you. A It goes to (917) 806-2441. Q Do you know whose number that is? A No, sir, I do not. Q Did you make any attempt to investigate whose phone number that would be? A No, sir. Q What is the next call you have on Justin Bressman's phone? A On the 27th of July? Q Please. A The call is an incoming call at 3:58 p.m., with no recorded number. It lasts four minutes long. Q And do you know who called him? |

| | Case 2:08-cr-Q0655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 39 of 83_PageID #: |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4042 1227 | iica | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | | | 1229 |
| 1 2 | A According to these records, no, I have no way of knowing because it was an anonymous number. | 1 2 | exhibit? |
| 3 | Q And what the next what is the next phone call you | 3 | THE COURT: I think he is looking at which exhibit is it again? I thought we were on the same page |
| 4 | have? | 4 | here. |
| 5 | A On the 27th of July, 2003, at 4:02 p.m. I have an | 5 | MR. ROSEN: The witness should be looking at |
| 6 | outgoing call of (917) 743-0766. | 6 | Mr. Bressman's cell phone records. |
| 7 | Q And whose phone number is that? | 7 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 8 | A I do not know. | 8 | MR. ROSEN: I'm sorry, Mr. Gargiulo's cell phone |
| 9 | Q On August the 1st at 4:38 p.m. you have a call from | 9 | records. |
| 10 | Justin Bressman August 1st. | 10 | THE COURT: And that is marked as which exhibit? |
| 11 | A Okay. | 11 | A You are comparing Mr. Bressman's cell phone records |
| 12 | What time? | 12 | and Mr. Gargiulo's phone in the cus Martulis' phone in |
| 13 | Q August the 1st at 4:38 p.m. | 13 | the custody of Mr. Gargiulo; is that correct? |
| 14 | A That is line 733 on the report? I'm asking you: Is | 14 | Q Yes. |
| 15 | that line 733 on the records? | 15 | A You want me to go to the same date on the 4th? |
| 16 | Q I'm looking at Justin Bressman's phone number on | 16 | Q The 1st? |
| 17 | August 1st, 2003, at 4:38 p.m. | 17 | A August 1st, 2003. |
| 18 | A (917) 509-9375. | 18 | Q And the time again, sir? |
| 19 | Q And has he called that number before? | 19 | A 5:15 p.m. |
| 20 | A Have I seen it before? | 20 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: What phone number are we |
| 21 | Q Yes. | 21 | talking about? |
| 22 | A Yes. | 22 | MR. ROSEN: Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Read |
| 23 | Q And whose phone number is it? | 23 | it into the record, please. |
| 24 | A It is the cell phone number of Mr. Martin Martulis' | 24 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone number is he |
| 25 | cell phone that Vinnie Gargiulo had. | 25 | referring to? |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | · | | · |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| 1 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 | 1 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 |
| 1 2 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, | 1 2 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? |
| 1 2 3 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to | 1 2 3 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the |
| _ | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin |
| 2 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to | 2 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? |
| 2 3 4 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. | 2 3 4 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin |
| 2 3 4 5 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it | 2 3 4 5 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? | 2 3 4 5 6 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Which telephone number are we referring to? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Which telephone number are we referring to? THE COURT: If you could. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. Q And do you know anything about the background of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Which telephone number are we referring to? THE COURT: If you could. MR. ROSEN: We are looking at Vincent Gargiulo's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. Q And do you know anything about the background of Mr. Soto and drug trafficking in Manhattan? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Which telephone number are we referring to? THE COURT: If you could. MR. ROSEN: We are looking at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records in the name of Martin Martulis. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. Q And do you know anything about the background of Mr. Soto and drug trafficking in Manhattan? A No, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Which telephone number are we referring to? THE COURT: If you could. MR. ROSEN: We are looking at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records in the name of Martin Martulis. A And the date again, sir? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. Q And do you know anything about the background of Mr. Soto and drug trafficking in Manhattan? A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Which telephone number are we referring to? THE COURT: If you could. MR. ROSEN: We are looking at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records in the name of Martin Martulis. A And the date again, sir? Q The date is the same day we were just discussing, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. Q And do you know anything about the background of Mr. Soto and drug trafficking in Manhattan? A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Q Did you do |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Coording to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Who long number are we referring to? THE COURT: If you could. MR. ROSEN: We are looking at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records in the name of Martin Martulis. A And the date again, sir? Q The date is the same day we were just discussing, August 1st, 2003. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Tacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. Q And do you know anything about the background of Mr. Soto and drug trafficking in Manhattan? A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Q Did you do THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1228 Q And on that date, Justin Bressman on August the 1st, some 17 days before he was murdered, was speaking to Justin Bressman; is that correct? A I'm sorry? One more time. Q The homicide took place on the 18th of August, did it not? A That's correct. Q And this is on August the 1st, is it not? A Yes, sir. Q How long was the call between the two of them? A According to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Which telephone number are we referring to? THE COURT: If you could. MR. ROSEN: We are looking at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records in the name of Martin Martulis. A And the date again, sir? Q The date is the same day we were just discussing, August 1st, 2003. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Again, referencing which | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. Q And do you know anything about the background of Mr. Soto and drug trafficking in Manhattan? A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Q Did you do THE COURT: Overruled. Q Did you do anything to investigate that phone number |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A Coording to this list, one minute. Q Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records for August the 1st at around 5:00 o'clock, the same afternoon that he called, that Justin Bressman had called Vincent Gargiulo. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which exhibit are we looking at? Who long number are we referring to? THE COURT: If you could. MR. ROSEN: We are looking at Vincent Gargiulo's phone records in the name of Martin Martulis. A And the date again, sir? Q The date is the same day we were just discussing, August 1st, 2003. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Tacarese-Cross/Rosen 1230 MR. ROSEN: (917) 509-9375; is that correct? THE COURT: Is that the number you have as the number that Mr. Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Q You are looking at those phone records, the calls to and from Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Do you understand? A Yes. Q Please. A On August 1st at 5:15 p.m., the cell phone (917) 509-9375 originated a phone call outbound to (917) 373-9990. Q Who is that person? A I have no idea, sir. Q Do you know the name Sammy Soto? A No, sir. Q And do you know anything about the background of Mr. Soto and drug trafficking in Manhattan? A No, sir. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. Q Did you do THE COURT: Overruled. |

| 1 | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | iled | 05/ | 21/13 Page 40 of 83 PageID #. Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4043 | | | 1233 |
| 1 | and that person? | 1 | Δ | It says three minutes. |
| 2 | A No, sir. | 2 | | At 5:39 p.m., who did Mister or the person who had |
| 3 | My investigation was limited to cell phone | 3 | | t phone, who did they call next? |
| 4 | records and their connection between each other. | 4 | A | (917) 373-9990. |
| 5 | Q That is what I'm asking you. | 5 | Q | And whose phone number is that? |
| 6 | A Sorry? | 6 | Ā | You tell me it is Mr. Soto's phone. |
| 7 | Q These are cell phone records. These are calls made | 7 | Q | Right. |
| 8 | from targeted phones, and you don't know who he is | 8 | • | He called it again? |
| 9 | calling; is that correct? | 9 | Α | Yes. |
| 10 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection to form. | 10 | Q | So now he has called it four times in less than two |
| 11 | THE COURT: Sustained. | 11 | | urs, and you don't know who the person is he is calling? |
| 12 | Q The next call at 5:27 to Mister from | 12 | 1100 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 13 | Mr. Gargiulo's cell phone goes to who, sir? | 13 | 0 | Correct? |
| 14 | We are staying with Mr. Gargiulo's phone | 14 | • | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 15 | records, his cell phone records. | 15 | Q | Do you know who he was calling? |
| 16 | A That's correct. | 16 | A | Do I know? |
| 17 | Q August 1st. | 17 | Q | Do you know who he was calling? |
| 18 | A August 1st, the next successive phone number is at | 18 | A | Do I know Mr. Soto? |
| 19 | 5:18 p.m. | 19 | Q | Yes. |
| 20 | Q Well, I have I have | 20 | A | No, I do not. |
| 21 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. | 21 | Q | And the next number I apologize. |
| 22 | Q 5:27? | 22 | Q | The next time is 7:07 p.m. Where is the call |
| 23 | THE COURT: Do you have a 5:27 on there after | 23 | to? | The flext time is 7.07 p.m. Where is the call |
| | the | 24 | _ | To the Bressman cell phone. |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: There are a it is a | | Α | Now he has called Justin Bressman, and within three |
| 25 | | 25 | Q | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1232 | | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | | | | |
| 4 | | 4 | hou | 1234 |
| 1 | considerable number of calls later. | 1 | | urs he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that |
| 2 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? | 2 | cor | urs he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? |
| 3 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. | 2 | cor A | ars he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of |
| 2 3 4 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent | 2 3 4 | cor A tim | urs he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? | 2 3 4 5 | cor A tim Q | urs he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? | 2 3 4 5 6 | cor A tim Q | res he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | cor A tim Q | res he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | cor A tim Q was | rs he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo s not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | cor A tim Q was | Irs he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | cor A tim Q was | Irs he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | cor A tim Q was | Irs he called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? A The next recorded phone call is again 5:27, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? A The next recorded phone call is again 5:27, (917) 445-2141. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? A The next recorded phone call is again 5:27, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose phone number is that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? A The next recorded phone call is again 5:27, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? A The next recorded phone call is again 5:27, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q So on that date we have a call from Mr. Gargiulo's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? A The next recorded phone call is again 5:27, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q So on that date we have a call from Mr. Gargiulo's phone to Justin Bressman. And how long was that phone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? A The next recorded phone call is again 5:27, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q So on that date we have a call from Mr. Gargiulo's phone to Justin Bressman. And how long was that phone call, what was the duration? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we seed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. (Continued on next page.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | considerable number of calls later. THE COURT: Calls later? THE WITNESS: Right. Q Do you have a call at 5:15 p.m. from Vincent Gargiulo? A To what number? Q What do you have? A I have (917) 373 9990. Q That is the one we just discussed with Mr. Soto? A That is the one I just gave you, yes. Q The next call I'm interested in is at 5:27 p.m. from Mr. Gargiulo. A The next call at 5:27 is (917) 373-9990. Q And that is Mr. Sammy Soto again; is that correct? A That is the same number. I don't know who it belongs to. Q And during that same minute, who did he call next, or that phone call, to who next? A The next recorded phone call is again 5:27, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q So on that date we have a call from Mr. Gargiulo's phone to Justin Bressman. And how long was that phone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | cor A tim Q was | In she called him twice and Mr. Soto four times; is that rect? Somebody has called those numbers that amount of es, yes. Do you have any information to tell us that Gargiulo is not using that phone on August 1st? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Which phone? MR. ROSEN: The Gargiulo cell phone that we eed to. THE COURT: Sustained. Come on up. Excuse me. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | iled | 05/21/13 Page 41 of 83 PageID #: Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Začařese-Cross/Rosen 4044 | | 2acarese-cross/Rosen 1237 |
| 1 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took | 1 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: I'm objecting to testimony from |
| 2 | place at the sidebar.) | 2 | counsel. |
| 3 | THE COURT: I have no problem with you asking | 3 | MR. ROSEN: We stipulated to it. |
| 4 | repeatedly this particular number, this particular number. | 4 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. There is no |
| 5 | You can summarize it as far as I'm concerned. But, | 5 | stipulation. |
| 6 | please, don't continue to ask him, doesn't he know it is | 6 | THE COURT: Come on up. Let's straighten this |
| 7 | Soto? I see the jurors are beginning to gesture that they | 7 | out, gentlemen. |
| 8 | are not interested. | 8 | (Continued on next page.) |
| 9 | MR. ROSEN: Thank you. | 9 | |
| 10 | (Continued on next page.) | 10 | |
| 11 | | 11 | |
| 12 | | 12 | |
| 13 | | 13 | |
| 14 | | 14 | |
| 15 | | 15 | |
| 16 | | 16 | |
| 17 | | 17 | |
| 18 | | 18 | |
| 19 20 | | 19 20 | |
| 21 | | 21 | |
| 22 | | 22 | |
| 23 | | 23 | |
| 24 | | 24 | |
| 25 | | 25 | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | Zanarana Crana/Danara |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1236 | | Zacarese-Gross/Rosen 1238 |
| 1 | 1236 (Whereupon, at this time the following takes | 1 | 1238 (Whereupon, at this time the following took |
| 2 | 1236 (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) | 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) |
| 3 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to | 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an |
| 2 3 4 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. | 2 3 4 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that |
| 2 3 4 5 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? | 2 3 4 5 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell | 2 3 4 5 6 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? A These are Mr. Gargiulo's phone records, yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone number it is. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? A These are Mr. Gargiulo's phone records, yes, sir. Q Tell us, who did he call? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone number it is. THE COURT: Get the stipulation out and let's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? A These are Mr. Gargiulo's phone records, yes, sir. Q Tell us, who did he call? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it again? What | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone number it is. THE COURT: Get the stipulation out and let's read out the stipulation and get it cleared up. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? A These are Mr. Gargiulo's phone records, yes, sir. Q Tell us, who did he call? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it again? What phone number are we referring to? The phone number? MR. ROSEN: We should be referring to (917) 509-9375; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone number it is. THE COURT: Get the stipulation out and let's read out the stipulation and get it cleared up. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we take ten minutes to get this cleared up? THE COURT: All right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? A These are Mr. Gargiulo's phone records, yes, sir. Q Tell us, who did he call? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it again? What phone number are we referring to? The phone number? MR. ROSEN: We should be referring to (917) 509-9375; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone number it is. THE COURT: Get the stipulation out and let's read out the stipulation and get it cleared up. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we take ten minutes to get this cleared up? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? A These are Mr. Gargiulo's phone records, yes, sir. Q Tell us, who did he call? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it again? What phone number are we referring to? The phone number? MR. ROSEN: We should be referring to (917) 509-9375; is that correct? A That's correct, sir. Q And the one that is registered to Martin Martulis | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone number it is. THE COURT: Get the stipulation out and let's read out the stipulation and get it cleared up. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we take ten minutes to get this cleared up? THE COURT: All right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? A These are Mr. Gargiulo's phone records, yes, sir. Q Tell us, who did he call? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it again? What phone number are we referring to? The phone number? MR. ROSEN: We should be referring to (917) 509-9375; is that correct? A That's correct, sir. Q And the one that is registered to Martin Martulis that Vincent Gargiulo was using? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone number it is. THE COURT: Get the stipulation out and let's read out the stipulation and get it cleared up. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we take ten minutes to get this cleared up? THE COURT: All right. (Continued on next page.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) Q Still looking at 7:07 p.m. on August the 1st to Vincent Gargiulo's cell phone. Did he call Justin Bressman? A There was a phone call made from the Gargiulo cell phone, outbound to (917) 445-2141. It lasted for approximately one minute at 7:07 p.m. on August 1st. Q And whose phone number is that? A That is the Bressman cell phone. Q I want you to go now to August the 2nd. A Time? Q 10:27 a.m. A I have it. Q Also looking at Mr. Gargiulo's phone records; is that correct? A These are Mr. Gargiulo's phone records, yes, sir. Q Tell us, who did he call? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it again? What phone number are we referring to? The phone number? MR. ROSEN: We should be referring to (917) 509-9375; is that correct? A That's correct, sir. Q And the one that is registered to Martin Martulis | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: You are saying that there was an agreement that Mr. Gargiulo was using the cell phone that was registered to Martin Martulis? Is that what you are saying. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, he has gotten the witness confused. He did an excellent job, and that is good for him. But the phone number he keeps referring to is Martin Martulis' phone. THE COURT: There are two Martulis phones? MR. MISKIEWICZ: The testimony is it is a (917) 860 I don't remember the last four digits at this time standing here. I will clean it up on redirect. But I think this is contrary to the stipulation as to which phone number it is. THE COURT: Get the stipulation out and let's read out the stipulation and get it cleared up. MR. ROSEN: Judge, can we take ten minutes to get this cleared up? THE COURT: All right. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 42 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4045 1239 | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | | _ | 1241 |
| 1 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes | 1 | confusing. And we will clean up whatever we have to do on |
| 2 | place in open court.) | 2 | redirect. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Folks, we will take our | 3 | THE COURT: And he does say that in response to |
| 4 | mid-afternoon break, hopefully 15 minutes, and no more. | 4 | your question, or your objection, Mr. Miskiewicz, which |
| 5 | Just don't talk about the case or anything that | 5 | phone number is he referring to? |
| 6 | is happening here. | 6 | Mr. Rosen, (917) 509-9375; is that correct? |
| 7 | | 7 | The Court: Is that the number you have as the |
| 8 | (Whereupon, at this time the jury leaves the | 8 | number Gargiulo was using that came from Martin Martulis? |
| 9 | courtroom.) | 9 | The Witness: That's correct, your Honor. |
| 10 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: We can probably excuse the | 10 | The Court: All right. |
| 11 | witness. | 11 | Mr. Rosen, you are going with what is presented. |
| 12 | THE COURT: You can step down. | 12 | But you are on notice that the stipulation does not apply |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. | 13 | to it. And the jury should probably be instructed there |
| 14 | THE COURT: Is it going to take a while to find | 14 | is no such stipulation. |
| 15 | the stipulation, or do you have it? | 15 | MR. ROSEN: We entered into the stipulation to |
| 16 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: No. I have the stipulation. | 16 | allow the records in and identify those records so we |
| 17 | THE COURT: All right. | 17 | wouldn't have to bring the custodian in. |
| 18 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: The stipulation does not | 18 | THE COURT: I understand. |
| 19 | indicate the user of the numbers. It only indicates the | 19 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: My objection was in the |
| 20 | custodian, or what the custodian would testify to. | 20 | presence of the jury to suggest that there was a |
| 21 | The number that is in question is the number | 21 | stipulation that that number |
| 22 | that Agent Schelhorn testified was the number that | 22 | THE COURT: Was the number being used. And that |
| 23 | Mr. Gargiulo used was (917) 860-6210. | 23 | was not in the stipulation. I agree. |
| 24 | The phone number that counsel has been referring | 24 | All right. We are moving on. |
| 25 | to as the Gargiulo/Matulis number is (917) 509-3375. | 25 | How much longer do you think you have with |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | 4240 | | 4242 |
| 1 | MP POSEN: Lacked him which phone it was | 1 | 1242 |
| 1 2 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was | 1 2 | Detective Zacarese? |
| 2 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. | 2 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with |
| 3 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further | 2 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out |
| 2 3 4 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. | 2 3 4 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and | 2 3 4 5 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are | 2 3 4 5 6 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion because I was not writing down the numbers, I know there | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to the jury that unfortunately the witness and counsel were |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion because I was not writing down the numbers, I know there was one critical number that ended in a zero, and this | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to the jury that unfortunately the witness and counsel were under the wrong using the wrong phone number for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion because I was not writing down the numbers, I know there was one critical number that ended in a zero, and this wasn't it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to the jury that unfortunately the witness and counsel were under the wrong using the wrong phone number for Mr. Gargiulo? Something to clarify |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion because I was not writing down the numbers, I know there was one critical number that ended in a zero, and this wasn't it. I will search for it. Just take a break at this point. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Judge, we are not making any | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to the jury that unfortunately the witness and counsel were under the wrong using the wrong phone number for Mr. Gargiulo? Something to clarify THE COURT: I don't want to decide facts here. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was — THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion because I was not writing down the numbers, I know there was one critical number that ended in a zero, and this wasn't it. I will search for it. Just take a break at this point. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Judge, we are not making any application with regard to any of the testimony. At this | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to the jury that unfortunately the witness and counsel were under the wrong using the wrong phone number for Mr. Gargiulo? Something to clarify THE COURT: I don't want to decide facts here. If there has been confusion, it is up to the government to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was — THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion because I was not writing down the numbers, I know there was one critical number that ended in a zero, and this wasn't it. I will search for it. Just take a break at this point. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Judge, we are not making any application with regard to any of the testimony. At this stage, other than we have gone through this, it is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to the jury that unfortunately the witness and counsel were under the wrong using the wrong phone number for Mr. Gargiulo? Something to clarify THE COURT: I don't want to decide facts here. If there has been confusion, it is up to the government to straighten it out when they get up in terms of what the numbers are. The witness has obviously made an error. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was — THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion because I was not writing down the numbers, I know there was one critical number that ended in a zero, and this wasn't it. I will search for it. Just take a break at this point. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Judge, we are not making any application with regard to any of the testimony. At this stage, other than we have gone through this, it is Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to the jury that unfortunately the witness and counsel were under the wrong using the wrong phone number for Mr. Gargiulo? Something to clarify THE COURT: I don't want to decide facts here. If there has been confusion, it is up to the government to straighten it out when they get up in terms of what the numbers are. The witness has obviously made an error. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. ROSEN: I asked him which phone it was — THE COURT: He hasn't memorized the stipulation. And I don't see any reason to confuse the jury any further because he is not that good on numbers, unfortunately. But you entered into a specific stipulation, and to go around and around with different numbers that are not in the stipulation will just needlessly confuse the jury. MR. ROSEN: Judge, I asked him which Marty Matulis number it was. And he read it into the record. Is this the one that Vince Gargiulo is using? Yes. And I followed along with that. I'm not the one who is confused. The witness is confused because he didn't remember the second Marty Matulis number that was used by Marty Matulis. THE COURT: Let me get up to that portion because I was not writing down the numbers, I know there was one critical number that ended in a zero, and this wasn't it. I will search for it. Just take a break at this point. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Judge, we are not making any application with regard to any of the testimony. At this stage, other than we have gone through this, it is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Detective Zacarese? MR. ROSEN: I on my notes followed along with him thinking that was Vincent Gargiulo's and it turned out it is Martin Martulis. THE COURT: So everybody is confused. MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. How much longer do you have with him? MR. ROSEN: I have some time with him. THE COURT: Over an hour and a half? MR. ROSEN: Oh, no, no, no. THE COURT: A half an hour? MR. ROSEN: I don't know. I'm more confused now about the Marty Matulis registration. And how the witness doesn't know that before he takes the stand is also troubling. But that is okay. We will try will the Court say something to the jury that unfortunately the witness and counsel were under the wrong using the wrong phone number for Mr. Gargiulo? Something to clarify THE COURT: I don't want to decide facts here. If there has been confusion, it is up to the government to straighten it out when they get up in terms of what the numbers are. The witness has obviously made an error. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 43 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4046 | | Zacarese-cross/Rosen 1245 |
| 1 | Therefore, the jury can assess that. You can try to get | 1 | Q Please take a look at the list, and I will let you |
| 2 | the numbers straight. And based on the questions asked by | 2 | read right off the list, and you don't have to refresh |
| 3 | the Court, and by you, Mr. Rosen, and with the initial | 3 | your recollection or anything. Just please read to us |
| 4 | question by Mr. Miskiewicz, the jury can make a | 4 | first Vincent Gargiulo's phone number that is registered |
| 5 | determination as to whether it is a willful or intentional | 5 | to in the name of Martin Martulis. |
| 6 | error or it is just a general confusion on not memorizing | 6 | A (917) 860-6210. |
| 7 | the numbers. | 7 | Q Okay. |
| 8 | Thank you. | 8 | You understand that you have been giving us |
| 9 | mank you. | 9 | (917) 509-9375 this whole time, and that is Marty |
| 10 | (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) | 10 | Martulis' number? |
| 11 | (Wholoupon, a rootes was taken,) | 11 | A That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, yes. |
| 12 | | 12 | Q And when I asked you about Vince Gargiulo, you told |
| 13 | | 13 | us that the 9375 number was Vince's, it wasn't? You made |
| 14 | | 14 | a mistake. It is okay. And I just want to clarify that |
| 15 | | 15 | the whole time you were doing Marty Martulis' number |
| 16 | | 16 | instead of Vincent Gargiulo's number, you understand now? |
| 17 | | 17 | A I understand I was confused by your question, yes, |
| 18 | | 18 | sir. |
| 19 | | 19 | Q I confused you by asking you what phone number it |
| 20 | | 20 | was? |
| 21 | | 21 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 22 | | 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 23 | | 23 | Let's move on. He asked about a number ending |
| 24 | | 24 | in zero, and we were discussing that at that time. |
| 25 | | 25 | Q What is Vincent Gargiulo's phone number? You can |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | 7 | | |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1244 | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1246 |
| 1 | | 1 | 1246 read it right off the paper. |
| 2 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. | 1 2 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? |
| | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the | | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. |
| 2 3 4 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. | 2 3 4 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of |
| 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to | 2 3 4 5 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use | 2 3 4 5 6 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, okay? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, okay? A Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. BY MR. ROSEN: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, okay? A Yes, sir. Q I want you to take a look at the phone records for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Detective Zacarese, there is a key phone list. I believe you may have been mistaken as to whose | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, okay? A Yes, sir. Q I want you to take a look at the phone records for the Synergy Fitness Club on West 23rd Street. I have it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Detective Zacarese, there is a key phone list. I believe you may have been mistaken as to whose numbers are what, and we spent 20 minutes doing it. But | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, okay? A Yes, sir. Q I want you to take a look at the phone records for the Synergy Fitness Club on West 23rd Street. I have it as VZ-3. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Detective Zacarese, there is a key phone list. I believe you may have been mistaken as to whose numbers are what, and we spent 20 minutes doing it. But we will try to clarify that up now. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, okay? A Yes, sir. Q I want you to take a look at the phone records for the Synergy Fitness Club on West 23rd Street. I have it as VZ-3. Do you have those records, sir? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Detective Zacarese, there is a key phone list. I believe you may have been mistaken as to whose numbers are what, and we spent 20 minutes doing it. But we will try to clarify that up now. A Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, okay? A Yes, sir. Q I want you to take a look at the phone records for the Synergy Fitness Club on West 23rd Street. I have it as VZ-3. Do you have those records, sir? A What do you have it as? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: What is the situation? Let's get Mr. Zacarese back on the stand. MR. ROSEN: He made a mistake. He was using the wrong phone list and wrong phone numbers. I will try to cut to the chase and ask him to refresh his recollection. They have a phone key, to use the key. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you resume the stand. Mr. Miskiewicz, you don't have a problem with that, do you? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. (Whereupon, the jury at this time entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Please be seated. Ready to resume. Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: Yes, your Honor. BY MR. ROSEN: Q Detective Zacarese, there is a key phone list. I believe you may have been mistaken as to whose numbers are what, and we spent 20 minutes doing it. But we will try to clarify that up now. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | read it right off the paper. A The Gargiulo cell phone? Q Yes. A The Gargiulo cell phone. That is the subscriber of Marty Martulis. Q Correct? A Is (917) 860-6210. Q And when we are talking about Mr. Gargiulo, please refer to that. Okay? Now that you have your list, do you see that there is a separate number for Martin Martulis? A That would be the Martin Martulis cell phone number. THE COURT: And what is the number for the Martulis cell phone number? THE WITNESS: It is listed here as Martin Martulis, in parenthesis, Gargiulo roommate, close parenthesis, cell. And that is (917) 509-9375. Q Okay. That is Marty Martulis' cell phone, okay? A Yes, sir. Q I want you to take a look at the phone records for the Synergy Fitness Club on West 23rd Street. I have it as VZ-3. Do you have those records, sir? |

| | Cas | se 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | iled | 05/ | 21/13 Page 44 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | 2acarese-Cross/Rosen 4047 1247 | | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | _ | | | ^ | 1249 |
| 1 | Q | V V72 | 1 | Q | The blackout was on Thursday, the 14th; is that |
| 2 | Α | VZ? | 2 | | rect? |
| 3 | | VZ-3. | 3 | | I know that independently of these phone records. |
| 4 | Α | 3, okay. | 4 | Q | All right. |
| 5 | | (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in | 5 | | Were there any phone calls made in and out of |
| 6 | | proceedings.) | 6 | | Synergy Gym on Friday, August 15th? |
| 7 | | Yes, sir, I have it. | 7 | _ | There is nothing recorded here on these records. |
| 8 | Q | Okay. | 8 | Q | 3 |
| 9 | | I'd like you to go to August 14th of '03, | 9 | | Synergy Gym? |
| 10 | - | ase. We are dealing with West 23rd Street, Synergy | 10 | | I just said, August 14th of '03, at 8:38 in the |
| 11 | | m, August 14th, 2003. | 11 | | rning was the first phone call after the 14th of August. |
| 12 | Α | The hour of the day? | 12 | | You mean the 16th, not the 14th? |
| 13 | Q | Sorry? | 13 | | After the 14th, the next phone call was the 16th at |
| 14 | Α | The hour of the day? | 14 | | 8 a.m. |
| 15 | Q | The whole day. | 15 | Q | Okay. |
| 16 | Α | Okay. | 16 | | And these were produced for you or one of your |
| 17 | Q | Do you see records for the whole day of August 14th, | 17 | | ow agents by the telephone company; is that correct? |
| 18 | • | ase? | 18 | | As I understand it, it was produced for the Manhattan |
| 19 | | The records start on page 4 of the records. They | 19 | | trict Attorney's Office as per one of their subpoenas |
| 20 | COI | ntinue to page 5 and end on page 6. | 20 | - | the phone company. |
| 21 | | MR. ROSEN: Judge, may I approach? | 21 | Q | Okay. |
| 22 | | THE COURT: Yes. | 22 | | Thank you. |
| 23 | | (Counsel approaches the witness stand.) | 23 | | I want you to please, from your chart, the chart |
| 24 | Q | Please look at page 6. | 24 | | you made for this Court, August the 16th of '03. Can |
| 25 | | Do you see the date August 14th, 2003 on that | 25 | you | please refer to your chart. |
| | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | | Official Court Reporter | | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | | |
| | | | | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | | 1248 | | ^ | 1250 |
| 1 | pag | 1248 ge? | 1 | _ | 1250 I don't have that copy. |
| 2 | Α | 1248 ge? Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you | 2 | Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? |
| 2 | A tol | 1248 ge? Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you d me to look up the 14th. | 2 | Q A | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? |
| 2 3 4 | A tol | 1248 ge? Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you d me to look up the 14th. Okay. | 2 3 4 | Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? |
| 2 3 4 5 | A tole Q | ge? Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you d me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those | 2 3 4 5 | Q A Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A tole Q | yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those words, the last phone call, it was made at what time? | 2 3 4 5 6 | Q A | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A told Q rec | yee? Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those words, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q A Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A tole Q rec A cal | yee? Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q A Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A told Q rec A cal Q | ge? Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q A Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A tole Q rec A cal Q A | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those words, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q A Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A tole Q rec A cal Q A The | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. are phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q A Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A told Q rec A cal Q A The Q | yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. I phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q A Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A told Q rec A cal Q A The Q pho | yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. be phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q A Q A | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A told Q record A call Q A The Q pho A | yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those ords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. are phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q A Q A Q | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A told Q recc A call Q A The Q pho A ma | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone of the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. or phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been used on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q A Q A | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A told Q rec A cal Q A The Q pho A ma | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. Is phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been adde on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 88 a.m. That phone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q A Q 16tl A | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A told Q rec A cal Q A The Q pho A ma 8:3 Q | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the cone records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been used on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 18 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q A Q 16tt A gro | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A told Q record A call Q A The Q pho A ma 8:3 Q from | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those ords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. be phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been used on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, me the Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q A Q 16tl A gro | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A told Q record A call Q A The Q pho A mass 3 Q from A | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. are phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the cone records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been and on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, and the Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q A Q 16tl A gro Q Aug | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the in, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at just 16th. It should be the second page. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A told Q record A call Q A The Q pho A ma 8:3 Q from A Q | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those cords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. In phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the cone records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been to do on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at '8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, and the Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. Well, who produced the report? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q A Q 16th A gro | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at gust 16th. It should be the second page. Yes, sir, it is. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A told Q rec A call Q A The Q pho A ma 8:3 Q from A Q A | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you dime to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those ords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. It phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been de on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, on the Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. Well, who produced the report? The phone company. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q A Q 16tl A gro | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the no, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at youst 16th. It should be the second page. Yes, sir, it is. And, please, keep the phone number list that you have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A tolic Q record A call Q A Thic Q pho A mass 3 Q from A Q A Q | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you dime to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those ords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. It phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been used on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, me the Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. Well, who produced the report? The phone company. Does it tell you something about the fact of the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q A Q 16th A group A Q and | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at gust 16th. It should be the second page. Yes, sir, it is. And, please, keep the phone number list that you have been to it to make sure that we are dealing with the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A told Q recc A call Q A The Q pho A ma 8:3 Q from A Q bla | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you dime to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those ords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. as phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been dee on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, on the Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. Well, who produced the report? The phone company. Does it tell you something about the fact of the ckout that was on the 14th and the phones were not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q A Q 16th A group A Q and | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the in, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at gust 16th. It should be the second page. Yes, sir, it is. And, please, keep the phone number list that you have refer to it to make sure that we are dealing with the it phone number. Thank you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A told Q rec A cal Q A The Q pho A ma 8:3 Q from A Q A Q bla wo | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you dime to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those ords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. ephone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been deen 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, on the Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. Well, who produced the report? The phone company. Does it tell you something about the fact of the ckout that was on the 14th and the phones were not riving on the 15th at the West 23rd Street gym? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q A Q Aug A Q and right | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the no, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at youst 16th. It should be the second page. Yes, sir, it is. And, please, keep the phone number list that you have be refer to it to make sure that we are dealing with the to phone number. Thank you. On the 16th, would you say that that is a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A told Q recc A call Q A The Q pho A ma 8:3 Q from A Q bla | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you dime to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those ords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. exphone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the cone records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been deen on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, methe Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. Well, who produced the report? The phone company. Does it tell you something about the fact of the cokout that was on the 14th and the phones were not riving on the 15th at the West 23rd Street gym? This report doesn't say anything about blackouts. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q A Q Aug A Q and right | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at gust 16th. It should be the second page. Yes, sir, it is. And, please, keep the phone number list that you have a refer to it to make sure that we are dealing with the to phone number. Thank you. On the 16th, would you say that that is a urday? Is August the 16th a Saturday? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A told Q record A call Q A maa 8:33 Q from A Q A Q bla wo | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you do me to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those bords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. It is phone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the one records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been doe on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, on the Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. Well, who produced the report? The phone company. Does it tell you something about the fact of the ckout that was on the 14th and the phones were not riking on the 15th at the West 23rd Street gym? This report doesn't say anything about blackouts. Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q A Q Aug A Q and right | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at just 16th. It should be the second page. Yes, sir, it is. And, please, keep the phone number list that you have a refer to it to make sure that we are dealing with the to the phone number. Thank you. On the 16th, would you say that that is a jurday? Is August the 16th a Saturday? Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A told Q record A call Q A maa 8:33 Q from A Q A Q bla wo | Yes, sir. As I said, this is where it ended when you dime to look up the 14th. Okay. So what is referred to on page 6 of those ords, the last phone call, it was made at what time? The last phone call on the page or the last phone I for the 14th? For the 14th. The last phone call made on the 14th was at 4:06 p.m. exphone number dialed was (917) 373-9990. Right underneath that, what is the next entry in the cone records right below the one that you looked at? The next record in sequence is reported to have been deen on 3/8 sorry, 8/16 of '03, August 16th of '03, at 8 a.m. That phone There are no phone records for Friday, August 15th, methe Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street; is that correct? There are none produced in this report. Well, who produced the report? The phone company. Does it tell you something about the fact of the cokout that was on the 14th and the phones were not riving on the 15th at the West 23rd Street gym? This report doesn't say anything about blackouts. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q A Q Aug A Q and right | I don't have that copy. You don't have the evidence copy? You are talking about the color-coded chart? No yes. Isn't it up there? This. It is not here, no, sir. MR. ROSEN: I thought it was marked. MR. MISKIEWICZ: For the record, this is AZ-1. MR. ROSEN: I don't see it. Can we substitute this? THE COURT: Yes. Let him have it, yes. MR. ROSEN: Thank you. (Handed to the witness.) I want you to take a look at your chart, August the n, 2003. Yes, sir. That would be the second page of this up? I don't have your copy. But I'm looking at gust 16th. It should be the second page. Yes, sir, it is. And, please, keep the phone number list that you have a refer to it to make sure that we are dealing with the to phone number. Thank you. On the 16th, would you say that that is a surday? Is August the 16th a Saturday? |

| | Ou. | se 2.08-ci-00055-15, "Document 430-2 — F | nea | 0.5721713 1.3000 4.500 0.01 0.02 0.00 |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4048 | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen #. |
| 1 | Α | My recollection says it is. But I can't be sure | 1 | A (917) 509-9375. |
| 2 | wi | thout looking at a calendar. | 2 | Q Okay. |
| 3 | | Well, if the blackout was Thursday, the 14th, and | 3 | A It is listed as Martin Martulis' cell phone. Martin |
| 4 | | day was the 15th, and was Saturday the 16th? | 4 | Martulis was Gargiulo's roommate on the key. And this was |
| 5 | Α | It would seem so, yes. | 5 | his cell phone. |
| 6 | | All right. | 6 | Q So now you know who Martin Martulis is, but you |
| 7 | _ | At 12:17 a.m., please take out the LeShawn | 7 | didn't know before because the key told you; is that |
| 8 | Ca | mpbell phone list, if you would. | 8 | correct? |
| 9 | A | Do you want me to work from the key? | 9 | A I still don't know who Martin Martulis is. I know |
| 0 | Q | I want to make sure that LeShawn Campbell's phone | 10 | this number belonged to Martin Martulis. |
| | | | 11 | Q Okay. |
| 1 | | mber is on the key. And then I would like you to please | 12 | • |
| 2 | _ | the correct records according to the key, LeShawn | | So there was a land line call to Martin |
| 3 | | mpbell's phone records. | 13 | Martulis' phone number at 12:17; is that correct? |
| 4 | | You want me to reference to you now the phone | 14 | A 12:17, phone number at the LeShawn Campbell residence |
| 5 | | mbers? | 15 | made a phone call at 12:17 a.m. to a phone number |
| 6 | Q | | 16 | belonging to the cell phone of Martin Martulis. |
| 7 | | Give me LeShawn Campbell's phone record phone | 17 | Q How long was the duration of the call? |
| 8 | nu | mber. And then please find the records. | 18 | A These records do not show duration. |
| 9 | Α | (212) 529-1837 . | 19 | Q Okay. |
| 0 | Q | Okay. | 20 | Did you attempt in any way to try to get records |
| 21 | | Please find those records. | 21 | produced by the phone company which would give you the |
| 2 | Α | Yes, sir. | 22 | duration of the phone calls? |
| 3 | Q | Got them? | 23 | A All records from that time period are no longer kept |
| 4 | Α | Yes, sir. | 24 | by the phone company. So no attempt could be made to make |
| 5 | Q | Please turn to August 16th at 12:17 a.m., according | 25 | the phone records available that far back. Verizon |
| | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | | | | Stephanie Ficozzi, OCN, NFN |
| | | | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | |
| | | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| 1 | | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. | 1 | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. |
| 1 2 | | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 | 1 2 | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 |
| 1 2 3 | A | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. | _ | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. |
| 1 2 3 4 | A | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. | 2 | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | A Q | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? | 3 | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in |
| _ | A Q A | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. | 2 3 4 | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. |
| 5 | A Q A Q | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? | 2 3 4 5 | Cofficial Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not |
| 5 6 7 | A Q A Q A | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? |
| 5 6 7 | A Q A Q A Q | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 5 6 7 8 | A Q A Q A Q | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the |
| 5 6 7 8 9 | A Q A Q A Q | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 | A Q A Q A Q 12 | Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q Pre A Q | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A Q A Q 12 A Q Pre A Q A | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in |
| 56789012345678 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q A Q | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? |
| 567890123456789 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q A Q | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and at Vincent Gargiulo's phone number. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? A When did I retain it? When did I have access to |
| 5678901234567890 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q A Q no | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and at Vincent Gargiulo's phone number. Are you confused, or do you understand what is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? A When did I retain it? When did I have access to these records? |
| 56789012345678901 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q A Q no | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and to Vincent Gargiulo's phone number. Are you confused, or do you understand what is tten there? And I don't mean any disrespect. I want | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? A When did I retain it? When did I have access to these records? Q Yes. |
| 56789012345678901 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q A Q no | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and at Vincent Gargiulo's phone number. Are you confused, or do you understand what is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? A When did I retain it? When did I have access to these records? |
| 567890123456789012 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q A Q no | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and to Vincent Gargiulo's phone number. Are you confused, or do you understand what is tten there? And I don't mean any disrespect. I want | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? A When did I retain it? When did I have access to these records? Q Yes. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q A Q no write | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and at Vincent Gargiulo's phone number. Are you confused, or do you understand what is tten there? And I don't mean any disrespect. I want make sure we got it right. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? A When did I retain it? When did I have access to these records? Q Yes. A Within the last year. |
| 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 4 15 16 17 18 19 21 22 32 4 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q no write | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and at Vincent Gargiulo's phone number. Are you confused, or do you understand what is tten there? And I don't mean any disrespect. I want make sure we got it right. Which cell phone number of Martin Martulis are | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? A When did I retain it? When did I have access to these records? Q Yes. A Within the last year. Q How about your office, when did they have access to |
| 5 6 7 8 | A Q A Q A Q 12 A Q pre A Q no write | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1252 your chart. August 16th, 12:17 a.m., I have it. Got it? Yes. See your chart? Yes. Do you have the phone records? I'm looking at the page, yes. You indicate that from Campbell's apartment at 17 a.m., Campbell/Amador apartment, land line. Do you see that? Yes, sir. There was a call made from that phone, which you eviously identified, to Martin Martulis' cell phone. Yes, sir. Tell us what phone number that is. (917) 519-9375. Now, you gave us Martin Martulis' phone number and at Vincent Gargiulo's phone number. Are you confused, or do you understand what is tten there? And I don't mean any disrespect. I want make sure we got it right. Which cell phone number of Martin Martulis' | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1254 doesn't keep them that long. Q Okay. The District Attorney's Office got involved in this case in August of 2003. When were the records subpoenaed so we would not be placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office in 2003, if you know? MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. THE COURT: Placed in that position by the District Attorney's Office? MR. ROSEN: Meaning that we don't have proper records from that time. THE COURT: Sustained as to proper records. Do you have a question regarding procuring these records? If you do, I will let you ask that question. MR. ROSEN: Yes. Q When did you obtain the record that you have in evidence for LeShawn Campbell's phone number? A When did I retain it? When did I have access to these records? Q Yes. A Within the last year. Q How about your office, when did they have access to them? |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4049 | iled | l 05/21/13 Page 46 of 83 PageID #: Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4049 | | 1257 |
| 1 | Q All right. | 1 | Q Whose phone number is that? |
| 2 | When is the next phone call, sir? | 2 | A I don't know. |
| 3 | A The same day? | 3 | ц, р сын жага жага жага жа |
| 4 | Q The same day, staying with LeShawn Campbell's | 4 | |
| 5 | apartment. | 5 | , 3 |
| 6 | A August 16th at 12:18, and it is in 2003, the next | 6 | A Up to what time? |
| 7 | phone number call is (917) 373-9990. | 7 | |
| 8 | And whose cell phone is that? | 8 | A Doing just the a.m.'s. |
| 9 | A My recollection is that earlier you said it was | 10 | Q Yes. A Starts at 12:17 and stops at 2:58 a.m., which is just |
| 10 | Mr. Soto. And I think that is the name you used before, but I'm not sure. | 11 | A Starts at 12:17 and stops at 2:58 a.m., which is just before 3:00 o'clock in the morning. |
| 12 | Q Okay. | 12 | Q Okay. |
| 13 | According to your chart, at 12:18 there was a | 13 | How many phone calls are made from that |
| 14 | call from Campbell/Amador to Tarantino. | 14 | |
| 15 | A Yes, sir. | 15 | |
| 16 | Q Okay. | 16 | Q Going to your chart of August 21st, 2003, there seems |
| 17 | So before the Soto call, there is a call to | 17 | to be something missing. Do you know what that is? |
| 18 | Mr. Tarantino; is that correct? | 18 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 19 | A No. | 19 | Q Something that you didn't put in. |
| 20 | After the Soto call there is a call to | 20 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Objection. |
| 21 | Mr. Tarantino. | 21 | Q Do you have the West 23rd Street Synergy Gym phone |
| 22 | Q So in-between 12:17 and 12:18 there is a call on the | 22 | |
| 23 | Campbell line to Soto? | 23 | A VZ-3, to be sure we have the right ones, Victor Zebra |
| 24 | A After the 12:17 call, the next two calls in | 24 | |
| 25 | succession are both timed at 12:18. The first call is to | 25 | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | | | |
| | 1256 | | 1258 |
| 1 | 1256 the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And | 1 | 1258 VZ-3, yes. |
| 1 2 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a | 1 2 | VZ-3, yes. |
| _ | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. | | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? |
| 2 3 4 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the | 2 3 4 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? |
| 2 3 4 5 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? | 2 3 4 5 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This | 2 3 4 5 6 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? A I'm sorry, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up there? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? I'm sorry, sir. Q Who did that go to? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up there? A Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? A I'm sorry, sir. Q Who did that go to? A I don't know. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up there? A Yes, sir. Q Is there a phone call from Synergy Gym in Baldwin, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? A I'm sorry, sir. Q Who did that go to? A I don't know. Q What was the next phone call on Saturday morning, the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up there? A Yes, sir. Q Is there a phone call from Synergy Gym in Baldwin, New York, to Mel Roth? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? A I'm sorry, sir. Q Who did that go to? A I don't know. Q What was the next phone call on Saturday morning, the 16th, after the one you just told us about? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up there? A Yes, sir. Q Is there a phone call from Synergy Gym in Baldwin, New York, to Mel Roth? I don't want to confuse you. I got you looking |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? A I'm sorry, sir. Q Who did that go to? A I don't know. Q What was the next phone call on Saturday morning, the 16th, after the one you just told us about? A The next phone call takes place at 12:23 a.m. on the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up there? A Yes, sir. Q Is there a phone call from Synergy Gym in Baldwin, New York, to Mel Roth? I don't want to confuse you. I got you looking at Synergy Gym on West 23rd on hold. I'm now asking about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? A I'm sorry, sir. Q Who did that go to? A I don't know. Q What was the next phone call on Saturday morning, the 16th, after the one you just told us about? A The next phone call takes place at 12:23 a.m. on the 16th. It goes to 646, the area code. 602-1391. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up there? A Yes, sir. Q Is there a phone call from Synergy Gym in Baldwin, New York, to Mel Roth? I don't want to confuse you. I got you looking at Synergy Gym in Baldwin, New York, as per your chart. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | the 373-9990, and I believe it is Mr. Soto's number. And the immediate call again at 12:18, which occurred during a 60 second cycle, was to Mr. Tarantino's phone. Q And what is the next call or the fourth call of the evening? A The next call, and it wasn't in the evening. This was in the a.m. Q The a.m., what time? A 12:22 a.m. Q It is pretty dark out, isn't it? It is early in the morning? A I would expect it to be dark during that hour, yes. Q Thank you. What is the fourth phone call? A (917) 743-0766. Q And what time was that call? A Again, that was at 12:22 a.m. Q And who did that go to? A I'm sorry, sir. Q Who did that go to? A I don't know. Q What was the next phone call on Saturday morning, the 16th, after the one you just told us about? A The next phone call takes place at 12:23 a.m. on the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | VZ-3, yes. A All right. Q I want you to go to August 21st, 2003? A The timeframe? Q The first call of the day. A August 21st, 2003? Q Yes. August 21st, 2003. A Just confirm that we are talking about the Synergy Gym number from 43 West 23rd Street, Manhattan? Q Yes, sir. A (212) 647-8484 is the originating number. Q Okay. On your chart, so there is no confusion, on your chart you have 10:25 a.m. on August 21st, and let me put that up. (At this time a document was exhibited on courtroom screen.) Q Do you see August 21st, 2003? Do you see it up there? A Yes, sir. Q Is there a phone call from Synergy Gym in Baldwin, New York, to Mel Roth? I don't want to confuse you. I got you looking at Synergy Gym on West 23rd on hold. I'm now asking about |

Zacarese-Cross/Rosen Filed 05/21/13 Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 12594050 1261 Okay? Page 11? 1 2 A You want me to pull the Baldwin records now? 2 Q Yes. 3 3 Q No. I'm asking you to look at your chart and tell me Just to be safe, the last phone call on page 10, 4 if it is accurate what is said up there. Look at the 4 what is the time of that call from Synergy Gym? 5 chart, your chart. 5 A From Synergy Gym on the morning of the 21st of 6 A I'm looking at this chart which you have up here. 6 August, 2003? 7 (indicating)? 7 Q Yes, sir. 8 Q Yes. 8 A The first phone call that was dialed from that number 9 Α This particular one -- 10:25 a.m.? 9 at the Synergy Gym was dialed, (212) 645-5200, and that 10 Q Yes. 10 was at 8:11 a.m. on the morning of the 21st. The first 11 Is that accurate, your own chart? 11 call of the day. A I don't know. I would have to check the other 12 Q Okay. 12 13 13 records. Let's go to 10:30 on that day. 14 **Q** Who prepared the chart? 14 Α 10:30 on the 21st? 15 A I did. 15 Q Yes. 16 Q So you don't know without checking -- I'll accept 16 Α Still from the 23rd Street gym? that that is your chart and that you did it right. Q Yes. sir. 17 17 A Yes, sir. But you are asking me if it is accurate. 18 A 10:31 is the closest phone call, and it was to 19 And I need to look at the other records. 19 (212) 679-7300. And that call took place at 10:31 the 20 Q All right, go ahead. 20 morning of the 21st. 21 21 (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in Q And what was --22 22 the proceedings.) Α From the Synergy Gym on 21st Street in Manhattan, not A 8/21, 2003 --23 23 Baldwin. 24 Q Correct. 24 **Q** Your chart says 10:25 -- oh, the date. 25 A 8/21/2003, 10:25 in the morning, phone number 25 What was the duration of the phone call? Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter Zacarese-Cross/Rosen Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1260 1262 (516) 683-8400, which is Mel Roth's number, was dialed These records do not show duration. 2 from the Synergy Gym in Baldwin. 2 **Q** And the next call, and that would be -- withdrawn. 3 Q Okay. 3 Do you know the number that was called from the 4 Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street that day, (212) 679-7300? Now, I want you to go back to the Synergy Gym 4 exhibit, which is VZ-3, at West 23rd Street, same time, Can you tell us whose phone number that was? 5 5 6 6 A No, sir, I cannot. same date. 7 A Synergy Gym on West 23rd Street for August 21st, 2003 7 Q Okay. 8 Were you here for Detective Salta's testimony? at 10:25 a.m.? 9 9 Q Correct. Α Yes, sir. 10 Did you hear Detective Salta say that he went to pick 10 A There is no call at 10:25 a.m. up Justin Bressman at 10:30 in the morning on the 21st? 11 **Q** What is the first phone call on the day of 11 August 21st of 2003 from the Synergy Gym on West 23rd? 12 A Yes, sir. 12 13 13 A On the 21st of August, 2003, at 8:11 a.m. (sic). **Q** And the phone call made from the phone at 10:31, did 14 Phone number (212) 645-5200 was dialed. 14 you think that that was an important call for you to 15 determine whose phone number that was and place it on your 15 Q Okay. 16 MR. ROSEN: May I approach, Judge? 16 chart? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 17 18 (Counsel approaches the witness stand.) 18 Q I would like you to take a look at LeShawn Campbell's 19 cell phone -- withdrawn. 19 **Q** Do you have the Synergy Gym records VZ-1? 20 20 A VZ-3 is the Synergy Gym, 43 West 23rd Street in I would like you to take a look at LeShawn 21 Manhattan, New York. 21 Campbell's land line one more time. 22 Q Yes. 22 A I have it. 23 Please go to page 11. 23 Q I'm sorry? The phone call starts on the 24th on page 10. 24 Α I have it. 24 Α **Q** What is the furthest date that you have going back? 25 **Q** Please go to page 11. 25 Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter Official Court Reporter 1290

| (| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 48 of 83 PageID #: Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4051 | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 1265 |
| 1 | A On which | 1 | (Continued on next page.) |
| _ | | | (Continued on next page.) |
| 2 | Q On LeShawn Campbell's? | 2 | |
| 3 | A The earliest or the last day? | 3 | |
| 4 | Q I apologize. The earliest date. | 4 | |
| 5 | A These records start on August 5th of 2003, and they | 5 | |
| 6 | end on August 25th. | 6 | |
| 7 | MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, I would like to be able | 7 | |
| 8 | to supplement the record for that phone line beginning on | 8 | |
| 9 | March the 19th of 2003. | 9 | |
| 10 | THE COURT: Why don't you just come up for a | 10 | |
| 11 | moment and we can discuss it. | 11 | |
| 12 | MR. ROSEN: Okay. | 12 | |
| 13 | (Continued on next page.) | 13 | |
| 14 | (Continued on nox page.) | 14 | |
| 15 | | 15 | |
| _ | | _ | |
| 16 | | 16 | |
| 17 | | 17 | |
| 18 | | 18 | |
| 19 | | 19 | |
| 20 | | 20 | |
| 21 | | 21 | |
| 22 | | 22 | |
| 23 | | 23 | |
| 24 | | 24 | |
| 25 | | 25 | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | | | |
| | 1264 | | 1266 |
| 1 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took | 1 | 1266 (Whereupon, at this time the following takes |
| 1 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took | 1 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes |
| 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) | 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) |
| 2 3 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. | 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no |
| 2 3 4 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by | 2 3 4 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection |
| 2 3 4 5 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. | 2 3 4 5 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting | 2 3 4 5 6 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? MR. ROSEN: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. Taking a look at what is marked in evidence as |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. Taking a look at what is marked in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit E, the land line phone numbers of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it marked as an exhibit, a defense exhibit? We have no objection to it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. Taking a look at what is marked in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit E, the land line phone numbers of LeShawn Campbell, and I bring your attention to April the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. Taking a look at what is marked in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit E, the land line phone numbers of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it marked as an exhibit, a defense exhibit? We have no objection to it. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. Taking a look at what is marked in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit E, the land line phone numbers of LeShawn Campbell, and I bring your attention to April the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it marked as an exhibit, a defense exhibit? We have no objection to it. THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit E. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. Taking a look at what is marked in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit E, the land line phone numbers of LeShawn Campbell, and I bring your attention to April the 3rd sorry, April 22 of 2003. Do you see it, the yellow |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it marked as an exhibit, a defense exhibit? We have no objection to it. THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit E. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit E was received | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. Taking a look at what is marked in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit E, the land line phone numbers of LeShawn Campbell, and I bring your attention to April the 3rd sorry, April 22 of 2003. Do you see it, the yellow line. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: All right. MR. ROSEN: These were records supplied to me by the government. THE COURT: What is the purpose of getting something from March 19th? MR. ROSEN: Well, it starts on March 19th. There are a series of phone calls. I will not go into every one, maybe two or three, where LeShawn Campbell's phone line calls Justin Bressman's cell phone number. THE COURT: Okay, sure. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can I just see that? MR. ROSEN: Yes. THE COURT: Are the tabs MR. ROSEN: Those are mine. THE COURT: My question is: Those are the calls? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Can we have it marked as an exhibit, a defense exhibit? We have no objection to it. THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit E. (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit E was received in evidence.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, the government has no objection THE COURT: Yes, supplementing the record with those numbers. Q Going back THE COURT: And you are marking it as Defendant's Exhibit MR. ROSEN: Exhibit E. THE COURT: Now in evidence. MR. MISKIEWICZ: There is no objection. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSEN: Judge, it would be easier if I stand here and go through the tabs very quickly. THE COURT: Stand there, okay. MR. ROSEN: I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: No problem. Q Do you have your phone list? Yes. Taking a look at what is marked in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit E, the land line phone numbers of LeShawn Campbell, and I bring your attention to April the 3rd sorry, April 22 of 2003. Do you see it, the yellow line. A 4/22 of '03? |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JSDocument 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 49_of 83 PageID #: |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 2acarese-Cross/Rosen 4052 F 2acarese-Cross/Rosen 4052 | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| 1 | Q Yes. | 1 | 1269 called? |
| 2 | That is from LeShawn Campbell's apartment. | 2 | A Justin Bressman's cell phone, (917) 445-2141. |
| 3 | Who did he call, or somebody call, from that | 3 | Q And that was in April of '03? |
| 4 | line as early as April 22 of 2003? | 4 | A According to these records, yes. |
| 5 | A The number recorded here on this call is going out | 5 | Q Somebody obviously knew Justin Bressman's cell phone |
| 6 | from Campbell, and verifying Campbell's number, is | 6 | number in order to call him? |
| 7 | (917) 445-2141. And that number comes back to the | 7 | A I can't speculate on who knew what. |
| 8 | Bressman cell phone. | 8 | Q I didn't ask you that. |
| 9 | Q So somebody as early as April of 2003 called Justin | 9 | Somebody from that number called his phone, his |
| 10 | Bressman's cell phone from the LeShawn Campbell apartment; | 10 | cell phone? |
| 11 | is that correct? | 11 | A That phone number at LeShawn Campbell's apartment |
| 12 | A Yes, sir. | 12 | dialed Justin Bressman's cell phone. |
| 13 | Q And how long was the duration of that phone call? | 13 | Q Yes, sir, okay. |
| 14 | A Not indicated here on the duration. | 14 | Keep going. |
| 15 | Q Thank you. | 15 | A Again on the same date, April 28th of '03, dialed |
| 16 | MR. ROSEN: May I, Judge? | 16 | from LeShawn Campbell's phone number to Justin Bressman's |
| 17 | THE COURT: Yes. | 17 | phone number of (917) 445-2141, at 10:58 p.m., at |
| 18 | MR. ROSEN: Thank you. | 18 | 10:58 p.m. a second time, at 11:42 p.m., and then it |
| 19 | (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in the proceedings.) | 19 20 | continues on to the next day. Q This |
| 20 | Q Taking a look at April 25th of 2003, do you see the | 21 | A That is the 29th. |
| 22 | yellow lines? | 22 | Q Were there any phone calls? |
| 23 | A Yes, sir, I do. | 23 | A Yes, sir, there was. |
| 24 | Q What is the earliest phone call on that date that is | 24 | April 29th of '03, 12:18 p.m., from LeShawn |
| 25 | in yellow, and that refers to the phone number so the | 25 | Campbell's phone line dialed (917) 445-2141 at 12:18 p.m., |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen | | 7 0 10 |
| | | | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen |
| | 1268 | | 1270 |
| 1 | 1268 record is clear | 1 | no duration listed. |
| 1 2 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I | 2 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in |
| 2 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. | 2 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. |
| 2 3 4 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. | 2 3 4 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between |
| 2 3 4 5 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th | 2 3 4 5 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone | 2 3 4 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? |
| 2 3 4 5 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th | 2 3 4 5 6 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell to this number. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No duration shown. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell to this number. A Originating from LeShawn Campbell's phone? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No duration shown. Q Taking a look at the same Exhibit E in evidence, we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell to this number. A Originating from LeShawn Campbell's phone? Q Yes, sir. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No duration shown. Q Taking a look at the same Exhibit E in evidence, we are now on August the 4th of 2003. The highlighted |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell to this number. A Originating from LeShawn Campbell's phone? Q Yes, sir. A On April 28th, at 7:33 p.m., at 8:39 p.m., at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No duration shown. Q Taking a look at the same Exhibit E in evidence, we are now on August the 4th of 2003. The highlighted portions, please. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell to this number. A Originating from LeShawn Campbell's phone? Q Yes, sir. A On April 28th, at 7:33 p.m., at 8:39 p.m., at 8:45 p.m. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No duration shown. Q Taking a look at the same Exhibit E in evidence, we are now on August the 4th of 2003. The highlighted portions, please. A Again, for clarification, the phone number originated |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell to this number. A Originating from LeShawn Campbell's phone? Q Yes, sir. A On April 28th, at 7:33 p.m., at 8:39 p.m., at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No duration shown. Q Taking a look at the same Exhibit E in evidence, we are now on August the 4th of 2003. The highlighted portions, please. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell to this number. A Originating from LeShawn Campbell's phone? Q Yes, sir. A On April 28th, at 7:33 p.m., at 8:39 p.m., at 8:45 p.m. Q Stop right there. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No duration shown. Q Taking a look at the same Exhibit E in evidence, we are now on August the 4th of 2003. The highlighted portions, please. A Again, for clarification, the phone number originated in the call (212) 529-1837, and it takes place on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | record is clear MR. ROSEN: I'm trying to make it as easy as I can. THE COURT: Okay. Q Oh, on April 25th A On April 25th, according to these records, the phone number called again at 3:59 p.m. at 4:00 p.m. and at 4:05 p.m. Q So within a space of three minutes? A Same number, (917) 445-2141. Q And whose number was called? A Again, it is Mr. Bressman's cell phone. Q Moving forward to April 28th, the phone records of LeShawn Campbell I apologize yes, April 28th. A You want me to read these here? Q Yes, please. Just make sure we have the date, the time and the accurate number that was called from LeShawn Campbell to this number. A Originating from LeShawn Campbell's phone? Q Yes, sir. A On April 28th, at 7:33 p.m., at 8:39 p.m., at 8:45 p.m. Q Stop right there. Whose number on those three occasions were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | no duration listed. Q Turning now again to Defendant's Exhibit E in evidence, referring to June 3rd of 2011. Can you tell us if there is a call between LeShawn Campbell's apartment and Justin Bressman's cell phone number? (Defense counsel confer.) MR. ROSEN: Sorry, 2003. A The records you showed me, if it is correct, from the time period of June 11th, 2003, and the highlighted portion of this page shows a phone call made from the Justin Bressman from the LeShawn Campbell land line phone to phone number (917) 445-2141, and it was made at 1:34 a.m. No duration shown. The next item is on July 28th, 7/28 of '03. It originates from (212) 529-1837, which is the LeShawn Campbell land line. And it goes out to (917) 445-2141, which is the Bressman cell phone, at 2:16 p.m. No duration shown. Q Taking a look at the same Exhibit E in evidence, we are now on August the 4th of 2003. The highlighted portions, please. A Again, for clarification, the phone number originated in the call (212) 529-1837, and it takes place on August 4, 2003. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 50 of 83 PageID #: Zacarese-Redirect/Miskiewicz |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Zacarese-Cross/Rosen 4053 | | |
| | 12/1 | _ | MD DOSEN: No malam |
| 1 | The first highlighted call is at 7:28 p.m. The | 1 | MR. ROSEN: No, ma'am. |
| 2 | (917) 445-2141, which is listed as the Bressman cell | 2 | THE COURT: You may step down. |
| 3 | phone. | 3 | (Whereupon, the witness leaves the witness |
| 4 | The next call for that same phone number is at | 4 | stand.) |
| 5 | 7:33 p.m. And both of those calls have no duration. | 5 | THE COURT: Do you wish to approach on your next |
| 6 | The next subsequent phone call to Justin | 6 | witness? |
| 7 | Bressman's cell phone number of (917) 445-2141 takes place | 7 | (Continued on next page.) |
| 8 | on August 4th, 2003, at 7:46 p.m. | 8 | |
| 9 | Q No duration on any of these? | 9 | |
| 10 | A No duration on any of these records. | 10 | |
| 11 | MR. ROSEN: That's it. | 11 | |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. | 12 | |
| 13 | MR. ROSEN: These are other notes, this stuff. | 13 | |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. That is fine. | 14 | |
| 15 | MR. ROSEN: May I have one moment, if your Honor | 15 | |
| 16 | please? | 16 | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sure. | 17 | |
| | | | |
| 18 | MR. ROSEN: Thank you. | 18 | |
| 19 | (Whereupon, at this time there was a pause in | 19 | |
| 20 | the proceedings.) | 20 | |
| 21 | MR. ROSEN: Judge, one more moment, please? | 21 | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sure. | 22 | |
| 23 | (Defense counsel confer with the defendant.) | 23 | |
| 24 | MR. ROSEN: No further questions, your Honor. | 24 | |
| 25 | THE COURT: Anything further? | 25 | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | Zacarese-Redirect/Miskiewicz | | |
| | Zacai ese-Neuli ect/iwiskiewicz | | |
| | 1272 | | 1274 |
| 1 | | 1 | 1274 (Whereupon, at this time the following took |
| 1 2 | 1272 | 1 2 | |
| | 1272 MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box | | (Whereupon, at this time the following took |
| 2 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? | 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) |
| 2 3 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. | 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I |
| 2 3 4 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. | 2 3 4 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not |
| 2 3 4 5 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) | 2 3 4 5 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: | 2 3 4 5 6 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit SI-2 was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something else. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit SI-2 was received in evidence.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something else. MR. ROSEN: I will listen to it again. Let me |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit SI-2 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something else. MR. ROSEN: I will listen to it again. Let me just listen to it again, and I would appreciate |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit SI-2 was received in evidence.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something else. MR. ROSEN: I will listen to it again. Let me |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit SI-2 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something else. MR. ROSEN: I will listen to it again. Let me just listen to it again, and I would appreciate |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit SI-2 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. THE COURT: Anything further? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something else. MR. ROSEN: I will listen to it again. Let me just listen to it again, and I would appreciate THE COURT: Just be here at 9:15 in the morning |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit SI-2 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. THE COURT: Anything further? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No questions. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something else. MR. ROSEN: I will listen to it again. Let me just listen to it again, and I would appreciate THE COURT: Just be here at 9:15 in the morning to start, and with the equipment. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: May I approach the witness box and confer with counsel for a moment? THE COURT: Yes. (Counsel confer.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ: Q Detective Zacarese, showing you what is now marked as Defendant's Exhibit AZ-2. (Handed to the witness.) Q Is that the key of phone records you testified about earlier today and this afternoon? A Yes, this is the key to the phone numbers and that are assigned to each of the individuals contained in this book. MR. MISKIEWICZ: The government moves the admission of SI-2. MR. ROSEN: No objection. THE COURT: In evidence. (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit SI-2 was received in evidence.) MR. MISKIEWICZ: No further questions. THE COURT: Anything further? MR. MISKIEWICZ: No questions. THE COURT: From Mr. Rosen. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following took place at the sidebar.) THE COURT: Does it make any sense to well, I have additional argument to hear from you. And it is not going to be long, Mr. Rosen. MR. ROSEN: No, no. THE COURT: Mrs. Mulligan is going to be sent home? MR. FLYNN: If it is all right with the Court, I guess the government would I guess recommend that she testify first thing in the morning. THE COURT: No problem with that. MR. FLYNN: She has been subpoenaed and she will be here. THE COURT: I very clearly heard what was on the tape. And I also conferred with my law clerk, whose ears is a little bit better because he is substantially younger, and he verified what I thought that was on there. And he disagrees with me when he feels there is something else. MR. ROSEN: I will listen to it again. Let me just listen to it again, and I would appreciate THE COURT: Just be here at 9:15 in the morning to start, and with the equipment. MR. ROSEN: All right. |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 51 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 1275 | nou | |
| 4 | | 4 | 1277 |
| 1 2 | You have to get up earlier. THE COURT: Not the witness. | 1 2 | value of the second call either. And I have no problem fronting that, either. |
| 3 | MR. FLYNN: Ms. Mulligan will be here at 9:15 to | 3 | MR. ROSEN: That is not the way it goes. |
| 4 | start the trial? | 4 | THE COURT: Stop talking to each other. |
| 5 | THE COURT: No. | 5 | I will let the jury go. |
| 6 | We should have her available in case there is | 6 | Uncross your hands, Mr. Rosen. |
| 7 | any clarification that needs to be asked. | 7 | Have a seat and I will let the jury go. |
| 8 | Right now, based on what I heard, and based on | 8 | MR. ROSEN: All right. |
| 9 | the rules of evidence in terms of the authenticity, in | 9 | (Continued on next page.) |
| 10 | terms of the best evidence rule, I'm going to allow her to | 10 | (commune on more page) |
| 11 | testify. | 11 | |
| 12 | In terms of any discrepancies that you have | 12 | |
| 13 | seen, as far as I'm concerned, it goes to the weight and | 13 | |
| 14 | not to her being able to testify. | 14 | |
| 15 | You can cross her on a number of things. If you | 15 | |
| 16 | want to admit the tapes, unless I hear something else | 16 | |
| 17 | well, what is your position on that? | 17 | |
| 18 | MR. FLYNN: There are two tapes. | 18 | |
| 19 | THE COURT: I heard those two phone calls. | 19 | |
| 20 | MR. FLYNN: The government's position based on | 20 | |
| 21 | what we heard is consistent and no impeachment value in | 21 | |
| 22 | that first tape at all. | 22 | |
| 23 | The second tape causes a little bit of a | 23 | |
| 24 | different issue for the government. But it does not | 24 | |
| 25 | relate to the letter. That relates to a second | 25 | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | | |
| | 4000 | | 4000 |
| | 1276 | | 1278 |
| 1 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in | 1 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes |
| 2 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or | 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) |
| 2 3 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that | 2 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about |
| 2 3 4 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was | 2 3 4 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. |
| 2 3 4 5 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott | 2 3 4 5 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. | 2 3 4 5 6 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon there will be no impeachment on that second conversation | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. No social media concerning anything going on in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon there will be no impeachment on that second conversation either, since I will confront what is contained in the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. No social media concerning anything going on in this case. And make sure you don't let people know you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon there will be no impeachment on that second conversation either, since I will confront what is contained in the conversation and she will admit to it. She will admit | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. No social media concerning anything going on in this case. And make sure you don't let people know you are sitting on this case or any other case. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon there will be no impeachment on that second conversation either, since I will confront what is contained in the conversation and she will admit to it. She will admit they | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. No social media concerning anything going on in this case. And make sure you don't let people know you are sitting on this case or any other case. Additionally, you are to keep an open mind, and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon there will be no impeachment on that second conversation either, since I will confront what is contained in the conversation and she will admit to it. She will admit that she spoke to her husband. She will admit they discussed the events, and that it helped her to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. No social media concerning anything going on in this case. And make sure you don't let people know you are sitting on this case or any other case. Additionally, you are to keep an open mind, and not to do any research and investigation concerning the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon there will be no impeachment on that second conversation either, since I will confront what is contained in the conversation and she will admit to it. She will admit that she spoke to her husband. She will admit they discussed the events, and that it helped her to recollect her conversation with her husband helped her | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. No social media concerning anything going on in this case. And make sure you don't let people know you are sitting on this case or any other case. Additionally, you are to keep an open mind, and not to do any research and investigation concerning the facts of the case. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon there will be no impeachment on that second conversation either, since I will confront what is contained in the conversation and she will admit to it. She will admit that she spoke to her husband. She will admit they discussed the events, and that it helped her to recollect her conversation with her husband helped her to recollect what occurred in August of 2003. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. No social media concerning anything going on in this case. And make sure you don't let people know you are sitting on this case or any other case. Additionally, you are to keep an open mind, and not to do any research and investigation concerning the facts of the case. Have a real nice evening. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | face-to-face conversation she had with the defendant in August of 2003, based on what I heard on that tape, or that conversation, which was the second conversation that was played, the one from January 5th, 2012. And there was a more substantial conversation there between Scott Mulligan and Manon Mulligan in that second conversation. The government will front that in her testimony. At no time during that conversation did Scott Mulligan tell her how to testify or what to testify to. In fact, told her that it is your recollection it is your recollection that is what you told it is your recollection, and you need to tell the truth. In fact, Mr. Mulligan even said that on the recording. I intend to front on the direct that she has spoken with Mr. Mulligan on the phone. They have discussed the events. Because he has not told her what to testify, and I expect after my direct testimony with Manon there will be no impeachment on that second conversation either, since I will confront what is contained in the conversation and she will admit to it. She will admit that she spoke to her husband. She will admit they discussed the events, and that it helped her to recollect her conversation with her husband helped her to recollect what occurred in August of 2003. Once I do that, there will be no impeachment | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | (Whereupon, at this time the following takes place in open court.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it is about that time. I will excuse you for the day. Tomorrow we will start at 9:45. Please be here promptly. I believe we will have a number of witnesses, and I will give you a much better idea of the schedule of the trial as it unfolds. Do not talk to anyone about this case. If anyone tries to influence you in any way, report it to me without discussing it with the other jurors. Give Mr. Baran a written note and don't tell the other jurors about it. Don't read, review, listen to anything reported in the media about the case. If you see something, totally disregard it. No social media concerning anything going on in this case. And make sure you don't let people know you are sitting on this case or any other case. Additionally, you are to keep an open mind, and not to do any research and investigation concerning the facts of the case. Have a real nice evening. There is a local bakery here and I heard from |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 F | iled | 05/21/13 Page 53 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 4056 1283 | | 1285 |
| 1 | MR. FLYNN: It may be less than that. And I | 1 | to whether or not as to whether the government can move |
| 2 | suspect given the nature of Ms. Mulligan's testimony, I | 2 | into the prior conviction. And I'm just raising that |
| 3 | doubt if I will be done with Mr. Mulligan before the end | 3 | since I believe your Honor wants to give the jury a sense |
| 4 | of the week before the end of the day. | 4 | of timing, and that is an issue that we are going to have |
| 5 | THE COURT: Which is the end of the week. | 5 | applications about if and when Mr. Pistone is in fact |
| 6 | MR. FLYNN: He is a very important witness and | 6 | called by the defense. |
| 7 | there is a lot of information there. | 7 | MR. ROSEN: The only reason he is being called |
| 8 | MR. ROSEN: What shall I tell the marshal's | 8 | is because Scott Mulligan is going to say that Chris told |
| 9 | service about the prisoner? | 9 | him he killed him, and he did it inside the warehouse, in |
| 10 | THE COURT: The one person you have in custody? | 10 | Craig Miller's warehouse, and that they painted the |
| 11 | MR. ROSEN: No, two. | 11 | floors. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Two? | 12 | THE COURT: Who is the "they"? |
| 13 | Probably bring one over and then we will go from | 13 | MR. ROSEN: Sorry? |
| 14 | there. | 14 | THE COURT: Who is the "they" that painted the |
| 15 | Do you have you can let them know on | 15 | floors? |
| 16 | Thursday; is that correct? The one person you have to let | 16 | MR. ROSEN: Rob Smyth, Chris Tarantino. And I |
| 17 | them know by. So let them know today that one of them you | 17 | don't know if Scott Mulligan engaged in the painting. He |
| 18 | will want on Monday and the second one you can have on | 18 | did engage in the disposal of the body, because we heard |
| 19 | Tuesday. And we can figure out you figure out which | 19 | Craig Miller. |
| 20 21 | one. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, can we have the | 20 21 | But I'm not doing it for those reasons, to underline the attack of the Dorval conviction. I'm doing |
| 22 | list now? | 22 | it because Scott Mulligan is going to say that Chris |
| 23 | MR. ROSEN: I will give it to him. | 23 | confessed to the murder, and that is why they did the |
| 24 | THE COURT: Who are the people you are calling? | 24 | warehouse painting. |
| 25 | MR. ROSEN: Let me just say this: All four | 25 | It is a correct |
| - | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR |
| | Official Court Reporter | | Official Court Reporter |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | 1284 | | 1286 |
| 1 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. | 1 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that |
| 2 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. | 2 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? |
| 2 3 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? | 2 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if |
| 2 3 4 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. | 2 3 4 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and |
| 2 3 4 5 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, | 2 3 4 5 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. | 2 3 4 5 6 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a prior trial. I had his brother, Peter Pistone. And I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about painting the floor and sheetrock? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a prior trial. I had his brother, Peter Pistone. And I made certain rulings as to his prior convictions, yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about painting the floor and sheetrock? MR. ROSEN: No, no. That is Scott Mulligan who |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a prior trial. I had his brother, Peter Pistone. And I made certain rulings as to his prior convictions, yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: All I'm saying is insofar as we | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about painting the floor and sheetrock? MR. ROSEN: No, no. That is Scott Mulligan who is going to do that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a prior trial. I had his brother, Peter Pistone. And I made certain rulings as to his prior convictions, yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: All I'm saying is insofar as we are going to hear Mr. Pistone testify in an effort to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about painting the floor and sheetrock? MR. ROSEN: No, no. That is Scott Mulligan who is going to do that. THE COURT: Right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a prior trial. I had his brother, Peter Pistone. And I made certain rulings as to his prior convictions, yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: All I'm saying is insofar as we are going to hear Mr. Pistone testify in an effort to negate the prior conviction for the Louis Dorval murder, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about painting the floor and sheetrock? MR. ROSEN: No, no. That is Scott Mulligan who is going to do that. THE COURT: Right. MR. ROSEN: And because he is going to claim |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a prior trial. I had his brother, Peter Pistone. And I made certain rulings as to his prior convictions, yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: All I'm saying is insofar as we are going to hear Mr. Pistone testify in an effort to negate the prior conviction for the Louis Dorval murder, we may have an application that goes back to your Honor's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about painting the floor and sheetrock? MR. ROSEN: No, no. That is Scott Mulligan who is going to do that. THE COURT: Right. MR. ROSEN: And because he is going to claim Chris Tarantino, my client, confessed to him for killing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a prior trial. I had his brother, Peter Pistone. And I made certain rulings as to his prior convictions, yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: All I'm saying is insofar as we are going to hear Mr. Pistone testify in an effort to negate the prior conviction for the Louis Dorval murder, we may have an application that goes back to your Honor's order of some months ago about the collateral estoppel as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about painting the floor and sheetrock? MR. ROSEN: No, no. That is Scott Mulligan who is going to do that. THE COURT: Right. MR. ROSEN: And because he is going to claim Chris Tarantino, my client, confessed to him for killing Louis Dorval. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | witnesses are on the government's own witness list. THE COURT: I got it. MR. ROSEN: Do I still have to tell them? THE COURT: Yes, you still have to tell them. MR. ROSEN: LeShawn Campbell, Joseph Pistone, Anthony DeNegro and Richard Tramble. MR. MISKIEWICZ: It is Reonegro. THE COURT: Yes. MR. ROSEN: Every one of the witnesses was put down by the government in the questionnaires. THE COURT: Including Richard Tramble? MR. ROSEN: Yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Your Honor, we may have an application as to this. Again, it has to do with what your Honor ruled several months ago regarding the prior convictions. Obviously Mr. Pistone THE COURT: I didn't have Joseph Pistone in a prior trial. I had his brother, Peter Pistone. And I made certain rulings as to his prior convictions, yes. MR. MISKIEWICZ: All I'm saying is insofar as we are going to hear Mr. Pistone testify in an effort to negate the prior conviction for the Louis Dorval murder, we may have an application that goes back to your Honor's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | THE COURT: He confessed to the murder and that is why they painted the warehouse? MR. ROSEN: Yes, to cover the blood, because if Chris killed him in the house according to Mulligan, and then to clean up the blood they repainted you may have remembered Craig Miller went into that. THE COURT: The sheetrock and all that, yes. MR. ROSEN: Yes. He says I'm impeaching Mulligan. Obviously that is why we are bringing him. THE COURT: Okay, you are impeaching Mulligan. MR. ROSEN: Yes. We are not challenging the underlying conviction. THE COURT: You are going to bring Joseph Pistone in, who is going to come in and testify nothing to do with the fact that he is serving jail time on any charge, any murder or anything else, conspiracy, etcetera. And you are going to have him come in and only talk about painting the floor and sheetrock? MR. ROSEN: No, no. That is Scott Mulligan who is going to do that. THE COURT: Right. MR. ROSEN: And because he is going to claim Chris Tarantino, my client, confessed to him for killing |

| | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS Document 436-2 Fi | lled 05/21/13 Page 54 of 83 Pagel | D #: |
|--|--|--|--|
| | 4057 | W I T N E O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | 1289 |
| 1 | THE COURT: Right. | <u>W-I-T-N-E-S-S-E-S</u> | |
| 2 | MR. ROSEN: Mr. Pistone already confessed to | PABLO AMADOR REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 1081 1081 |
| 3 | this Court that he put a bullet in the back of the head | BY MR. MISKIEWICZ RECROSS-EXAMINATION | 1100 |
| 4 | and he killed Joseph Pistone (sic). And that is why | BY MR. ROSEN FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 1105 |
| 5 | THE COURT: That Joseph Pistone killed Louis | BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1106 |
| 6 | Dorval, obviously you meant to say? | JEFF SALTA, DIRECTEXAMINATION | 1107 |
| 7 | MR. ROSEN: Yes. | BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION | 1113 |
| 8 | MR. MISKIEWICZ: Then it is an impeachment of | BY MR. ROSEN | 1113 |
| 9 | the defendant taking credit for the murder. It is not an | S C O T T F L Y N N | 1118 |
| 10 | impeachment of the witness. | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1118 |
| 11 | I think there is a 403 argument here. This goes | CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN | 1122 |
| 12 | beyond confusion. | REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1125 |
| 13 | Frankly, I don't see I don't see why they | MELVYN ROTH | 1125 |
| 14 | want to put it in. | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1126 |
| 15 | I also am familiar enough with Mr. Pistone, who | CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN | 1127 |
| 16 | will say in the rest of the 3500 material he was | ANTHONY ZACARESE | 1134 |
| 17 | disappointed that Mr. Tarantino took credit for the | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1134 |
| 18 19 | murder. | _ · | |
| 20 | So I'm just saying I haven't been privy to what their thinking is. I think there is going to be an | | |
| 21 | application prior to him testifying. It might cause a | | |
| 22 | delay. | | |
| 23 | I'm just raising it with your Honor. | | |
| 24 | THE COURT: As far as you know, Mr. Joseph | | |
| 25 | Pistone is not raising the Fifth Amendment privileges or | | |
| | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR | | |
| | Official Court Reporter | Stephanie Picozzi, OCR, RPR Official Court Reporter | |
| | I I | | |
| | | | 1290 |
| | 1288 | | 1290 |
| 1 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a | | |
| 2 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. | M A N O N M U L L I G A N (jury absent) | 1290 1161 1161 |
| 2 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. | | 1161 |
| 2 3 4 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 |
| 2 3 4 5 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 1161 |
| 2 3 4 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 1161 1176 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN ANTHONY ZACARESE DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN ANTHONY ZACARESE DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN AN THONY ZACARESE DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN ANTHONY ZACARESE DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 1219 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN ANTHONY ZACARESE DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ F.X-H-I-B-I-T-S Defendant's Exhibit E was received in evidence | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 1219 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ | 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 1219 1272 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ WITH A CONTROL OF THE CON | 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 1219 1272 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEW ICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEW ICZ WITH CONTROL OF THE CONTROL ON THE CONTROL OF THE CON | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 1219 1272 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ WITH A CONTROL OF THE CON | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 1219 1272 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEW ICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEW ICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEW ICZ WITH A WARREN OF THE WARREN OF THE WITH A WARREN OF THE | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 1219 1272 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | anything of that sort? And he is currently serving a sentence for the murder of Louis Dorval. MR. MISKIEWICZ: Conspiracy. THE COURT: Conspiracy to murder. I understand the prior rulings on it. MR. ROSEN: As a predicate act for RICO. THE COURT: All right. MR. MISKIEWICZ: And he named Mr. Tarantino. THE COURT: As a person who was MR. MISKIEWICZ: Well, I don't want to give away my cross-examination. But it is going to be an interesting it will be interesting. I can't wait to see Joe again. THE COURT: Then see him you shall, Mr. Miskiewicz. Have a nice evening. (Case on trial adjourned until 9:15 o'clock a.m., Thursday, May 3, 2012.) | (jury absent) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FLYNN RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN A N T H O N Y Z A C A R E S E DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd) BY MR. MISKIEWICZ CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSEN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MISKIEWICZ WITH A CONTROL OF THE CON | 1161 1161 1161 1176 1188 1193 1209 1209 1219 1272 |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 5 | 5 of 83 PageID #: |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| \$ | 1261:18; 1262:13 4058 | 1248:3, 8-10, 23; | 20 [5] - 1118:23; |
| \$35,000 [1] - 1102:21 | 10:39 [1] - 1215:16 | 1249:1, 8, 10-13; | 1128:8; 1205:4; 1 |
| \$500,000 [2] - 1171:2; | 10:58 [2] - 1269:17 | 1251:3 | 1210:17; 1244:23 |
| 1201:1 | 11 [3] - 1260:23, 25; | 15 [9] - 1153:17; | 2000 [4] - 1135:6; |
| 1201.1 | 1261:1 | 1157:13, 17, 20; | 1136:5; 1140:20; |
| • | 1100 [1] - 1289:4 | 1160:14; 1210:17; | 1141:17 |
| '02 [2] - 1179:18; | 1105 [1] - 1289:5 | 1239:4; 1257:5 | 2002 [5] - 1135:3; |
| 1180:21 | 1106 [1] - 1289:6 | 1500 [1] - 1156:2 | 1164:23; 1165:3; |
| '03 [18] - 1099:3; | 1107 [1] - 1289:7 | 15th [6] - 1091:7; | 1180:12 |
| 1152:16; 1155:21; | | 1157:22; 1248:17, 24; | 2003 [100] - 1082:13; |
| 1160:14, 16; 1210:4; | 1113 [1] - 1289:8 | 1249:6; 1251:4 | 1085:10; 1089:18, 23; |
| 1214:14; 1225:24; | 1118 [2] - 1289:10 | 16 [6] - 1099:16; | 1090:6; 1097:7; 1099:8, |
| 1247:9; 1248:15; | 1122 [1] - 1289:11 | 1157:7; 1158:1; | 14, 16; 1107:11; |
| 1249:10, 24; 1266:25; | 1125 [2] - 1289:12, 14 | 1159:24; 1160:16 | 1108:5; 1111:12; |
| 1269:3, 15, 24; 1270:15 | 1126 [1] - 1289:14 | 1648 [1] - 1184:18 | 1119:1; 1122:25; |
| | 1127 [1] - 1289:15 | 16th [23] - 1089:16; | 1123:1; 1126:19; |
| 0 | 1134 [2] - 1289:17 | 1091:8; 1099:3, 8, 20; | 1152:25; 1153:11; |
| 0 [4] - 1155:25; | 11530 [1] - 1078:19 | 1158:19; 1211:14; | 1154:19; 1157:13; |
| 1156:1; 1157:24; 1158:9 | 1161 [3] - 1290:3 | 1218:6; 1248:15; | 1158:1; 1162:20, 23; 1163:3, 9-10, 21; |
| 08 [1] - 1078:3 | 1165 [1] - 1290:20 | 1249:12, 24; 1250:15, | 1165:4, 6, 18-19; |
| 0:18 [1] - 1160:16 | 11722 [2] - 1078:13, 22 | 19, 24-25; 1251:4, 25; | 1166:20, 25; 1167:5, 9, |
| | 1176 [1] - 1290:5 | 1252:2; 1255:6; | 20-21; 1168:9; 1171:9; |
| 1 | 1188 [1] - 1290:6 | 1256:23, 25 | 1174:10, 17, 19, 22; |
| 1 [23] - 1143:2; | 1193 [1] - 1290:7 | 17 [2] - 1210:15; | 1175:12; 1176:2; |
| 1144:10; 1145:8, 10, | 11:42 [1] - 1269:18 | 1228:2 | 1177:5; 1189:7, 10; |
| 21; 1148:5; 1152:13, | 11:48 [1] - 1157:6 | 17th [4] - 1089:16; | 1190:8, 15; 1191:2; |
| 16; 1154:17; 1155:10; | 11:59 [1] - 1153:1 | 1218:6 | 1202:5; 1204:1; |
| 1156:23; 1158:5, 24; | | 18 [3] - 1094:17; | 1205:10; 1208:3; |
| 1159:15; 1164:9; | 11th [1] - 1270:10 | 1159:24; 1160:17 | 1212:18, 23; 1215:12; |
| 1165:23; 1166:9; | 12 [2] - 1211:15; | 18th [9] - 1085:10, 19; | 1219:16; 1222:5, 7, 9; |
| 1212:11; 1290:20 | 1218:12 | 1102:16; 1104:24; | 1225:16; 1227:5, 17; |
| 1-A [1] - 1084:1 | 1209 [2] - 1290:8 | 1210:3, 10; 1212:18; 1213:25; 1228:5 | 1228:24; 1229:17; |
| 1.06 [1] - 1154:6 | 1219 [1] - 1290:10 | 19 [2] - 1168:14; | 1247:11, 25; 1250:15; |
| 10 [8] - 1128:7; | 1264 [1] - 1290:17 | 1217:21 | 1254:4, 7; 1255:6; |
| 1157:10; 1166:1, 10, | 1272 [2] - 1290:11, 20 | 1983 [1] - 1202:2 | 1257:16; 1258:3, 6-7, 18; 1259:23; 1260:7, |
| 15; 1260:24; 1261:3 | 12:17 [14] - 1100:7, | 1994 [2] - 1140:24; | 12-13; 1261:6; 1263:5, |
| 100 [3] - 1078:13, 16, | 12; 1251:7, 25; 1252:2, | 1180:20 | 9; 1266:23; 1267:4, 9, |
| 22 | 10; 1253:13-15; | | 21; 1270:8, 10, 21, 25; |
| 1010 [1] - 1096:21 | 1255:22, 24; 1257:5, 7, | 1997 [1] - 1164:19 | 1271:8; 1276:2, 24; |
| 1011 [1] - 1096:18 | 10 | 1998 [3] - 1122:4, 7; | 1281:13 |
| 103 [2] - 1211:23; | 12:18 [15] - 1099:6, | 1135:1 | 2004 [4] - 1090:8; |
| 1218:17 | 16; 1100:7; 1101:17, | 1999 [2] - 1107:10; | 1162:21, 23; 1163:3 |
| 1081 [2] - 1289:3 | 21; 1158:15, 19; | 1135:6 | 2011 [2] - 1176:14; |
| 1082 [1] - 1290:19 | 1255:6, 13, 22, 25; 1256:2; 1269:24 | 19th [4] - 1215:5; | 1270:3 |
| 1099 [9] - 1121:23; | | 1263:9; 1264:7 | 2012 [3] - 1078:7; |
| 1122:10, 13; 1124:12, | 12:22 [4] - 1100:8; 1101:23; 1256:9, 17 | 1:00 [1] - 1185:17 | 1276:4; 1288:18 |
| 15, 19; 1125:10 | | 1:34 [1] - 1270:14 | 205 [1] - 1078:16 |
| 1099s [2] - 1121:22; | 12:23 [2] - 1100:9; | 1:37 [1] - 1153:19 | 21 [2] - 1108:5; |
| 1124:18 | 1256:24 12:30 [2] - 1100:9; | 1:50 [1] - 1215:5 | 1126:19 |
| 10:25 [6] - 1258:14; | 1257:7 | 1st [16] - 1227:9, 13, | 212 [11] - 1156:12, 14; |
| 1259:9, 24-25; 1260:8, | 1257:7 13 [3] - 1211:25; | 17; 1228:1, 8, 14, 24; | 1223:18; 1251:19; |
| 10 | 1218:19; 1257:15 | 1229:16; 1230:12; | 1258:11; 1260:14; |
| 10:27 [1] - 1236:13 | | 1231:17; 1234:6; | 1261:9, 19; 1262:4; |
| 10:30 [5] - 1113:14; | 14 [2] - 1166:20, 25 | 1236:3, 8 | 1270:16, 24 |
| 1114:21; 1261:13; | 14th [22] - 1211:24; | 2 | 21st [18] - 1111:10; |
| 1262:11 | 1212:23; 1213:3, 7; | | 1215:12, 16; 1257:16; |
| 10:31 [4] - 1215:16; | 1216:18; 1218:17; 1247:9, 11, 17, 25; | 2 [4] - 1078:7; 1144:10 | 1258:3, 6-7, 14, 18; |
| | 1271.0, 11, 11, 20, | | |
| | | | <u> </u> |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 5 (| 6 of 83 PageID #: |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1260:7, 12-13; 1261:5, | 31 [1] - 1152:16 4059 | 1229:15; 1270:21; | 1144:11; 1207:25 |
| 10, 14, 20, 22; 1262:11 | 33 [1] - 1134:22 | 1271:8 | 7/25/03 [1] - 1148:15 2 |
| 22 [14] - 1087:24; | 33134 [1] - 1078:17 | | 7/28 [1] - 1270:15 |
| 1088:20; 1165:18; | | 5 | 706 [1] - 1202:1 |
| 1167:20; 1168:9; | 3500 [2] - 1110:13; | 5 [2] - 1144:11; | |
| 1171:9; 1174:10; | 1287:16 | 1247:20 | 712-6105 [1] - 1078:23 |
| 1175:12; 1178:6; | 37 [2] - 1141:11; | 5-by-7 [1] - 1170:10 | 733 [2] - 1227:14 |
| 1179:14; 1219:16; | 1153:19 | 500,000 [2] - 1170:25; | 743-0766 [2] - 1227:6; |
| 1266:23; 1267:4 | 373 [1] - 1232:8 | 1181:18 | 1256:15 |
| 2200 [1] - 1184:4 | 373-9990 [6] - | | 7:05 [1] - 1210:16 |
| 22nd [4] - 1123:14; | 1230:14; 1232:13; | 509-3375 [1] - 1239:25 | 7:06 [5] - 1157:16; |
| 1191:2; 1219:24 | 1233:4; 1248:11; | 509-9375 [13] - | 1211:6; 1217:12, 18 |
| 23rd [38] - 1093:19; | 1255:7; 1256:1 | 1221:22; 1222:8, 14, | 7:07 [3] - 1233:22; |
| 1094:25; 1108:10; | 39 [1] - 1132:3 | 24; 1223:4; 1227:18; | 1236:3, 8 |
| 1113:12; 1115:14; | 3:00 [3] - 1156:1; | 1230:1, 13; 1236:22; | 7:15 [2] - 1211:11; |
| 1116:9, 15; 1123:3, 8, | 1257:11 | 1241:6; 1245:9; | 1218:9 |
| 12, 15; 1124:1, 16, 22; | 3:13 [2] - 1153:21 | 1246:17; 1253:1 | 7:16 [2] - 1157:17, 22 |
| 1210:23; 1211:3, 6; | 3:40 [2] - 1222:10; | 516 [1] - 1260:1 | 7:18 [1] - 1154:13 |
| 1215:2, 9; 1216:14; | 1223:3 | 519-9375 [1] - 1252:17 | 7:28 [1] - 1271:1 |
| 1217:7, 22-24; 1246:22; | 3:41 [1] - 1223:17 | 525 [1] - 1092:23 | 7:33 [2] - 1268:22; |
| 1247:10; 1248:18, 24; | 3:54 [5] - 1225:16, 20, | 529-1837 [3] - | 1271:5 |
| 1257:21; 1258:9, 24; | 23; 1226:5, 10 | 1251:19; 1270:16, 24 | |
| 1260:5, 7, 12, 20; | 3:55 [4] - 1225:22; | 535-8082 [1] - 1223:18 | 7:46 [1] - 1271:8 |
| 1261:16; 1262:4 | 1226:3, 9, 11 | 5:00 [5] - 1153:25; | 7:55 [1] - 1211:21 |
| 2400 [1] - 1155:25 | 3:58 [1] - 1226:23 | 1154:1, 3-4; 1228:15 | 0 |
| 24th [1] - 1260:24 | 3:59 [1] - 1268:7 | 5:06 [2] - 1154:7 | 8 |
| 25 [2] - 1152:15; | | 5:15 [3] - 1229:19; | 8 [1] - 1153:11 |
| 1208:12 | 3rd [5] - 1222:4, 7, 9; | 1230:12; 1232:4 | 8/14/03 [1] - 1213:11 |
| 25th [6] - 1091:14, 18; | 1266:23; 1270:3 | 5:18 [1] - 1231:19 | 8/15 [1] - 1213:13 |
| 1263:6; 1267:21; 1268:5 | 4 | 5:27 [6] - 1231:12, | 8/16 [1] - 1248:15 |
| 27th [9] - 1225:16, | | 22-23; 1232:11, 13, 19 | 8/19 [1] - 1214:14 |
| 21-22, 24-25; 1226:1, | 4 [7] - 1140:17; | 5:39 [1] - 1233:2 | 8/19/03 [1] - 1216:22 |
| 21; 1227:5 | 1143:2; 1144:10; | 5th [4] - 1184:16; | 8/21 [1] - 1259:23 |
| 28th [5] - 1268:13, 22; | 1152:25; 1169:11; | 1263:5; 1276:4 | 8/21/2003 [1] - |
| 1269:15; 1270:15 | 1247:19; 1270:25 | 1203.5, 1270.4 | 1259:25 |
| 29th [2] - 1269:21, 24 | 4-by-6 [1] - 1169:5 | 6 | 8/3 [2] - 1223:3, 16 |
| | 4.11 [1] - 1153:3 | | 806-2441 [1] - 1226:13 |
| 2:00 [3] - 1160:22; | 4/22 [1] - 1266:25 | 6 [4] - 1144:11; | |
| 1185:18; 1197:10 | 403 [1] - 1287:11 | 1247:20, 24; 1248:5 | 860 [1] - 1238:13 |
| 2:16 [1] - 1270:18 | 404(b [1] - 1080:7 | 60 [1] - 1256:3 | 860-6210 [3] - |
| 2:18:10 [2] - 1153:12, | 42 [1] - 1202:2 | 602-1391 [1] - 1256:25 | 1239:23; 1245:6; 1246:7 |
| 14 | 43 [3] - 1108:10; | 631 [1] - 1078:23 | 8:11 [2] - 1260:13; |
| 2:18:31 [1] - 1153:15 | 1258:9; 1260:20 | 645-5200 [2] - | 1261:10 |
| 2:58 [1] - 1257:10 | 44 [1] - 1138:9 | 1260:14; 1261:9 | 8:27 [2] - 1213:3, 8 |
| 2d [1] - 1202:1 | 445-2141 [11] - | 646 [1] - 1256:25 | 8:38 [3] - 1248:16; |
| 2nd [2] - 1190:15; | 1232:20; 1236:7; | 646-739-3560 [4] - | 1249:10, 14 |
| 1236:11 | 1267:7; 1268:10; | 1099:13; 1154:18; | 8:39 [1] - 1268:22 |
| | 1269:2, 17, 25; | 1155:1; 1160:10 | 8:45 [1] - 1268:23 |
| 3 | 1270:13, 17; 1271:2, 7 | 647-8484 [1] - 1258:11 | 8:52 [2] - 1212:1, 5 |
| 3 [11] - 1144:10; | 47 [2] - 1154:15; | 655 [1] - 1078:3 | · |
| 1156:1; 1159:4; | 1213:8 | 666 [1] - 1078:18 | 9 |
| 1163:10; 1180:7; | 4:00 [1] - 1268:7 | 67 [1] - 1202:2 | 9 [2] - 1105:9; 1157:4 |
| 1190:7; 1247:4; | 4:02 [1] - 1227:5 | 679-7300 [2] - | 901 [1] - 1208:20 |
| 1257:24; 1288:18 | | 1261:19; 1262:4 | 90s [1] - 1090:10 |
| 3.05 [2] - 1159:4, 9 | 4:05 [1] - 1268:8 | | |
| 3.08 [2] - 1160:4 | 4:06 [1] - 1248:10 | 683-8400 [1] - 1260:1 | 917 [39] - 1221:22; |
| 3/8 [1] - 1248:15 | 4:38 [3] - 1227:9, 13, | 7 | 1222:8, 14, 24; 1223:4; |
| 30 [1] - 1152:16 | 17 | 7 | 1226:13; 1227:6, 18; |
| [1] - 1132.10 | 4th [4] - 1184:4; | 7 [3] - 1141:11; | 1230:1, 13-14; 1232:8, |
| | | | |
| | • | • | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 5 | 7 of 83 PageID #: |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| 13, 20; 1233:4; 1236:7, | Accountant [1] - 4060 | aforementioned [1] - | 1157:18 |
| 22; 1238:12; 1239:23, | 1118:24 | 1082:24 | Andrew [3] - 1154:21; 3 |
| 25; 1241:6; 1245:6, 9; | accountant [2] - | afraid [2] - 1114:3 | 1155:4; 1159:10 |
| 1246:7, 17; 1248:11; | 1119:25; 1122:22 | afternoon [7] - | angle [1] - 1087:12 |
| 1252:17; 1253:1; | accounting [5] - | 1156:2; 1161:18; | anonymous [2] - |
| 1255:7; 1256:15; | 1119:2, 6, 10; 1120:15, | 1228:15; 1239:4; | 1170:17; 1227:2 |
| 1267:7; 1268:10; | 20 | 1272:12; 1282:16 | Answer [5] - 1096:24; |
| 1269:2, 17, 25; | accumulated [1] - | agency [1] - 1136:11 | 1097:3, 8, 12, 17 |
| 1270:13, 17; 1271:2, 7 | 1168:22 | Agent [3] - 1157:14; | answer [7] - 1103:21; |
| 934 [1] - 1106:24 | accuracy [1] - 1151:25 | 1188:12; 1239:22 | 1109:8; 1149:3, 7-8; |
| 9375 [1] - 1245:13 | accurate [5] - | agent [10] - 1130:16; | 1182:9; 1196:11 |
| 9990 [1] - 1232:8 | 1082:11; 1259:4, 11, | 1131:14; 1135:23; | answered [6] - |
| 9:15 [4] - 1274:23; | 18; 1268:18 | 1136:2, 10; 1140:6, 20; | 1097:18; 1101:8; |
| 1275:3; 1282:2; 1288:17 | accurately [1] - | 1142:25; 1152:19 | 1139:5; 1141:19; |
| 9:30 [1] - 1078:7 | 1151:16 | agents [1] - 1249:17 | 1194:3; 1195:18 |
| 9:45 [2] - 1079:4; | acquisition [1] - | ago [4] - 1145:15; | answering [2] - |
| 1278:6 | l | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 1173:13, 15 |
| | 1143:14 | 1182:23; 1284:15, 25 | Anthony [4] - 1113:19; |
| A | act [1] - 1288:6 | agree [3] - 1133:6; | 1115:16; 1134:6; 1284:6 |
| a.m [33] - 1078:7; | activity [1] - 1216:19 | 1203:13; 1241:23 | |
| 1099:6, 16; 1113:14; | actual [10] - 1131:13; | agreed [2] - 1109:11; | anticipation [1] - |
| 1158:15, 19; 1211:6; | 1144:2; 1145:4; | 1234:9 | 1144:22 |
| 1212:1; 1213:3; | 1149:14; 1150:23; | agreement [1] - 1238:4 | anxiety [1] - 1171:25 |
| 1215:16; 1217:12; | 1153:5; 1155:11; | ahead [2] - 1135:11; | anyway [1] - 1133:6 |
| 1236:13; 1248:16; | 1210:18 | 1259:20 | apartment [30] - |
| 1249:14; 1251:7, 25; | add [3] - 1115:7; | aid [7] - 1146:1, 7, | 1081:24; 1082:8, 12; |
| 1252:2, 10; 1253:15; | 1214:16; 1220:3 | 21-22; 1147:1, 14 | 1084:1; 1091:6; 1092:6; |
| 1256:7-9, 17, 24; | added [1] - 1216:4 | airplane [1] - 1168:5 | 1093:25; 1094:6, 11; |
| 1257:10; 1258:14; | addition [1] - 1215:5 | airport [2] - 1168:8, | 1095:15; 1097:2, 10; |
| 1259:9; 1260:8, 10, 13; | additional [7] - | 16 | 1098:1, 12; 1099:17; |
| 1261:10; 1270:14; | 1132:11; 1151:22; | allotment [1] - 1152:1 | 1100:12; 1101:11; |
| 1288:18 | 1186:1; 1197:8; 1202:7; | allow [6] - 1117:21; | 1158:3, 17; 1159:20; |
| a.m.'s [1] - 1257:8 | 1274:4 | 1132:14; 1146:12; | 1215:8; 1252:9; 1255:5; |
| abbreviated [1] - | additionally [1] - | 1165:21; 1241:16; | 1257:4; 1267:2, 10; 1269:11; 1270:5 |
| 1155:20 | 1278:21 | 1275:10 | |
| able [6] - 1130:22; | address [13] - 1092:20; | allowed [1] - 1280:22 | apologize [6] - |
| 1149:14; 1182:23; | 1108:12; 1125:12; | allowing [2] - | 1095:5; 1129:1; 1225:18; 1233:21; |
| 1184:21; 1263:7; | 1169:1, 3, 8, 15; | 1147:20; 1149:2 | 1263:4; 1268:14 |
| 1275:14 | 1212:21; 1218:15; | Almeria [1] - 1078:16 | |
| absence [1] - 1198:4 | 1220:25; 1221:7 | almost [1] - 1092:4 | appeal [1] - 1165:13 |
| absent [1] - 1290:3 | addressed [2] - | alone [3] - 1172:24; | APPEARANCES [1] - |
| absolutely [4] - | 1169:9; 1208:5 | 1196:15; 1281:2 | 1078:11 |
| 1141:18, 21; 1142:17; | addresses [1] - 1093:6 | aloud [1] - 1144:24 | appearing [3] - |
| 1188:18 | adjourned [1] - | | 1118:16; 1161:22; |
| abuse [2] - 1091:19 | 1288:17 | Amador [14] - 1079:5, | 1166:13 |
| accept [1] - 1259:16 | admission [5] - | 9, 23; 1081:2, 12-13, 21-22; 1083:2; 1094:9; | application [4] - |
| | 1082:16; 1145:21; | | 1240:24; 1284:14, 24; |
| access [2] - 1254:19, | 1164:25; 1208:20; | 1098:25; 1100:6; 1105:25; 1106:10 | 1287:21 |
| | 1272:17 | Amendment [1] - | applications [1] - |
| accidentally [1] - | admit [4] - 1275:16; | 1287:25 | 1285:5 |
| 1085:6 | 1276:20 | | apply [1] - 1241:12 |
| according [11] - | admitted [5] - 1092:9; | AMERICA [1] - 1078:3 | appreciate [2] - |
| 1153:17; 1189:23; | 1126:9; 1147:11; | amount [4] - 1095:13, | 1204:11; 1274:22 |
| 1217:2; 1227:1; | 1166:9; 1281:16 | 22; 1185:11; 1234:3 | approach [12] - |
| 1228:11; 1251:12, 25; | adopt [1] - 1147:1 | analysis [6] - | 1093:2; 1096:13; |
| 1255:13; 1268:6; 1269:4; 1286:4 | advantage [1] - 1196:9 | 1143:14, 18; 1144:2; | 1106:1; 1119:14; |
| account [4] - 1155:5; | advise [1] - 1146:9 | 1155:7; 1158:15; 1216:7 | 1164:4; 1212:7; 1221:4; |
| 1212:22, 24; 1221:8 | advised [1] - 1127:9 | analyzed [3] - | 1247:21; 1260:16; |
| 1212.22, 24, 1221.0 | | 1152:17; 1155:12; | 1272:1; 1273:5 |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 5 | 8 of 83 PageID #: |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| approaches [3] - | AT&T [4] - 1143:22,4061 | 1236:3, 8, 11; 1247:9, | based [17] - 1120:4; |
| 1212:9; 1247:23; | 1212:24; 1219:7 | 11, 17, 25; 1248:15, | 1129:11; 1131:24; 4 |
| 1260:18 | AT-1 [2] - 1219:13 | 17; 1249:6, 8, 10-11, | 1145:5; 1146:24; |
| appropriate [3] - | Atlantic [1] - 1143:22 | 24; 1250:14, 19, 25; | 1147:12, 18; 1149:13; |
| 1120:7; 1147:6; 1208:20 | attached [2] - | 1251:25; 1252:2; | 1153:5; 1165:8; 1171:8; |
| April [15] - 1219:16, | 1144:19; 1154:22 | 1254:4; 1255:6; | 1211:2; 1243:2; 1275:8, |
| 24; 1266:22; 1267:4, 9, | attachment [1] - | 1257:16; 1258:3, 6-7, | 20; 1276:2 |
| 21; 1268:5, 13-14, 22; | 1121:2 | 14, 18; 1260:7, 12-13; | basis [2] - 1165:22; |
| 1269:3, 15, 24 | attack [2] - 1094:1; | 1261:6; 1263:5; | 1208:20 |
| area [10] - 1084:5; | 1285:21 | 1270:21, 25; 1271:8; | batch [1] - 1220:2 |
| 1095:8; 1121:13; | attempt [5] - 1108:22; | 1276:2, 24; 1279:12; | Baumgardt [3] - |
| 1135:16; 1136:25; | 1213:15; 1226:16; | 1281:13 | 1135:12; 1139:16; |
| 1141:12; 1160:19; | 1253:20, 24 | authenticate [2] - | 1140:23 |
| 1186:13; 1216:8; | attempted [3] - | 1199:10; 1202:11 | Bazylewicz [2] - |
| 1256:25 | 1107:25; 1144:6; | authenticated [4] - | 1136:15; 1140:7 |
| argument [3] - 1197:8; | 1213:22 | 1198:23; 1200:16, 20; | bear [1] - 1141:7 |
| 1274:4; 1287:11 | attempting [3] - | 1201:6 | became [1] - 1174:11 |
| arm [7] - 1102:25; | 1083:18; 1098:9; | authentication [3] - | become [2] - 1125:7; |
| 1103:2; 1104:9, 11; | 1139:21 | 1165:11; 1200:14; | 1146:23 |
| 1143:24 | attention [7] - | 1202:7 | bedroom [2] - 1083:6 |
| <pre>armored [3] - 1132:9;</pre> | 1126:19; 1136:5; | authenticity [2] - | BEFORE [1] - 1078:8 |
| 1138:20; 1139:4 | 1157:25; 1166:10; | 1130:25; 1275:9 | beg [1] - 1219:12 |
| arrangements [2] - | 1209:20; 1215:11; | author [3] - 1171:13, | |
| 1079:15; 1106:2 | 1266:22 | 19; 1201:20 | began [1] - 1088:9 |
| arrest [1] - 1088:10 | Attorney [2] - | authority [1] - | begin [3] - 1090:4; |
| arrested [2] - | 1078:12, 15 | 1088:10 | 1109:23; 1110:1 |
| 1088:21; 1089:17 | attorney [14] - | available [2] - | beginning [5] - |
| arrive [3] - 1108:14, | 1110:17; 1111:22; | 1253:25; 1275:6 | 1090:5; 1135:6; |
| 16 | 1112:1; 1126:9; | Avenue [3] - 1078:16; | 1141:11; 1235:7; 1263:8 begins [2] - 1096:20 |
| arrived [4] - 1108:15; | 1175:20, 23; | 1211:14; 1218:5 | |
| 1115:14; 1175:14; | 1194:16-18, 20; 1195:5, | aware [7] - 1080:4; | behest [1] - 1089:7 |
| 1179:13 | 7; 1196:20 | 1120:23; 1121:4, 6, 8; | behind [1] - 1083:2 |
| arrows [2] - 1148:22; | Attorney's [8] - | 1125:7; 1146:4 | Bell [1] - 1143:22 |
| 1149:10 | 1118:17; 1143:17; | AZ [6] - 1145:8, 10, | Bellmore [8] - |
| aside [3] - 1132:19; | 1161:24; 1249:19; | 21; 1148:5; 1152:13 | 1168:10; 1169:11; |
| 1136:25; 1212:20 | 1254:3, 6, 10; 1280:20 | AZ-1 [6] - 1209:22, 25; | 1189:16, 18; 1190:21, |
| assess [1] - 1243:1 | audio [3] - 1184:13; | 1214:9; 1216:2, 7; | 23; 1191:1 |
| assigned [5] - | 1186:24; 1280:6 | 1250:8 | belonged [1] - 1253:10 |
| 1106:24; 1107:11, 15; | audiotape [1] - | AZ-2 [1] - 1272:9 | belonging [1] - |
| 1135:7; 1272:14 | 1131:24 | P. | 1253:16 |
| assignments [1] - | August [99] - 1082:13; | B | belongings [1] - |
| 1143:16 | 1085:10, 19; 1089:23; | baby [1] - 1195:5 | 1083:10 |
| assist [3] - 1146:25; | 1091:2; 1094:17; | background [1] - | belongs [1] - 1232:15 |
| 1147:14, 23 | 1097:4, 7; 1099:3, 8, | 1230:19 | below [1] - 1248:13 |
| assistance [1] - | 14, 16, 20; 1102:16; | badge [1] - 1114:1 | Beretta [1] - 1105:9 |
| 1279:25 | 1104:24; 1107:11; | bag [4] - 1086:5, 18, | best [6] - 1171:17; |
| Assistants [1] - | 1108:5; 1111:10; | 20; 1087:1 | 1181:12; 1185:24; |
| 1078:15 | 1126:19; 1152:16, 25; 1153:11; 1157:4, 10-11, | Bagaric [1] - 1202:1 | 1202:9, 13; 1275:10 |
| assisted [1] - 1078:25 | 13, 17, 20; 1158:1; | bakery [1] - 1278:25 | better [3] - 1152:4; |
| assume [3] - 1118:6; | 1159:24; 1160:14-16; | baking [1] - 1279:3 | 1274:17; 1278:8 |
| 1128:18; 1146:6 | 1189:7; 1210:4, 10; | Baldwin [5] - 1258:21, | between [20] - 1103:5; |
| assumes [2] - 1119:13, | 1212:18, 23; 1213:3, | 25; 1259:2; 1260:2; | 1123:14; 1154:1, 11; |
| 22 | 25; 1215:12; 1222:4, 7, | 1261:23 | 1157:4, 9; 1180:8; |
| assuming [1] - 1099:3 | 9; 1227:9, 13, 17; | bar [1] - 1126:9 | 1185:18; 1214:10, 16; |
| assure [1] - 1138:22 | 1228:1, 5, 8, 14, 24; | Baran [1] - 1278:13 | 1218:6; 1220:20; 1224:23; 1228:10; |
| AT [4] - 1144:10; | 1229:17; 1230:12; | base [3] - 1145:3; | 1224:23; 1228:10; |
| 1212:11 | 1231:17; 1234:6; | 1146:10 | 1270:4; 1276:5; 1280:19 |
| | | | , .2.0.0, .200110 |
| L | l | l . | L |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 5 9 |) of 83 PageID #: |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| beyond [3] - 1185:15; | 1083:15, 17, 23; 4062 | buccal [1] - 1131:20 | 1092:6; 1093:25; |
| 1208:12; 1287:12 | 1084:7, 11, 13; | bullet [1] - 1287:3 | 1095:2; 1097:2, 10, 16; 5 |
| bigger [1] - 1085:24 | 1085:20; 1090:9; | bullets [1] - 1105:19 | 1098:12; 1099:16; |
| biggest [1] - 1085:22 | 1093:24; 1094:10; | bunch [1] - 1090:25 | 1100:12; 1160:7; |
| bill [6] - 1151:7, 24; | 1095:2; 1097:1, 6, 15, | burden [5] - 1131:9; | 1251:10, 13, 17; |
| 1152:4, 8, 11 | 20; 1098:11; 1100:18, | 1198:19; 1199:7, 22; | 1252:9; 1254:18; |
| billing [4] - 1150:21; | 22; 1101:2; 1102:6, 15, | 1200:5 | 1255:4; 1257:4; |
| 1151:11; 1155:5 | 19; 1108:1, 4, 14, 19, | business [6] - 1091:4; | 1262:18, 21; 1263:2; |
| Billy [1] - 1192:17 | 23; 1109:24; 1110:1, | 1094:13; 1108:11; | 1264:11; 1267:2, 6; |
| bit [4] - 1080:2; | 24; 1111:2, 7, 22; | 1128:1; 1150:20; | 1268:20; 1269:11, 16, |
| 1167:11; 1274:17; | 1112:15, 20, 24; | 1174:24 | 25; 1270:5 |
| 1275:23 | 1113:15, 24; 1114:2, | button [2] - 1138:5 | Campbell/Amador [2] - |
| black [9] - 1086:25; | 13; 1115:21, 25; | <u> </u> | 1252:10; 1255:14 |
| 1 | 1116:3, 9, 15; 1117:11; | buy [1] - 1105:13 | Canada [21] - 1162:2; |
| 1103:11; 1104:23; | 1124:13; 1125:11; | BY [64] - 1081:20; | 1163:20; 1164:16; |
| 1108:18; 1166:20, 22; | 1127:3, 8, 15; 1129:6; 1153:2, 8, 16, 24; | 1083:1; 1086:11; | 1165:6; 1166:21, 23; |
| 1167:16; 1169:6 | 1154:2, 5, 8, 11, 14; | 1087:9; 1092:16; | 1167:3, 23; 1168:17; |
| blackout [3] - | 1157:5, 7, 23; 1212:5, | 1098:24; 1100:5; | 1172:9, 18; 1176:21; |
| 1248:23; 1249:1; 1251:3 | 17; 1213:4, 7, 10, 16, | 1101:9; 1102:10; | 1177:3; 1179:14; |
| blackouts [1] - | 19, 23; 1215:20; | 1103:16, 22; 1104:22; 1105:4; 1107:3; | 1189:20; 1190:10; |
| 1248:25 | 1219:7; 1223:1; | 11105:4; 1107:3; | 1203:15; 1204:1 |
| blade [1] - 1103:5 | 1225:18, 20; 1227:10; | 1114:8, 12; 1115:13; | Canadian [1] - 1162:6 |
| blazer [1] - 1139:19 | 1228:1, 3, 16; 1232:22, | 1116:22; 1117:1, 4, 16, | cannot [2] - 1199:6; |
| block [2] - 1113:1; | 24; 1233:24; 1236:5, | 19; 1118:13; 1120:19; | 1262:6 |
| 1114:14 | 10; 1262:11; 1267:8; | 1122:21; 1123:25; | car [7] - 1132:9; |
| blood [2] - 1286:3, 5 | 1270:12, 18; 1271:2 | 1125:5; 1126:6; | 1138:20; 1139:4, 14; |
| blue [3] - 1103:11; | Bressman's [17] - | 1127:13; 1128:13, 19; | 1140:3; 1168:3; 1193:18 |
| 1104:19; 1137:9 | 1096:22; 1153:14; | 1129:10; 1134:13; | care [6] - 1111:16; |
| Bob [3] - 1201:7, 9 | 1225:25; 1226:2, 19; | 1148:3; 1161:17; | 1154:22; 1155:5; |
| body [1] - 1285:18 | 1227:16; 1229:6, 11; | 1165:25; 1176:11; | 1192:9; 1193:8 |
| book [6] - 1086:5, 18, | 1264:11; 1267:10; | 1187:4; 1188:3; | carrier [1] - 1151:18 |
| 20; 1087:1; 1105:21; | 1268:12; 1269:2, 5, 12, | 1193:15; 1209:19; | carry [1] - 1085:7 |
| 1272:15 | 16; 1270:5; 1271:7 | 1219:5; 1244:20; | carrying [1] - 1088:21 |
| booking [2] - 1088:11; | Brett [4] - 1123:4, 7, | 1272:7; 1289:4-6, 8-9, | case [37] - 1080:16; |
| 1089:17 | 11, 17 | 11-13, 15-16, 18; | 1094:13; 1107:16; |
| bookkeeping [3] - | Brett's [1] - 1123:24 | 1290:4-7, 9 | 1119:7; 1125:10; |
| 1120:21; 1149:24 | brief [1] - 1079:13 | С | 1126:16; 1129:6, 22; |
| booklet [1] - 1167:13 | briefly [3] - 1125:1; | | 1137:16; 1143:10; |
| books [2] - 1120:23; | 1130:15; 1155:15 | cab [1] - 1091:14 | 1144:6, 19, 21; |
| 1124:9 | bring [11] - 1079:7, | calculations [1] - | 1147:15, 22; 1152:18, |
| bore [1] - 1215:24 | 18; 1090:9; 1195:2, 7; | 1225:6 | 21; 1154:19; 1156:1, 5; 1157:11; 1159:6; |
| born [1] - 1162:1 | 1198:15; 1204:24; | calendar [3] - 1157:2; | 1160:21; 1185:17; |
| boss [2] - 1089:7 | 1241:17; 1266:22; | 1251:2 | 1189:6; 1224:22; |
| botched [2] - 1138:20; | 1283:13; 1286:14 | caliber [2] - 1087:24; | 1239:5; 1254:4; 1275:6; |
| 1139:3 | bringing [3] - | 1088:20 | 1278:10, 16, 19-20, 23; |
| bottom [2] - 1212:22; | 1090:14; 1195:5; | caller [2] - 1148:19; | 1279:1; 1288:17 |
| 1214:18 | 1286:10 | 1222:15 | cases [4] - 1127:22; |
| bought [2] - 1100:19; | Brookville [2] - | Campbell [20] - | 1152:2; 1279:1 |
| 1101:2 | 1135:17 | 1081:25; 1094:6; | cast [1] - 1131:11 |
| box [5] - 1086:25; | Brostech [1] - 1131:19 | 1099:22; 1158:3, 18, | caused [4] - 1168:23; |
| 1087:1; 1272:1 | brother [1] - 1284:19 | 24; 1159:20; 1160:9; | 1171:24; 1173:7 |
| break [8] - 1129:22; | brought [4] - 1088:11; | 1215:8; 1251:8; 1253:14; 1255:23; | causes [1] - 1275:23 |
| 1160:20; 1183:2; | 1205:19; 1206:16; | 1266:22; 1267:6, 10; | cautionary [1] - |
| 1208:15; 1209:21; | 1207:5 | 1268:14, 18; 1270:12, | 1146:24 |
| 1239:4; 1240:21 | Brzostek [6] - | 17; 1284:5 | CD [1] - 1183:10 |
| breaks [1] - 1156:5 | 1088:14; 1107:21; | Campbell's [30] - | CDs [1] - 1183:9 |
| Bressman [94] - | 1108:8; 1109:21; | 1082:12; 1091:6; | cease [1] - 1127:7 |
| | 1114:19; 1116:2 | , | |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iiled 05/21/13 - Page 6 (| of 83 PageID #: |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| cell [122] - 1093:16, | chart [32] - 1101:14063 | cleaning [1] - 1085:20 | 1135:12 |
| 24; 1095:1, 14; | 1147:4; 1148:6, 12; | clear [11] - 1133:1; | communicate [1] - 6 |
| 1096:18, 23-24; 1097:1, | 1155:2, 7, 12; 1157:18, | 1178:21; 1187:10; | 1097:9 |
| 6; 1139:1; 1150:2; | 21; 1215:7; 1216:21; | 1200:21; 1202:22; | communications [1] - |
| 1151:18, 20, 24; | 1249:23, 25; 1250:3, | 1203:4, 20; 1204:5; | 1224:23 |
| 1152:18; 1153:2, 8, | 14; 1252:1, 5; 1255:13; | 1205:5; 1268:1; 1281:17 | compact [1] - 1182:22 |
| 14-16, 23-24; 1154:2, | 1257:16; 1258:13, 25; | cleared [3] - 1098:5; | companies [10] - |
| 5, 8-9, 14; 1155:2; | 1259:3, 5-6, 11, 14, | 1238:19, 21 | 1119:11; 1120:16; |
| 1156:14, 19; 1157:5-7, | 17, 24; 1262:16 | clearing [1] - 1280:15 | 1124:20; 1125:7; |
| 23-24; 1158:10, 20; | charts [2] - 1146:12, | clearly [3] - 1123:10; | 1149:19, 21, 23; |
| 1159:7; 1160:4; | 16 | 1203:12; 1274:15 | 1150:15, 25 |
| 1210:15; 1211:10, 12, | chase [1] - 1244:5 | clerk [1] - 1274:16 | company [24] - 1096:23; |
| 23; 1212:4, 17; 1213:4, | check [2] - 1109:11; | CLERK [5] - 1081:5; | 1119:10; 1120:16; |
| 6-7, 10, 16, 19, 23; | 1259:12 | 1125:22; 1134:4; | 1124:22; 1150:1, 3, 18, |
| 1214:11, 16-17, 20; | checked [1] - 1173:12 | 1161:11; 1209:5 | 21; 1151:8, 16, 18-19; |
| 1215:17; 1217:20; | checking [1] - 1259:16 | client [1] - 1286:24 | 1152:9; 1153:6; |
| 1218:3, 12, 18; 1219:8; | Chevy [1] - 1139:19 | clients [2] - 1127:21, | 1155:11; 1156:5; |
| 1221:20; 1227:24; 1229:6, 8, 11, 22; | Chris [9] - 1171:11; | 25 | 1158:25; 1248:21; |
| 1230:8, 12; 1231:3, 7, | 1187:6; 1190:24; | | 1249:17, 20; 1253:21, |
| 13, 15; 1232:22; | 1206:22; 1285:8, 16, | clip [2] - 1087:1; | 24 |
| 1233:24; 1234:8; | 22; 1286:4, 24 | 1105:18 | company's [1] - 1159:2 |
| 1236:4, 6, 10; 1238:4; | Christian [9] - | close [1] - 1246:16 | comparing [1] - |
| 1245:11; 1246:2, 4, 12, | 1099:13, 15, 23; | closest [1] - 1261:18 | 1229:11 |
| 14, 17, 19; 1252:14, | 1119:7, 23; 1126:16; | closet [3] - 1086:7, | comparison [2] - |
| 23, 25; 1253:3, 5, 16; | 1136:18; 1137:11; | 13, 17 | 1148:11; 1150:10 |
| 1255:8; 1262:19; | 1180:4 | clothing [1] - 1137:6 | compensation [1] - |
| 1264:11; 1267:8, 10; | CHRISTIAN [1] - 1078:5 | club [1] - 1246:22 | 1121:25 |
| 1268:12; 1269:2, 5, 10, | Christmas [1] - | Clubs [1] - 1121:11 | compilation [2] - |
| 12; 1270:5, 18; 1271:2, | 1281:19 | clue [1] - 1180:15 | 1145:11; 1146:5 |
| 7 | Circuit [2] - 1200:15; | coached [1] - 1203:17 | compile [1] - 1148:12 |
| cells [1] - 1153:9 | 1202:2 | coaxing [1] - 1205:8 | compiled [1] - 1145:13 |
| cellular [1] - 1149:22 | circumstances [4] - | code [1] - 1256:25 | complete [3] - 1149:3, |
| center [1] - 1136:7 | 1200:18; 1201:25; | coded [1] - 1250:3 | 7; 1214:18 |
| Central [3] - 1078:5, | 1202:3, 6 | collateral [1] - | completed [1] - |
| 13, 22 | cite [1] - 1202:1 | 1284:25 | 1213:10 |
| central [2] - 1088:11; | citizen [1] - 1162:7 | colleague [1] - 1129:1 | completely [3] - |
| 1089:17 | city [5] - 1102:5; | collection [2] - | 1188:19; 1204:14; |
| certain [8] - 1131:14; | 1162:4; 1163:20, 23; | 1104:16; 1132:13 | 1205:12 |
| 1144:19, 23; 1148:11; | 1166:21 | colloquy [1] - 1097:19 | completes [1] - |
| 1174:25; 1181:18; | City [10] - 1078:19; | color [5] - 1103:10, | 1186:25 |
| 1185:15; 1284:20 | 1113:25; 1114:5; | 18; 1104:17; 1170:11; | comport [2] - 1167:2, |
| certainly [3] - | 1121:14; 1167:9; | 1250:3 | 21 |
| 1100:2; 1149:6; 1166:7 | 1210:13, 17; 1211:14, | color-coded [1] - | computer [5] - |
| Certified [1] - | 24 | 1250:3 | 1078:25; 1188:7; |
| 1118:24 | claim [1] - 1286:23 | colorful [2] - | 1206:1, 3, 19 |
| challenging [1] - | claimed [2] - 1110:17; | 1103:17, 23 | computer-assisted [1] |
| 1286:12 | 1208:2 | column [5] - 1099:1; | - 1078:25 |
| changed [1] - 1279:20 | clarification [5] - | 1148:13; 1155:15; | conceded [2] - |
| characteristics [1] - | 1123:20; 1131:16; | 1156:3; 1213:14 | 1131:20; 1132:7 |
| 1149:23 | 1132:18; 1270:23; | combined [1] - 1137:1 | concept [1] - 1094:23 |
| characters [1] - | 1275:7 | comfort [1] - 1193:1 | concern [3] - 1080:8; |
| 1131:11 | clarify [5] - 1084:8; | coming [7] - 1109:13; | 1168:23; 1171:25 |
| charge [4] - 1080:1, 4; | 1205:15; 1242:20; | 1130:2; 1140:19; | concerned [10] - |
| 1089:20; 1286:17 | 1244:24; 1245:14 | 1146:1, 7, 20; 1199:23 | 1090:3; 1112:18; |
| charges [2] - 1080:14, | clarity [1] - 1153:7 | comments [2] - | 1128:24; 1129:2, 6, 13; |
| 18 | clean [3] - 1238:15; | 1199:25; 1204:11 | 1172:16; 1192:3; |
| Charlie [1] - 1136:14 | 1241:1; 1286:5 | commission [1] - | 1235:5; 1275:13 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 6 | 1 of 83 PageID #: |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| concerning [7] - | consistently [1] -4064 | 5-6, 8, 18, 20, 23; | 1270:9; 1283:16; |
| 1187:6; 1189:14; | 1203:24 | 1279:10; 1280:19 | 1285:25 |
| 1225:4; 1278:18, 22; | conspiracy [5] - | conversations [4] - | corrected [1] - 1129:1 |
| 1281:12 | 1080:19; 1163:1; | 1083:14; 1094:3; | correctly [3] - |
| concessions [1] - | 1286:17; 1288:3 | 1182:18; 1281:11 | 1172:11, 15; 1200:13 |
| 1132:22 | cont'd [2] - 1209:18; | conversed [1] - | correlate [1] - |
| conclude [1] - 1149:8 | 1290:9 | 1176:12 | 1216:21 |
| concluded [2] - | contact [2] - 1111:22; | conviction [4] - | corresponding [1] - |
| 1133:13; 1147:8 | 1157:9 | 1284:23; 1285:2, 21; | 1167:7 |
| conclusion [2] - | contacted [3] - | 1286:13 | corroborate [1] - |
| 1189:24; 1204:8 | 1112:17; 1201:3; | convictions [2] - | 1204:15 |
| confer [7] - 1184:6; | 1215:24 | 1284:16, 20 | corroborated [1] - |
| 1187:25; 1218:23; | contain [2] - 1171:1; | cookies [1] - 1279:3 | 1201:5 |
| 1270:7; 1271:23; | 1182:22 | cooperating [3] - | corroborates [2] - |
| 1272:2, 4 | contained [7] - | 1088:9; 1090:8; 1114:17 | 1201:10; 1204:13 |
| conference [5] - | 1144:18, 24; 1145:5; | cooperation [2] - | Costa [1] - 1163:15 |
| 1096:6; 1098:23; | 1150:6; 1200:8; | 1090:3, 5 | Costello [2] - |
| 1106:15; 1115:11; | 1272:14; 1276:19 | cop [1] - 1102:5 | 1136:14; 1140:7 |
| 1136:24 | content [5] - 1192:3; | copies [1] - 1182:18 | Counsel [6] - 1096:11; |
| conferred [1] - | 1200:22; 1201:19; | copped [1] - 1090:6 | 1184:6; 1212:9; |
| 1274:16 | 1202:18; 1207:15 | copy [8] - 1096:4; | 1247:23; 1260:18; |
| confessed [4] - | contents [6] - | 1171:20; 1181:20; | 1272:4 |
| 1285:23; 1286:1, 24; | 1098:14; 1189:14; | 1217:15; 1220:8; | counsel [14] - 1106:1; |
| 1287:2 | 1200:17; 1202:24; | 1250:1, 18 | 1137:8; 1166:6; |
| confirm [1] - 1258:8 | 1203:1, 19 | Coral [1] - 1078:17 | 1187:25; 1196:5; |
| confront [2] - | contest [1] - 1133:3 | corporation [3] - | 1218:23; 1224:7; |
| 1276:19; 1281:4 | contesting [1] - | 1121:9; 1124:17 | 1237:2; 1239:24; |
| confuse [3] - 1240:3, | 1133:5 | corporations [2] - | 1242:18; 1270:7; 1271:23; 1272:2; |
| 7; 1258:23 | continue [7] - 1157:2; | 1121:1 | 1271.23, 1272.2, |
| confused [8] - 1238:8; | 1183:4; 1208:14; | correct [99] - 1084:25; | count [1] - 1214:19 |
| 1240:14; 1242:5, 13; | 1209:15; 1235:6; 1247:20 | 1085:13, 15; 1088:8; | counter [1] - 1214.19 |
| 1245:17, 19; 1252:20 | Continued [10] - | 1089:25; 1091:18; | |
| confusing [3] - | 1133:14; 1183:17; | 1092:22, 24; 1102:16, | counting [1] - 1105:19 |
| 1115:6; 1131:7; 1241:1 | 1234:13; 1235:10; | 22; 1103:3, 17; | country [7] - 1162:1; |
| confusion [5] - | 1237:8; 1238:23; | 1113:15, 20; 1114:14, | 1163:11; 1164:15; |
| 1242:22; 1243:6; 1258:13; 1280:15; | 1263:13; 1265:1; | 17-18, 23; 1116:1, 3-5; | 1165:16, 18; 1168:4, 23 |
| 1287:12 | 1273:7; 1277:9 | 1118:18, 24; 1119:5; 1120:3; 1122:23; | Country [1] - 1078:18 |
| conjunction [3] - | continues [2] - | 1123:15; 1124:2; | County [4] - 1134:18, |
| 1200:17; 1201:24; | 1157:1; 1269:19 | 1126:10, 13-14, 17-18; | 20; 1136:12; 1143:13 |
| 1200:17, 1201:24, | continuing [2] - | 1128:2, 22; 1157:10; | couple [3] - 1080:2; |
| connect [1] - 1136:2 | 1081:11; 1098:4 | 1159:1; 1166:25; | 1138:10; 1279:24 |
| connection [5] - | continuous [1] - | 1167:17; 1178:2, 10-11; | course [2] - 1093:14; |
| 1130:21; 1137:23; | 1132:1 | 1179:1, 3; 1181:6; | 1139:15 |
| 1213:20, 23; 1231:4 | continuously [1] - | 1186:2; 1188:17, 20, | COURT [332] - 1078:1; |
| connections [1] - | 1131:25 | 23; 1189:2, 7, 10; | 1079:3, 8, 11, 17, 21, |
| 1153:10 | contract [1] - 1085:8 | 1190:8, 12, 15, 18; | 24; 1080:15, 18, 23, 25; 1081:2, 9; 1082:17, |
| consider [1] - 1080:5 | contractors [1] - | 1194:21; 1202:19; | 19, 23; 1086:10; |
| considerable [1] - | 1124:23 | 1218:7; 1221:10, 24; | 1087:5, 8; 1092:13, 15; |
| 1232:1 | contrary [1] - 1238:16 | 1222:15, 18; 1224:20; | 1093:2, 5, 9, 12, 17, |
| considered [1] - | control [2] - 1185:16; | 1228:3, 7; 1229:13; | 21; 1094:7, 20, 23; |
| 1122:1 | 1199:20 | 1230:1, 5; 1231:9, 16; | 1095:6, 10, 13, 19, 22; |
| considering [1] - | conversation [22] - | 1232:14; 1233:13; 1234:2; 1236:16, 22-23; | 1096:2, 7, 10, 13; |
| 1151:17 | 1102:19; 1112:20; | 1241:6, 9; 1246:6; | 1097:22; 1098:18, 22; |
| consistent [3] - | 1177:7; 1187:5; | 1248:18; 1249:2, 17; | 1102:8; 1103:15, 20; |
| 1145:12; 1207:20; | 1190:25; 1191:10, 13, | 1251:12; 1253:8, 13; | 1104:21; 1105:2, 23, |
| 1275:21 | 22; 1192:1, 12; | 1255:18; 1260:9; | 25; 1106:5, 8, 11, 13, |
| | 1205:12; 1276:1, 3, | 1261:24; 1267:11; | 16; 1110:11; 1113:9; |
| | | | |

| С | ase 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 6: | 2 of 83 PageID #: |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 1114:11, 25; 1115:2, 5, | 1271:12, 14, 17, 224065 | 1289:8, 11, 15; 1290:5, | 1225:19; 1226:6; |
| | 10, 12; 1116:18, 20; | 25; 1272:3, 19, 23, 25; | 10 | 1228:1, 22-23; 1229:15; 8 |
| | 1117:3, 14, 21, 24; | 1273:2, 5; 1274:3, 7, | cross [20] - 1081:22; | 1232:23; 1247:25; |
| | 1118:1, 3, 5; 1119:14, | 12, 15, 23; 1275:2, 5, | | 1259:24; 1260:6; |
| | 18, 25; 1120:6, 11, 14; | 19; 1277:4; 1278:3; | 1083:9; 1084:14; | 1262:25; 1263:4; |
| | | | 1086:5, 8; 1087:4; | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | 1123:21; 1125:3, 16, | 1279:8, 23; 1280:7, 11; | 1093:22; 1094:22; | 1267:24; 1268:17; |
| | 18, 21; 1127:11; | 1281:2, 7, 9; 1282:1, | 1095:14, 23; 1113:9; | 1269:15 |
| | 1128:12, 16; 1129:9, | 5, 12, 14, 23; 1283:5, | 1149:4; 1200:22; | dated [1] - 1166:25 |
| | 15, 17, 19, 21; | 10, 12, 24; 1284:2, 4, | 1207:5; 1219:1; | dates [2] - 1145:17; |
| | 1130:12; 1131:2, 4, 7; | 8, 11, 18; 1285:12, 14; | 1275:15; 1282:9, 19, | 1152:20 |
| | 1132:1, 4, 11; 1133:9, | 1286:1, 7, 11, 14, 22; | 24; 1288:11 | daughter [2] - |
| | 11; 1137:12; 1138:4; | 1287:1, 5, 24; 1288:4, | cross-examination | 1087:13, 18 |
| | 1139:6, 8; 1140:12; | 7, 9, 14 | [13] - 1081:22; 1083:9; | · · |
| | 1141:20; 1145:23, 25; | Court [17] - 1078:20; | 1084:14; 1086:5; | daughter's [4] - |
| | 1146:4, 9, 23; 1147:10; | 1080:4, 13; 1098:8; | | 1087:17; 1091:9, 13; |
| | 1149:2, 6; 1160:20, 24; | 1146:18; 1147:2; | 1087:4; 1093:22; | 1099:21 |
| | 1161:4, 15; 1162:25; | · · | 1095:14, 23; 1113:9; | David [3] - 1154:21; |
| | 1164:5; 1165:2, 5, 10, | 1199:1, 8; 1207:25; | 1200:22; 1207:5; | 1155:4; 1159:10 |
| | | 1241:7, 10; 1242:17; | 1219:1; 1288:11 | days [10] - 1085:11; |
| | 19, 21; 1166:6; 1176:9; | 1243:3; 1249:24; | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 1102:22; 1168:14, 17, |
| | 1177:2, 4, 6; 1182:7, | 1274:9; 1287:3 | [10] - 1113:10; | 22; 1182:23; 1210:1; |
| | 9, 12, 17; 1183:1, 13, | court [11] - 1097:23; | 1122:20; 1127:12; | 1212:25; 1228:2; |
| | 16; 1184:2, 9, 11, 14, | 1134:1; 1147:9; | 1176:10; 1219:4; | 1281:19 |
| | 17, 22, 25; 1185:6, 9; | 1169:11; 1184:20, 22; | 1289:8, 11, 15; 1290:5, | |
| | 1186:1, 5, 11, 13, 16, | 1205:14; 1236:2; | 10 | deadline [8] - |
| | 20, 23, 25; 1187:2, 15, | 1239:2; 1266:2; 1278:2 | cross-examine [1] - | 1171:16; 1172:1, 12; |
| | 19, 21, 24; 1189:24; | Courthouse [1] - | 1149:4 | 1174:12; 1192:4, 21; |
| | 1190:2; 1191:19; | 1078:4 | | 1201:1 |
| | 1194:1, 4; 1195:12, 16, | courtroom [13] - | cumulative [7] - | deal [2] - 1131:17; |
| | 18, 22; 1196:3, 8, 23; | | 1130:6, 9; 1131:6, 22; | 1208:15 |
| | 1197:2, 5; 1198:5, 10, | 1081:8; 1129:24; | 1132:8; 1133:8; 1139:7 | dealers [1] - 1102:4 |
| | 15, 21; 1199:15; | 1131:1; 1137:4; | current [1] - 1164:20 | dealing [6] - 1100:22; |
| | 1200:2; 1202:13, 18, | 1166:14; 1167:12; | cursed [1] - 1105:13 | 1165:8, 10, 12; |
| | 21; 1203:11, 23; | 1209:7, 24; 1217:17; | cus [1] - 1229:12 | 1247:10; 1250:22 |
| | 1204:7, 15, 19, 25; | 1239:9; 1244:15; | custodian [3] - | · |
| | 1205:13, 16, 20, 23; | 1258:17; 1279:7 | 1239:20; 1241:17 | deals [1] - 1199:12 |
| | 1206:2; 1207:14, 23; | cover [2] - 1104:14; | | dealt [1] - 1165:13 |
| | 1208:6, 10, 23; 1209:1, | 1286:3 | custody [4] - 1106:8; | death [3] - 1109:4; |
| | 4, 8, 15; 1210:21; | CPA [4] - 1118:21, 24; | 1199:19; 1229:13; | 1135:11; 1139:16 |
| | 1211:1; 1212:8; | 1119:1 | 1283:10 | December [4] - |
| | | CR [1] - 1078:3 | customer [1] - 1155:15 | 1176:13; 1179:18; |
| | 1214:25; 1215:4; | | customs [1] - 1166:23 | 1180:12, 21 |
| | 1218:22, 25; 1219:13; | crack [2] - 1102:15, 21 | cut [1] - 1244:5 | decide [3] - 1150:19; |
| | 1220:5, 9; 1221:5; | Craig [4] - 1132:10; | CW [2] - 1143:2 | |
| | 1224:3, 11, 16; 1225:2, | 1285:10, 19; 1286:6 | | 1199:1; 1242:21 |
| | 14; 1226:11; 1228:19; | crazy [1] - 1192:7 | cycle [1] - 1256:3 | decimal [1] - 1156:7 |
| | 1229:2, 7, 10; 1230:2, | create [1] - 1124:12 | | defendant [40] - |
| | 6, 24; 1231:11, 23; | credibility [2] - | D | 1094:6, 16; 1099:13; |
| | 1232:2; 1233:14; | 1280:23; 1281:7 | dark [2] - 1256:10, 12 | 1119:7; 1120:1; |
| | 1234:10; 1235:3; | | date [65] - 1108:3; | 1126:16; 1130:21; |
| | 1237:6; 1238:3, 11, 18, | credit [2] - 1287:9, 17 | 1148:14; 1153:11; | 1131:21; 1132:21; |
| | 22; 1239:3, 12, 14, 17; | crime [4] - 1139:24; | 1154:8; 1155:18; | 1136:18, 21; 1137:3, 8, |
| | 1240:2, 17; 1241:3, 18, | 1163:2; 1181:17 | 1157:2; 1167:13, 19, | 11; 1138:12; 1139:22; |
| | 22; 1242:5, 7, 10, 12, | crimes [3] - 1171:6, 9; | 21; 1172:3, 7-8, 16; | 1140:4, 8; 1141:2, 5; |
| | 21; 1244:1, 8, 13, 16; | 1182:3 | 1177:24; 1178:1, 3-5, | 1142:14; 1154:19; |
| | 1245:22; 1246:13; | criminal [4] - | 7; 1179:15, 17; 1181:5, | 1189:1; 1191:17; |
| | 1247:22; 1250:11; | 1127:24; 1171:6; | 18; 1184:3; 1190:3; | 1192:12, 18, 20, 25; |
| | 1254:9, 13; 1260:17; | 1181:17; 1185:17 | 1192:4; 1203:9, 21-23; | 1193:9; 1201:14; |
| | 1263:10; 1264:3, 6, 13, | critical [1] - 1240:19 | 1210:3; 1212:19; | 1205:10; 1206:14, 22; |
| | 16, 18, 23; 1266:5, 8, | CROSS [10] - 1113:10; | | 1207:20; 1208:7; |
| | 11, 13, 16, 18; | | 1213:7; 1214:14; | 1209:2; 1271:23; |
| | 1267:17; 1268:4; | 1122:20; 1127:12; | 1215:13-15, 18-20; | 1276:1; 1287:9 |
| | , | 1176:10; 1219:4; | 1216:19; 1219:16; | .2.0.1, .207.3 |
| | | | | |
| - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 6 | 6 of 83 PageID #: |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Defendant [2] - | 1116:2; 1131:19; 4066 | 1209:18; 1289:7, 10, | DISTRICT [2] - 1078:1 |
| 1078:6, 16 | 1242:1; 1262:8, 10; | 14, 17; 1290:4, 9 | divides [1] - 1156:6 9 |
| Defendant's [7] - | 1272:8 | direct [12] - 1093:7; | DNA [14] - 1130:21; |
| 1264:23; 1266:9, 21; | detective [21] - | 1094:22; 1126:19; | 1131:13, 17; 1132:13; |
| 1270:2; 1272:9; 1290:17 | 1106:23; 1107:9; | 1136:5; 1200:21; | 1136:2, 16-17, 19; |
| defendant's [8] - | 1109:8; 1130:22; | 1209:20; 1276:14, 17; | 1137:3, 14; 1139:22; |
| 1131:10; 1155:8; | 1132:25; 1134:2, 15, | 1281:10; 1282:8, 11, 25 | 1142:10, 15, 20 |
| 1156:19; 1157:5; | 18, 25; 1136:14; | directed [2] - | document [14] - |
| 1159:13; 1160:10; | 1137:13; 1138:8; | 1166:10; 1208:9 | 1121:24; 1124:7; |
| 1174:22 | 1140:7, 15; 1142:8; | directing [1] - | 1164:13; 1166:5; |
| defense [7] - 1132:20; | 1143:7; 1148:4; | 1215:11 | 1199:1, 10, 13, 19; |
| 1137:8; 1204:12; | 1214:24; 1244:21 | direction [3] - | 1200:16, 18-19; |
| 1264:22; 1270:7; | detectives [8] - | 1149:12; 1157:12; | 1209:23; 1217:16; |
| 1271:23; 1285:6 | 1107:17; 1113:22; | 1196:9 | 1258:16 |
| defined [1] - 1152:3 | 1127:1; 1130:2; 1131:15; 1135:11; | directly [1] - 1143:24 | document(s [1] - |
| degree [1] - 1151:25 | 1136:10 | dirty [2] - 1086:7, 18 | 1082:24 |
| Del [2] - 1113:19; | Detectives [1] - | disagrees [1] - | documents [3] - |
| 1115:16 | 1088:14 | 1274:19 | 1145:6; 1146:24; |
| delay [1] - 1287:22 | determination [3] - | disappear [1] - | 1147:18 |
| deliver [2] - 1194:24; | 1146:10; 1197:7; 1243:5 | 1181:20 | Doddato [1] - 1279:25 |
| 1196:14 | determine [7] - | disappointed [1] - | DODDATO [5] - 1078:18; |
| demand [5] - 1171:2; | 1130:24; 1149:14; | 1287:17 | 1184:4; 1198:8, 12; |
| 1178:2, 4, 7; 1181:5 | 1187:13; 1199:9; | disclose [1] - 1279:9 | 1280:9 |
| DeNegro [1] - 1284:6 | 1220:19; 1223:24; | disclosure [1] - | done [15] - 1140:9; |
| deny [1] - 1089:3 | 1262:15 | 1192:21 | 1144:2; 1145:1; |
| Department [8] - | determined [1] - | disconnect [1] - | 1155:24; 1171:7; |
| 1114:1, 6; 1134:19, 21; | 1178:17 | 1212:25 | 1181:17; 1183:3; |
| 1136:12; 1143:13, 17; | Devans [3] - 1190:7; | discrepancies [2] - | 1185:12; 1196:21, 24; 1197:6; 1199:14; |
| 1210:13 | 1280:16; 1281:14 | 1207:14; 1275:12 | 1202:12; 1282:15; |
| depict [1] - 1152:16 | developed [1] - | discuss [5] - 1129:22; | 1283:3 |
| depicted [3] - | 1224:19 | 1191:23; 1263:11; | door [3] - 1098:21; |
| 1152:13; 1214:13; | Devon's [1] - 1180:6 | 1280:22; 1281:20 | 1108:18, 20 |
| 1218:1 | Devons [1] - 1178:25 | discussed [5] - | Dorval [7] - 1132:10; |
| depicts [1] - 1216:8 | diagram [1] - 1086:1 | 1232:9; 1276:16, 22; | 1141:22; 1284:23; |
| describe [2] - 1137:6; | dialed [9] - 1212:5; | 1280:12; 1281:23 | 1285:21; 1286:25; |
| 1150:24 | 1248:11; 1260:1, 14; | discussing [5] - | 1287:6; 1288:2 |
| described [1] - | 1261:8; 1269:12, 15, 25 | 1228:23; 1245:24; | Dorval's [1] - 1143:4 |
| 1199:23 | died [3] - 1189:4, 7; | 1278:12; 1280:24; | doubt [1] - 1283:3 |
| description [1] - | 1205:11 | 1281:16 | down [21] - 1090:7; |
| 1105:8 | difference [3] - | discussion [3] - | 1095:6; 1099:1, 6; |
| designate [1] - | 1146:3; 1151:13 | 1120:10; 1194:23; 1201:18 | 1104:13; 1105:25; |
| 1186:13 | different [20] - | disks [3] - 1182:20, | 1118:1; 1125:19; |
| designated [2] - | 1125:8; 1130:4; | 22, 24 | 1129:19; 1152:1; |
| 1141:12; 1155:19 | 1146:15; 1147:5, 24; | 22, 24 display [1] - 1087:11 | 1153:11; 1156:6; |
| designation [2] - | 1149:18; 1151:1; | | 1184:21; 1212:22; |
| 1086:13; 1156:11 | 1158:10, 13; 1182:14; | disposal [1] - 1285:18 | 1224:21, 25; 1239:12; |
| desire [1] - 1152:10 | 1188:20; 1205:12; | disregard [1] - | 1240:18; 1273:2; |
| desk [2] - 1113:17; | 1206:9; 1207:2; 1240:6; | 1278:17 | 1284:10 |
| 1115:15 | 1275:24 | disrespect [1] - 1252:21 | downloaded [2] - |
| destroyed [1] - 1199:6 | difficult [2] - | distinctive [1] - | 1150:20; 1205:25 |
| detail [4] - 1150:5; | 1167:11; 1185:19 | 1200:16 | downstairs [2] - |
| 1151:10; 1159:2 | digits [1] - 1238:13 | distinctively [2] - | 1168:20; 1169:21 |
| details [1] - 1212:21 | dinner [3] - 1173:11, | 1200:25; 1201:3 | draft [1] - 1206:21 |
| Detective [16] - | 18 | District [6] - | drawn [1] - 1224:21 |
| 1106:14, 17; 1107:4, 6, | DIRECT [12] - 1107:2; | 1078:21; 1143:17; | Drive [2] - 1081:24; |
| 21-22; 1108:8; 1109:20; | 1118:12; 1126:5; | 1249:19; 1254:3, 6, 10 | 1092:23 |
| 1110:16; 1115:14; | 1134:12; 1161:16; | | drop [1] - 1092:2 |
| | | <u> </u> | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 6 2 | f of 83 PageID #: |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| dropped [1] - 1113:1 | elsewhere [1] - 4067 | error [3] - 1226:9; | 1081:19; 1100:4; |
| drove [1] - 1116:7 | 1156:15 | 1242:25; 1243:6 | 1105:3; 1107:2; 10 |
| drug [5] - 1100:21; | emphasize [1] - 1080:3 | escapes [1] - 1218:15 | 1113:10; 1118:12; |
| 1102:4; 1136:7; | employee [2] - 1122:1, | escort [1] - 1114:19 | 1122:20; 1125:4; |
| 1178:21; 1230:20 | 8 | escorted [1] - 1116:2 | 1126:5; 1127:12; |
| drugs [4] - 1100:19; | employees [4] - | especially [1] - | 1134:12; 1161:16; |
| 1101:3; 1102:6; 1180:24 | 1121:17, 20; 1124:24; | | 1176:10; 1188:2; |
| | 1125:7 | 1151:17 | 1193:14; 1209:18; |
| due [1] - 1204:3 | | ESQ [4] - 1078:14, 16, | 1219:4; 1272:6; |
| duly [6] - 1106:21; | employment [2] - | 18 | 1289:3-5, 7-8, 10-12, |
| 1118:9; 1126:2; 1134:9; | 1109:14; 1134:17 | essentially [2] - | 14-15, 17; 1290:4-7, 9 |
| 1161:8; 1209:12 | empty [1] - 1086:25 | 1170:17; 1171:2 | examination [15] - |
| dump [13] - 1150:6, 13, | en [1] - 1092:2 | establish [2] - | 1081:22; 1083:9; |
| 23; 1151:9, 14-15, 23, | encompass [1] - | 1120:7; 1130:19 | 1084:14; 1086:5; |
| 25; 1152:6; 1156:20, | 1135:16 | establishing [1] - | 1087:4; 1093:22; |
| 22; 1159:7; 1213:21 | encounter [2] - | 1131:10 | 1095:14, 23; 1113:9; |
| duration [16] - | 1108:7, 25 | estoppel [1] - 1284:25 | 1147:3, 23; 1200:22; |
| 1152:20; 1156:4; | encountered [2] - | etcetera [1] - 1286:17 | 1207:5; 1219:1; 1288:11 |
| 1232:25; 1253:17, 22; | 1108:3; 1112:25 | evening [10] - 1154:13; | examine [2] - 1149:4; |
| 1261:25; 1262:1; | end [11] - 1112:20, 22; | 1157:15; 1214:1; | 1187:3 |
| 1267:13; 1270:1, 14, | 1135:6; 1156:18; | 1256:5; 1257:3; | examined [6] - |
| 19; 1271:5, 9 | 1247:20; 1263:6; | 1278:24; 1279:5; | 1106:21; 1118:9; |
| during [13] - 1084:14; | 1280:7, 9; 1283:3 | 1282:3; 1288:16 | 1126:2; 1134:10; |
| 1097:10; 1131:12; | End [5] - 1096:6; | event [2] - 1097:24; | 1161:9; 1209:12 |
| 1132:13; 1135:12, 23; | 1098:23; 1106:15; | 1205:16 | example [3] - 1150:2; |
| 1191:22; 1192:12; | 1115:11; 1120:10 | | 1152:4; 1156:22 |
| 1206:18; 1232:17; | ended [2] - 1240:19; | events [5] - 1165:4; | excellent [2] - |
| 1256:2, 12; 1276:8 | 1248:2 | 1276:16, 22; 1281:12, 22 | 1103:13; 1238:8 |
| duties [1] - 1143:13 | ending [1] - 1245:23 | | excerpts [1] - 1130:17 |
| | | eventually [1] - | |
| E | enforcement [2] - | 1147:15 | exchange [1] - 1170:25 |
| ear [2] - 1187:16; | 1088:10; 1135:22 | evidence [55] - | excuse [5] - 1116:18; |
| 1193:11 | engage [3] - 1190:25; | 1080:5; 1082:19, 21; | 1196:8; 1234:12; |
| earliest [3] - 1263:3; | 1191:10; 1285:18 | 1092:9, 13, 17; | 1239:10; 1278:5 |
| 1267:24 | engaged [1] - 1285:17 | 1094:20; 1099:12; | excused [3] - 1118:2; |
| early [8] - 1080:2; | English [1] - 1162:9 | 1111:5; 1119:22; | 1125:20; 1129:20 |
| 1085:10; 1099:9, 19; | entail [1] - 1131:16 | 1131:8; 1139:23; | exhibit [25] - 1100:6, |
| 1210:11; 1256:10; | enter [2] - 1108:22; | 1143:2, 10; 1144:7, 9; | 10; 1146:2, 22-23; |
| 1267:4, 9 | 1225:6 | 1146:2, 6, 10-11, 15, | 1147:11, 16-17, 21; |
| ears [1] - 1274:16 | entered [4] - 1209:6; | 25; 1147:11, 21; | 1154:17; 1164:7, 9; |
| easier [1] - 1266:14 | 1240:5; 1241:15; | 1148:5, 9; 1154:17; | 1166:9; 1209:21; |
| | 1244:14 | 1158:5; 1165:23; 1166:8; 1189:6; | 1216:4; 1219:10; |
| East [3] - 1123:14; | entering [2] - | 1198:21; 1199:13; | 1220:4; 1228:17; |
| 1124:16, 22 | 1166:21; 1209:5 | 1202:9, 13; 1208:24; | 1229:1, 3, 10; 1260:5; |
| east [2] - 1090:10; | enters [2] - 1081:7; | 1212:10; 1250:2; | 1264:22 |
| 1124:1 | 1131:1 | 1254:18; 1264:25; | Exhibit [17] - 1082:20; |
| EASTERN [1] - 1078:1 | entire [2] - 1083:4; | 1266:11, 20; 1270:3, | 1092:10; 1165:23; |
| easy [1] - 1268:2 | 1132:3 | 20; 1272:19, 21; | 1212:11; 1264:23; |
| effort [1] - 1284:22 | entirety [1] - 1182:24 | 1275:9; 1290:17, 19 | 1266:9, 21; 1270:2, 20; |
| eight [8] - 1107:8; | entry [2] - 1099:6; | evidentiary [2] - | 1272:9, 20; 1290:17, 19 |
| 1168:17, 22; 1170:4; | 1248:12 | 1079:16; 1201:11 | exhibited [3] - |
| 1180:22; 1189:9; | | ex [1] - 1091:25 | 1209:23; 1217:16; |
| 1195:4; 1214:19 | envelope [7] - 1169:1, | | 1258:16 |
| either [8] - 1094:9; | 4, 7, 17, 20; 1170:5; | ex-wife [1] - 1091:25 | exhibits [3] - 1143:8; |
| 1121:16; 1140:6; | 1172:8 | exact [2] - 1127:4; | 1144:24; 1149:14 |
| 1143:14; 1199:5; | episode [1] - 1083:13 | 1178:5 | EXHIBITS [1] - 1290:16 |
| 1276:19; 1277:1 | equipment [1] - | exactly [4] - 1101:17; | exist [1] - 1143:23 |
| elbow [1] - 1103:5 | 1274:24 | 1138:23; 1177:21; | exits [1] - 1129:23 |
| ELMO [1] - 1092:12 | Eric [5] - 1123:4, 7, | 1202:24 | expect [3] - 1256:12; |
| | 11, 17, 23 | EXAMINATION [36] - | |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 6! | 5 of 83 PageID #: |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 1276:17; 1282:9 | Federal [2] - 1078 4068 | floor [1] - 1286:19 | foundation [2] - |
| experience [1] - | 22 | floors [2] - 1285:11, | 1120:8; 1165:15 11 |
| 1129:12 | fellow [2] - 1115:15; | 15 | four [9] - 1108:18, 20; |
| expire [1] - 1164:22 | 1249:17 | Florida [1] - 1078:17 | 1212:25; 1226:24; |
| expired [2] - 1165:3; | felt [2] - 1090:17; | fluent [1] - 1162:9 | 1233:10; 1234:1; |
| 1178:1 | 1113:3 | fly [1] - 1079:15 | 1238:13; 1281:21; |
| explain [5] - 1083:16; | few [2] - 1184:7; | FLYNN [59] - 1078:14; | 1283:25 |
| 1121:23; 1130:23; | 1198:9 | 1 | four-door [2] - |
| 1216:6; 1217:3 | field [5] - 1086:25; | 1079:19; 1161:3, 17; 1164:4, 6, 24; 1165:15, | 1108:18, 20 |
| explained [1] - 1109:3 | 1087:1; 1127:24 | 20, 24-25; 1166:7; | fourth [5] - 1130:10; |
| explaining [1] - | fifth [2] - 1130:10 | 1176:8; 1182:6, 21; | 1216:2; 1256:4, 14 |
| 1149:21 | Fifth [1] - 1287:25 | 1183:12; 1184:3; | frame [2] - 1123:20 |
| explanation [1] - | figure [4] - 1083:18; | 1187:8, 12, 14, 18, 23; | framework [1] - |
| 1131:12 | 1282:14; 1283:19 | 1188:3; 1190:5; | 1200:10 |
| explicit [1] - 1159:7 | files [1] - 1224:12 | 1193:12, 25; 1194:3; | franchise [2] - |
| exposed [1] - 1104:3 | | 1195:10, 15, 25; | 1124:5, 7 |
| | fill [1] - 1079:23 | 1196:2, 6, 22; 1197:4; | FRANK [1] - 1078:18 |
| extended [2] - 1104:10 | finally [2] - 1090:25; | 1200:10; 1204:11, 21; | Franklin [1] - 1092:23 |
| extent [2] - 1146:14; | 1137:18 | 1205:3; 1206:16; | frankly [1] - 1287:13 |
| 1147:20 | fine [1] - 1271:14 | 1207:22; 1208:4, 8; | free [2] - 1111:24; |
| F | finger [1] - 1142:23 | 1274:9, 13; 1275:3, 18, | 1197:9 |
| | fingers [1] - 1183:8 | 20; 1280:24; 1281:5, 8, | French [3] - 1162:6, |
| face [4] - 1196:3; 1201:23; 1276:1 | finish [5] - 1119:18; | 10; 1282:4, 7, 13, 17; | 12, 14 |
| face-to-face [1] - | 1132:12; 1194:5; | 1283:1, 6; 1290:4, 6 | Friday [11] - 1091:2, |
| 1276:1 | 1198:5; 1205:1 | Flynn [11] - 1118:4, | 5, 7; 1092:7; 1094:5; |
| | finished [1] - 1187:17 | 11, 14, 16, 20; | 1157:13; 1213:14; |
| facility [3] - 1136:6, | firing [1] - 1083:23 | 1122:22; 1161:2; 1185:9; 1186:7; 1187:22 | 1248:17; 1249:6; 1251:4 |
| fact [13] - 1084:18; | first [54] - 1083:5; | focus [2] - 1157:25; | friend [8] - 1100:15; |
| 1088:3; 1147:17; | 1084:10, 16; 1085:16; | 1210:5 | 1128:24; 1174:15, 19, |
| 1165:8; 1201:14; | 1087:19; 1092:18; | focusing [1] - 1131:16 | 21; 1195:6 |
| 1203:8; 1213:13; | 1106:20; 1107:9; | folks [4] - 1160:22; | Frocaro [3] - 1165:9; |
| 1248:22; 1276:9, 12; | 1108:6, 25; 1109:11; | 1197:10; 1239:3; 1282:2 | 1175:25; 1176:1 |
| 1280:25; 1285:5; | 1112:25; 1113:12; 1115:14; 1118:8; | followed [2] - | Froccaro [9] - |
| 1286:16 | 1121:17; 1125:24; | 1240:13; 1242:2 | 1194:16, 20, 25; |
| facts [4] - 1119:13, | 1126:1; 1138:9, 11; | following [17] - | 1195:3, 9, 13; 1196:14, |
| 22; 1242:21; 1278:23 | 1143:12; 1148:14; | 1093:3; 1096:15; | 19; 1199:6 |
| fair [5] - 1082:11; | 1152:14; 1153:17; | 1106:3; 1115:3; | Froccaro's [1] - |
| 1095:13, 22; 1158:24; | 1154:19; 1156:13; | 1119:16; 1156:10; | 1193:19 |
| 1215:23 | 1158:6; 1168:4; | 1167:23; 1198:3; | front [14] - 1108:10; |
| fairly [1] - 1200:21 | 1175:22; 1179:6; | 1211:9; 1235:1; 1236:1; | 1109:1; 1113:17; |
| familiar [1] - 1287:15 | 1198:25; 1199:11; | 1238:1; 1239:1; 1264:1; | 1115:15; 1147:4; |
| family [4] - 1163:16, | 1203:12; 1204:13, 15; | 1266:1; 1274:1; 1278:1 | 1148:5; 1160:6; |
| 19, 25; 1167:3 | 1205:18; 1210:11; | follows [7] - 1081:18; | 1167:13; 1211:23; |
| far [7] - 1090:3; | 1211:18; 1212:20; | 1106:22; 1118:10; | 1219:6; 1276:7, 14; |
| 1185:21; 1214:19; | 1213:2; 1216:16; 1217:5; 1218:3; 1245:4; | 1126:3; 1134:10; | 1280:12; 1281:4 |
| 1235:5; 1253:25; | 1249:8, 11; 1255:25; | 1161:9; 1209:13 | fronting [1] - 1277:2 |
| 1275:13; 1287:24 | 1258:5; 1260:11; | forces [1] - 1135:19 | fulfilling [1] - |
| fashion [1] - 1145:18 | 1261:8, 10; 1271:1; | form [3] - 1122:10; | 1138:18 |
| father [2] - 1087:15; | 1274:11; 1275:22 | 1220:25; 1231:10 | fully [1] - 1121:4 |
| 1088:1 | fishy [1] - 1090:17 | formal [1] - 1124:6 | funny [1] - 1195:23 |
| father's [1] - 1206:20 | fitness [3] - 1154:22; | format [2] - 1156:23, | FURTHER [2] - 1105:3; |
| FBI [8] - 1135:20, 23; | 1155:5; 1246:22 | 25 | 1289:5 |
| 1142:9; 1171:14, 22; | Fitness [5] - 1119:12; | former [2] - 1127:21, | furthest [1] - 1262:25 |
| 1172:13; 1181:19, 21 | 1120:17, 25; 1121:11; | 25 | future [1] - 1282:5 |
| FDR [1] - 1081:24 | 1123:15 | forward [5] - 1107:22; | G |
| federal [3] - 1144:5; | five [3] - 1103:8; | 1160:24; 1199:5; | |
| 1163:5; 1167:4 | 1158:20; 1183:11 | 1208:18; 1268:13 | Gables [1] - 1078:17 |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | jiled 05/21/13 - Page 6 | 6 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Garden [1] - 1078:19 | given [3] - 1112:154069 | guy [2] - 1103:12; | hear [20] - 1097:22; |
| Gargiulo [70] - | 1182:19; 1283:2 | 1105:15 | 1173:22, 24; 1179:21, 12 |
| 1102:20; 1103:25; | gloves [2] - 1086:22 | Gym [36] - 1108:13; | 23; 1184:8; 1187:5, 16; |
| 1107:16; 1109:4; | Government [9] - | 1109:1; 1112:25; | 1195:20; 1197:8; |
| 1110:2; 1133:4; | 1078:12; 1081:11; | 1113:12; 1114:14; | 1199:24; 1202:8, 15; |
| 1137:15; 1152:18, 21; | 1082:15, 20; 1102:11; | 1119:24; 1123:4; | 1262:10; 1274:4; |
| 1153:3, 8, 16; 1154:2, | 1106:16; 1165:23; | 1210:20; 1211:3; | 1275:16; 1279:17, |
| 5; 1157:14; 1158:11; | 1290:19 | 1214:23; 1215:9; | 22-23; 1284:22 |
| 1173:5; 1174:5; | government [32] - | 1216:10, 12, 18; | heard [34] - 1097:23; |
| 1178:13, 18; 1179:16; | 1131:8; 1134:2; | 1217:6, 19; 1247:11; | 1109:5; 1127:14; |
| 1180:16, 18; 1181:2; | 1145:20; 1182:18; | 1248:18; 1249:6, 9; | 1130:8; 1133:9; |
| 1188:17; 1189:4, 7, 10, | 1187:25; 1188:5; | 1257:21; 1258:9, 21, | 1138:10; 1139:13; |
| 15; 1191:24; 1192:17; | 1196:5; 1199:14, 17, | 24-25; 1260:2, 4, 7, | 1140:16, 18; 1142:23; |
| 1196:14; 1202:4; | 19, 24; 1200:4; 1204:9; | 12, 19-20; 1261:4, 9, | 1158:21; 1173:25; |
| 1210:6; 1216:20; | 1206:4, 7, 13; 1207:17; | 22; 1262:4 | 1174:1; 1179:3, 7; |
| 1217:4; 1220:13, 15, | 1208:22; 1218:23; | gym [23] - 1093:19; | 1180:8; 1185:5; 1186:7; |
| 18, 20, 22; 1221:12, | 1242:22; 1264:5; | 1094:25; 1113:3, 5; | 1188:4, 25; 1199:3; |
| 14, 18, 23; 1222:15; | 1266:3; 1272:16; | 1114:3, 19, 21; 1123:3, | 1202:5; 1203:12; |
| 1223:25; 1225:4; | 1274:10; 1275:24; | 8, 12, 14, 24; 1210:24; | 1204:7; 1205:13; |
| 1227:25; 1228:16; | 1276:7; 1279:8, 21; | 1215:3; 1216:14; | 1225:11; 1274:15; |
| 1229:13; 1230:3; | 1282:7, 24; 1284:10; | 1217:8, 23-24; 1248:24; | 1275:8, 19, 21; 1276:2; |
| 1232:5, 12; 1234:5, 8; | 1285:1 | 1261:16 | 1278:25; 1279:13; |
| 1236:6, 25; 1238:4; | Government's [4] - | Gyms [6] - 1119:12; | 1285:18 |
| 1239:23; 1240:11; | 1092:10; 1212:11; | 1120:2, 17, 25; | hearing [8] - 1079:16; |
| 1241:8; 1242:20; | 1272:20; 1290:20 | 1122:23; 1125:8 | 1160:25; 1165:1; |
| 1245:12; 1246:2, 4, 8, | government's [11] - | gyms [4] - 1124:1, 13; | 1183:5; 1186:4; |
| 16; 1279:13 | 1146:14, 20, 25; | 1154:23; 1174:25 | 1201:11; 1279:9 |
| Gargiulo's [30] - | 1147:13; 1154:17; | | hearsay [2] - 1202:8; |
| 1152:22; 1153:15, 23; | 1164:9; 1166:9; | н | 1281:6 |
| 1180:4; 1188:6; | 1275:20; 1280:11; | hair [2] - 1138:25; | held [1] - 1135:10 |
| 1192:21; 1206:19; | 1281:5; 1284:1 | 1139:25 | help [6] - 1111:25; |
| 1208:1; 1222:7; 1223:5; | Grand [2] - 1138:18; | half [5] - 1170:20; | 1177:14; 1222:6; |
| 1228:14, 20; 1229:8, | 1139:2 | 1242:10, 12; 1282:10, | 1224:8, 13; 1280:1 |
| 12, 22; 1230:8; | graphic [2] - 1216:24; | 12 | helped [2] - 1276:22 |
| 1231:13; 1232:23; | 1217:1 | halted [1] - 1110:5 | helpful [1] - 1266:17 |
| 1236:4, 15, 17; 1242:3; | grassy [1] - 1084:5 | hand [6] - 1104:12; | helping [1] - 1182:15 |
| 1245:4, 16, 25; 1252:19, 25; 1253:4 | grave [3] - 1087:12, 14 | 1125:23; 1148:13; | higher [1] - 1151:25 |
| | green [2] - 1103:11; | 1155:15; 1157:2; 1194:7 | highlight [1] - 1132:7 |
| Gargiulo/Matulis [1] | 1138:5 | Handed [4] - 1212:12; | • • • • |
| - 1239:25 | | 1221:6; 1250:13; | highlighted [4] - |
| gathering [1] - | ground [3] - 1084:2; | 1272:10 | 1159:23; 1270:10, 21; |
| 1131:13 | 1115:1; 1195:17 | handed [1] - 1164:7 | 1271:1 |
| gearing [1] - 1130:2 | group [6] - 1119:11; | handgun [1] - 1090:7 | himself [2] - 1110:19; |
| general [2] - 1152:14; | 1120:16; 1123:5, 7; | | 1223:7 |
| 1243:6 | 1124:19; 1250:17 | handle [1] - 1150:25 | hit [1] - 1211:10 |
| generally [3] - | groups [2] - 1121:12; | handles [1] - 1150:16 | hold [2] - 1120:14; |
| 1146:12; 1151:15; | 1125:6 | hands [1] - 1277:6 | 1258:24 |
| 1279:1 | guard [1] - 1141:16 | handwritten [2] - | holding [1] - 1183:8 |
| gentlemen [6] - | guess [5] - 1152:10; | 1170:13; 1181:10 | Holzer [2] - 1123:11, |
| 1080:1; 1129:21; | 1220:3; 1274:10; | hard [3] - 1123:9; | 17 |
| 1147:10; 1160:21; | 1282:19 | 1173:25; 1217:15 | Holzer's [2] - 1123:4, |
| 1237:7; 1278:3 | guessing [1] - 1128:7 | HARRY [1] - 1078:20 | 7 |
| GEO [1] - 1182:20 | guilty [1] - 1088:6 | head [2] - 1105:20; | Holzers [2] - 1124:2, 4 |
| geographic [1] - | gun [15] - 1083:13, 15, | 1287:3 | home [24] - 1095:3; |
| 1121:13 | 19; 1084:9, 19; 1085:6, | header [1] - 1154:22 | 1152:4; 1168:10, 16; |
| Gerald [1] - 1126:16 | 11, 19, 21; 1086:2, 23; | heading [1] - 1099:1 | 1169:11; 1172:7, 24; |
| Gerrato [2] - 1201:7 | 1088:1, 3; 1089:20; | headphones [3] - | 1173:11, 14, 19; |
| Gerrato's [1] - 1201:9 | 1105:7 | 1138:2; 1140:11; | 1179:12; 1189:1, 15, |
| gesture [1] - 1235:7 | guns [1] - 1091:4 | 1141:10 | 18; 1190:21, 23; |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 6 | 7 of 83 PageID #: |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1191:1, 11, 13; | 1169:9; 1171:11; 4070 | 6; 1156:11, 15, 17; | individual [12] - |
| 1194:19; 1201:14, 18; | 1173:14, 22; 1176:1, | 1160:3, 6 | 1107:25; 1110:16; 13 |
| 1206:20; 1274:8 | 12, 17; 1177:8; | incarcerated [3] - | 1111:8; 1125:7; |
| Homicide [1] - 1106:25 | 1178:20, 25; 1179:3; | 1162:18, 20, 23 | 1126:25; 1127:2, 6; |
| homicide [8] - | 1180:1, 5, 13; 1181:24; | inches [1] - 1103:8 | 1142:10; 1150:1, 5; |
| 1107:11, 14; 1132:10; | 1182:15; 1187:9; | incident [2] - | 1151:11; 1162:15 |
| 1139:20; 1144:3, 5; | 1190:7; 1193:23; | 1085:12; 1213:1 | individually [1] - |
| 1216:8; 1228:5 | 1194:25; 1195:1; | include [1] - 1145:14 | 1166:12 |
| Honor [57] - 1079:6, | 1196:16; 1203:5; | included [3] - | individuals [2] - |
| 12, 19, 22; 1082:22; | 1204:17; 1205:19; | 1143:17; 1168:21; | 1214:6; 1272:14 |
| 1087:6; 1096:9, 12; | 1207:19; 1208:5; | 1216:3 | Infiniti [2] - |
| 1098:20; 1105:24; | 1276:21, 23; 1279:11, | including [1] - | 1108:18, 20 |
| 1115:9; 1117:25; | 22; 1280:12; 1281:11, | | influence [1] - |
| 1120:12; 1125:2, 17; | 18 | 1284:11 | 1278:11 |
| 1129:18; 1132:17; | husband's [4] - | income [1] - 1121:20 | information [19] - |
| 1137:25; 1140:10; | 1167:23; 1174:19; | incoming [7] - 1150:9; | 1111:1, 15; 1150:6, 17, |
| 1148:2; 1160:18; | 1194:18; 1195:14 | 1211:11, 22; 1213:19; | 20; 1151:1, 13, 15, 22; |
| 1161:3; 1164:4, 24; | | 1214:5; 1226:23 | 1152:11; 1156:16; |
| 1165:20, 24; 1176:8; | I | inconsistent [1] - | 1157:1; 1158:7, 18; |
| 1187:23; 1197:4; | idea [7] - 1220:14, 17; | 1207:21 | 1212:19; 1224:21; |
| 1200:10; 1201:23; | 1223:20, 23; 1225:7; | independent [4] - | 1225:4; 1234:5; 1283:7 |
| 1202:7, 11; 1204:22; | 1230:16; 1278:8 | 1124:23; 1188:22; | informed [1] - 1110:8 |
| 1205:4; 1208:4, 25; | ideal [1] - 1149:25 | 1203:21; 1205:6 | |
| 1212:7; 1218:21; | identical [1] - | independently [1] - | inherit [1] - 1135:7 |
| 1230:5; 1238:7; | 1201:20 | 1249:3 | initial [1] - 1243:3 |
| 1239:13; 1241:9; | identification [4] - | INDEX [1] - 1289:1 | initials [2] - |
| 1244:12, 19; 1263:7; | 1082:4; 1145:7; 1164:8; | index [2] - 1158:12; | 1087:16, 18 |
| 1266:3; 1271:15, 24; | 1210:20 | 1224:17 | initiation [2] - |
| 1281:24; 1282:4, 13; | identified [4] - | indicate [12] - | 1155:22 |
| 1283:21; 1284:13, 15; | 1113:21; 1137:11; | 1079:14; 1086:12; | inquire [2] - 1161:2, |
| 1285:3; 1287:23 | 1200:13; 1252:14 | 1112:14; 1152:15; | 15 |
| honor [3] - 1087:13, | identify [7] - | 1165:17; 1199:18; | inside [7] - 1086:7, |
| 17, 23 | 1110:19; 1113:25; | 1206:11; 1207:25; | 24; 1087:1; 1164:17; |
| Honor's [1] - 1284:24 | 1166:19; 1183:1, 6; | 1208:9; 1212:16; | 1170:5; 1285:9 |
| HONORABLE [1] - 1078:9 | 1210:21; 1241:16 | 1239:19; 1252:9 | insofar [1] - 1284:21 |
| hope [1] - 1184:18 | identity [2] - | indicated [9] - | instance [1] - 1156:17 |
| hopefully [3] - | 1130:19; 1132:7 | 1090:13; 1172:12; | instances [2] - |
| 1079:24; 1185:25; | immediate [2] - | 1206:4; 1207:17; | 1121:3; 1149:17 |
| 1239:4 | 1110:8; 1256:2 | 1208:19; 1217:8; | instead [1] - 1245:16 |
| hour [8] - 1137:1; | · | 1221:12; 1267:14; | instructed [1] - |
| 1212:1; 1242:10, 12; | immediately [2] - | 1279:8 | 1241:13 |
| 1247:12, 14; 1256:12; | 1110:25; 1111:17 | indicates [5] - | |
| 1282:8 | impeached [1] - | 1084:1; 1166:22; | instruction [3] - |
| hours [9] - 1099:9, 19; | 1281:23 | 1213:18; 1222:13; | 1146:19, 24; 1147:5 |
| 1155:25; 1184:5; | impeaches [2] - 1281:7 | 1239:19 | intend [2] - 1183:7; |
| 1233:11; 1234:1; | impeaching [2] - | indicating [8] - | 1276:14 |
| 1282:11, 24 | 1286:9, 11 | 1083:7, 25; 1086:1; | intending [1] - |
| house [14] - 1091:9; | impeachment [5] - | 1154:24; 1160:2; | 1208:23 |
| 1097:12; 1099:21; | 1275:21; 1276:18, 25; | 1218:4; 1259:7 | intentional [1] - |
| 1116:3; 1117:12; | 1287:8, 10 | indicating) [9] - | 1243:5 |
| 1151:20; 1169:19; | important [3] - | 1083:21; 1087:20; | interest [1] - 1120:1 |
| 1175:11; 1179:13; | 1262:14; 1280:18; | 1103:7; 1216:9, 11, 15, | interested [4] - |
| 1194:8; 1195:4; | 1283:6 | 17; 1217:7; 1218:15 | 1139:15; 1153:10; |
| 1205:10; 1281:19; | improper [1] - 1115:7 | indication [1] - | 1232:11; 1235:8 |
| 1286:4 | in-between [2] - | 1141:5 | interesting [2] - |
| hundred [1] - 1152:2 | 1185:18; 1255:22 | indicators [1] - | 1288:12 |
| hundreds [1] - 1182:22 | inappropriate [1] - | 1148:11 | interpretation [1] - |
| | 1132:25 | indictment [2] - | 1203:13 |
| husband [40] - 1162:18, | inbound [7] - 1152:3, | 1080:22 | interpreted [1] - |
| 20; 1166:16; 1168:13; | | | |
| | l | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 6 | 6 of 83 PageID #: _ |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| 1280:14 | items [3] - 1139:254071 | Julius [3] - 1135:11; | Justine [1] - 1100:22 |
| interrupt [1] - 1183:4 | 1147:5; 1216:4 | 1139:16; 1140:23 | 14 |
| interview [6] - | itself [1] - 1181:5 | July [16] - 1136:5; | K |
| 1107:25; 1109:23; | | 1140:20; 1141:17; | keep [9] - 1095:6; |
| 1110:1, 5; 1111:21; | J | 1152:15; 1225:16, | 1105:10, 16; 1183:15; |
| 1112:20 | jacket [1] - 1137:9 | 21-22, 24-25; 1226:1, | 1198:11; 1250:21; |
| interviewed [3] - | jail [3] - 1176:13; | 21; 1227:5; 1270:15 | 1254:1; 1269:14; |
| 1088:17; 1108:4; | 1203:5; 1286:16 | jump [1] - 1152:25 | 1278:21 |
| 1215:21 | JAMES [1] - 1078:14 | jumping [2] - 1153:11; | keeps [2] - 1196:4; |
| interviewing [2] - | James [4] - 1175:25; | 1157:13 | 1238:9 |
| 1110:25; 1111:8 | 1193:19; 1194:16, 20 | June [14] - 1140:24; | Keith [7] - 1174:15; |
| introduced [2] - | jamming [1] - 1085:12 | 1176:21, 24; 1177:1, 6; | 1175:3; 1193:16, 18; |
| 1109:3; 1146:22 | January [34] - 1163:7, | 1189:20, 23; 1203:9, | 1194:2; 1195:6; 1199:3 |
| introducing [1] - | 10, 21; 1165:18; | 15; 1204:4; 1270:3, 10; | Kenneth [1] - 1130:16 |
| 1199:12 | 1166:20, 25; 1167:20; | 1279:11 | kept [3] - 1158:25; |
| investigate [4] - | 1168:8; 1171:9; | juror [1] - 1079:25 | 1208:12; 1253:23 |
| 1117:10; 1138:19; | 1174:10; 1175:12; | jurors [7] - 1079:4; | key [14] - 1224:17, 19, |
| 1226:16; 1230:25 | 1176:1, 21, 23; | 1081:3; 1140:11; | 21-22; 1244:6, 21; |
| investigating [3] - | 1177:12; 1178:6; | 1235:7; 1278:12, 14; | 1251:9, 11-12; 1253:4, |
| 1109:4; 1141:3, 6 | 1179:14; 1180:7; | 1279:1 | 7; 1272:11, 13 |
| investigation [16] - | 1184:4, 16-17; 1189:10, | jury [46] - 1078:10; | kill [8] - 1084:14, 16, |
| 1107:12, 14, 25; | 20; 1190:7, 15; 1191:2; | 1081:7; 1082:25; | 21, 23; 1085:17; |
| 1116:14; 1135:7, 10; | 1203:5, 18; 1204:1; | 1083:17; 1084:8; | 1090:21; 1102:20; |
| 1139:10, 15; 1141:15; | 1205:9; 1207:18; | 1102:25; 1106:5; | 1174:2 |
| 1142:8; 1144:5; 1214:7; | 1208:2; 1276:4 | 1121:23; 1129:23; | killed [7] - 1088:1; |
| 1220:19, 21; 1231:3; | jeans [1] - 1104:24 | 1130:4, 24; 1131:1; | 1089:4; 1141:16; |
| 1278:22 | Jeff [1] - 1106:23 | 1132:20, 23; 1138:1; | 1285:9; 1286:4; 1287:4 |
| investigations [1] - | jeopardy [1] - 1113:7 | 1141:9; 1142:4; 1146:2, | killing [4] - 1085:2, |
| 1144:3 | Jersey [1] - 1168:7 | 9, 19; 1147:6; 1148:13; | 5, 8; 1286:24 |
| invite [1] - 1191:8 | JOANNA [1] - 1078:9 | 1185:18; 1198:4, 11, 15; 1208:12; 1209:5; | kind [2] - 1120:20; |
| involved [3] - | job [10] - 1084:12, | 1239:8; 1240:3, 8; | 1139:14 |
| 1100:21; 1182:3; 1254:3 | 17-18, 20, 24; 1085:16; | 1241:13, 20; 1242:18; | kitchen [4] - 1083:20; |
| involvement [1] - | 1089:9; 1092:2; | 1243:1, 4; 1244:14; | 1086:3; 1191:14 |
| 1131:10 | 1103:13; 1238:8 | 1277:5, 7; 1279:2, 6, | knot [1] - 1086:24 |
| involving [1] - 1089:1 | Joe [1] - 1288:13 | 17; 1285:3; 1290:3 | knowing [2] - 1224:6; |
| ironically [1] - | jogged [1] - 1281:20 | Jury [2] - 1138:18; | 1227:2 |
| 1105:14 | joined [2] - 1135:19, | 1139:2 | known [5] - 1102:4; |
| irrelevant [1] - | 24 | Justin [59] - 1083:14, | 1119:11; 1120:16; |
| 1201:17 | Joseph [7] - 1169:11; | 17; 1085:20; 1089:4; | 1136:6; 1180:18 |
| IRS [1] - 1121:24 | 1284:5, 18; 1286:14; | 1090:14, 23; 1093:23; | knows [2] - 1131:23; |
| Island [4] - 1121:14; | 1287:4, 24 | 1095:2; 1096:22; | 1132:8 |
| 1144:1; 1168:10; | JS-1 [1] - 1110:13 | 1097:1, 6, 15, 20; | Kyatt's [2] - 1205:25; |
| 1174:25 | Judge [25] - 1081:5; | 1098:11; 1100:18; | 1206:2 |
| Islip [3] - 1078:5, 13, | 1086:8; 1093:1; | 1101:2; 1102:15; | |
| 22 | 1104:20; 1133:4; | 1105:12; 1108:1, 19; | L |
| issue [6] - 1106:9; | 1182:11; 1184:7, 10, | 1110:24; 1111:2, 7; | lab [2] - 1136:15; |
| 1165:12; 1205:17; | 20; 1189:19; 1202:17; | 1113:24; 1114:1, 13; | 1139:24 |
| 1208:15; 1275:24; | 1204:3, 12; 1208:21; | 1115:20, 22, 24; | laboratory [1] - |
| 1285:4 | 1210:19; 1214:22; | 1116:2, 9, 14; 1117:11; | 1140:1 |
| issued [11] - 1118:17; | 1220:7; 1238:20; | 1124:13; 1125:11; | ladies [4] - 1129:21; |
| 1122:8, 10, 13; | 1240:23; 1247:21; | 1223:1; 1225:18, 20, 25; 1226:2, 19; | 1147:10; 1160:21; |
| 1124:20; 1125:11; | 1260:16; 1266:14; | 1227:10, 16; 1228:1, 3, | 1278:3 |
| 1161:23; 1164:15, 17, | 1267:16; 1271:21; | 16; 1232:24; 1233:25; | Laganas [2] - 1194:12 |
| 19; 1166:23 | 1280:10 | 1236:5; 1262:11; | land [14] - 1093:18, |
| it [1] - 1183:15 | judge [6] - 1089:20; | 1264:11; 1267:9; | 24; 1095:2, 14; |
| item [2] - 1096:8; | 1131:18; 1166:5; | 1269:2, 5, 12, 16; | 1097:25; 1098:11; |
| 1270:15 | 1182:13; 1195:17; | 1270:5, 12; 1271:6 | 1116:12; 1168:6; |
| | 1240:9 | | |
| | | <u>l</u> | 1 |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 6 | 9 of 83 PageID #: |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1252:10; 1253:12; | 1148:13; 1155:15; 4072 | 19-21, 24-25; 1207:2, | 1108:12, 14; 1124:8; |
| 1262:21; 1266:21; | 1157:2; 1163:21; | 5-6, 9, 17; 1208:2, 5, | 1211:17; 1216:10; 1 5 |
| 1270:12, 17 | 1165:16; 1166:16; | 7, 9, 21; 1275:25 | 1217:4; 1218:5, 11, 15 |
| landed [1] - 1168:7 | 1167:3; 1173:15; | letters [1] - 1087:16 | locations [5] - |
| landline [3] - | 1174:4, 7-8; 1180:14; | level [3] - 1084:2 | 1124:5, 9; 1125:8; |
| 1149:22; 1151:19; | 1282:8 | Levine [3] - 1154:21; | 1150:17; 1214:23 |
| 1178:23 | left-hand [3] - | 1155:4; 1159:10 | logo [1] - 1105:8 |
| landlines [1] - 1150:3 | 1148:13; 1155:15; | licensed [1] - 1119:1 | look [31] - 1096:1; |
| language [2] - 1162:5, | 1157:2 | lied [1] - 1087:25 | 1099:1; 1110:10; |
| 11 | legal [2] - 1200:10, 15 | 1 | 1147:15; 1148:11; |
| large [1] - 1103:1 | legally [1] - 1202:12 | life [1] - 1113:6 | 1158:12; 1159:6; |
| last [24] - 1080:13; | length [2] - 1147:2; | lifts [1] - 1139:25 | 1164:10; 1169:4; |
| | 1158:23 | light [4] - 1103:11; | 1198:19; 1215:13; |
| 1120:5, 13; 1127:3; 1132:19; 1151:2; | lengthy [1] - 1282:9 | 1104:19; 1137:9 | 1221:3; 1222:4; 1224:7, |
| 1153:11; 1157:25; | Leshawn [44] - 1081:24; | limited [1] - 1231:3 | 12; 1225:15; 1228:13; |
| 1183:10; 1184:19; | 1082:12; 1086:3; | line [25] - 1093:18, | 1245:1; 1246:21; |
| 1199:3; 1213:14; | 1093:24; 1094:6; | 24; 1095:2; 1096:21; | 1247:24; 1248:3; |
| 1215:11; 1238:13; | 1095:2; 1097:2, 10, 16; | 1097:25; 1098:11; | 1250:14; 1259:3, 19; |
| 1248:6, 10; 1254:22; | 1098:11; 1099:16, 22; | 1116:12; 1156:13, 17; | 1262:18, 20; 1266:20; |
| 1261:3; 1263:3; 1282:9 | 1100:12; 1158:3, 18, | 1211:9; 1217:2; | 1267:21; 1270:20 |
| lasted [13] - 1153:3; | 24; 1159:20; 1160:7, 9; | 1227:14; 1252:10; | looked [5] - 1152:17; |
| 1154:6, 15; 1157:7; | 1251:7, 10, 12, 17; | 1253:12; 1255:23; | 1153:18; 1211:11; |
| 1159:9, 21; 1210:15; | 1253:14; 1254:18; | 1262:21; 1263:8; | 1216:22; 1248:13 |
| 1211:15, 25; 1213:8; | 1255:4; 1257:4; | 1264:11; 1266:21, 24; | looking [32] - 1096:7; |
| 1215:10; 1218:12; | 1262:18, 20; 1263:2; | 1267:4; 1269:25; | 1110:11; 1140:2; |
| 1236:7 | 1264:10; 1266:22; | 1270:12, 17 | 1149:13; 1150:1, 25; |
| lastly [1] - 1216:2 | 1267:2, 10; 1268:14, | lines [3] - 1095:14; | 1151:5; 1153:9; |
| lasts [6] - 1154:10; | 18, 20; 1269:11, 16, | 1159:24; 1267:22 | 1185:14; 1196:4, 9; |
| 1160:4; 1217:20; | 24; 1270:5, 12, 16; | list [11] - 1228:11; | 1209:21; 1210:9; |
| 1218:18; 1226:24; | 1284:5 | 1244:4, 21; 1245:1; | 1212:1; 1215:19; |
| 1279:2 | Leshawn's [4] - | 1246:10; 1250:21; 1251:8; 1266:19; | 1219:20; 1220:8; |
| late [1] - 1185:15 | 1082:8; 1092:20; | 1283:22; 1284:1 | 1224:5, 22; 1227:16; |
| latex [1] - 1086:22 | 1097:12; 1098:1 | listed [11] - 1152:18; | 1228:17, 20; 1229:2, 5; 1230:7; 1236:3, 15; |
| laundry [2] - 1086:7, | less [3] - 1215:10; | 1154:9; 1158:17; | 1250:18; 1251:2; |
| 18 | 1233:10; 1283:1 | 1159:17; 1212:22; | 1252:8; 1258:23; 1259:6 |
| law [4] - 1088:10; | letter [128] - 1086:12, | 1221:11; 1226:7; | looks [3] - 1166:19, |
| 1126:12; 1135:22; | 14; 1169:9; 1170:6, 13, | 1246:15; 1253:3; | 23; 1206:20 |
| 1274:16 | 19, 21, 23-24; 1171:1, | 1270:1; 1271:2 | LORETTA [1] - 1078:12 |
| lawyer [2] - 1112:16; | 4, 8, 10, 12, 17-19, | listen [11] - 1137:14, | losing [1] - 1204:23 |
| 1195:14 | 24; 1172:8, 12; 1173:1, | 18; 1179:6; 1182:23; | 1 |
| lawyers [2] - 1090:6; | 7; 1174:11; 1175:2, 15, | 1205:1, 3-5; 1274:21; | lost [2] - 1199:2, 14 |
| 1127:25 | 18; 1176:4, 6, 20, 24; 1177:10, 16, 19, 21, | 1278:15 | loud [1] - 1148:9 |
| layout [2] - 1082:12; | 24; 1178:3, 9, 12, 17, | listened [2] - | Louie [1] - 1141:22 |
| 1083:4 | 22; 1179:9, 12; 1181:4, | 1137:20; 1279:20 | Louis [6] - 1141:22; |
| leading [1] - 1191:18 | 8, 13, 15; 1182:5, 8; | litigation [1] - | 1143:4; 1284:23; |
| lean [1] - 1107:22 | 1188:5, 9, 12-13, | 1132:22 | 1286:25; 1287:5; 1288:2 |
| learned [1] - 1143:18 | 15-16, 20, 23; 1189:9, | live [1] - 1163:19 | lower [1] - 1104:13 |
| least [1] - 1121:10 | 14, 22; 1190:17; | lived [3] - 1082:13; | Lucent [1] - 1143:25 |
| | 1191:2, 23; 1192:2, 14; | 1092:21; 1168:13 | lunch [1] - 1209:21 |
| leave [7] - 1100:1; | 1193:16; 1194:2, 6, 13, | living [5] - 1085:22; | Luncheon [1] - 1197:11 |
| 1111:24; 1114:13; | 15; 1195:9, 14; | 1118:20; 1168:11, 20; | lunchtime [2] - |
| 1163:11; 1176:4; 1194:13; 1195:4 | 1196:14; 1199:4; | 1169:21 | 1079:20 |
| leaves [3] - 1239:8; | 1200:7-9, 19, 22-23; | loaded [1] - 1105:18 | lying [5] - 1087:25; |
| 1273:3; 1279:6 | 1201:1, 5, 9, 15, | local [1] - 1278:25 | 1088:4; 1094:10; |
| | 19-21; 1202:11, 15, 19, | located [5] - 1210:22; | 1195:8; 1196:7 |
| leaving [1] - 1194:19 | 25; 1203:1, 3, 6, 16, | 1211:13; 1216:11, 14; | LYNCH [1] - 1078:12 |
| left [19] - 1086:20; | 19, 25; 1205:7, 9, 25; | 1217:13, 1210:11, 14, | |
| 1094:17; 1116:5; | 1206:1, 5, 8, 15-17, | location [9] - | |
| | | [0] | |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 7 | 0 of 83 PageID #: |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| M | 1220:11, 17, 20, 234073 | 1199:7 | 1109:8, 19 |
| M-E-L-V-Y-N [1] - | 1221:7, 15, 19-20, 25; | Mel [7] - 1110:22; | might [3] - 1125:8; 16 |
| 1126:4 | 1224:24; 1225:7; | 1111:14; 1112:2; | 1198:9; 1287:21 |
| M.A.N.O.N [1] - | 1228:21; 1230:4; | 1214:1, 20; 1258:22; | military [2] - 1155:24 |
| 1161:13 | 1236:24; 1238:5, 11; | 1260:1 | Miller [3] - 1132:10; |
| M.U.L.L.I.G.A.N [1] - | 1241:8; 1242:4; 1245:5; | Melvyn [2] - 1111:14; | 1285:19; 1286:6 |
| 1161:14 | 1246:5, 11-12, 14, 16; | 1126:4 | Miller's [1] - 1285:10 |
| ma'am [2] - 1081:4; | 1252:23; 1253:4, 6, | member [1] - 1134:20 | mind [5] - 1085:3; |
| 1273:1 | 9-10, 16 | members [8] - 1083:16; | 1196:13; 1201:13; |
| machine [1] - 1173:15 | Martulis' [19] - | 1084:8; 1121:23; | 1278:21; 1279:20 |
| mail [9] - 1151:7, 14; | 1220:12, 16; 1221:1, 13, 15; 1222:1; | 1138:1; 1140:11; | mine [1] - 1264:17 |
| 1168:18, 21; 1175:6; | 1223:21; 1227:24; | 1141:9; 1142:4, 18 | minute [12] - 1095:25; |
| 1179:13; 1223:8 | 1229:12; 1238:10; | memorized [2] - | 1101:18; 1138:9; |
| mailbox [1] - 1189:22 | 1245:10, 15; 1246:19; | 1200:24; 1240:2 | 1140:16; 1145:15; |
| maintained [2] - | 1252:14, 18, 24; | memorizing [1] - | 1150:19; 1153:19; |
| 1150:17; 1151:1 | 1253:3, 13 | 1243:6 | 1154:15; 1164:10; |
| | Marty [21] - 1100:13, | memory [7] - 1087:13, | 1228:11; 1232:17; |
| malfunctioning [3] - 1083:14; 1084:9 | 19, 21, 23; 1101:5; | 17; 1091:10; 1094:1, | 1236:8 |
| · | 1220:11, 15, 20, 22; | 15; 1207:11; 1281:21 | minutes [33] - 1132:3; |
| man [1] - 1099:23 | 1223:21; 1240:9, 15-16; | men's [1] - 1198:9 | 1140:17; 1141:11; |
| Manhattan [9] - | 1242:14; 1245:9, 11, | mental [1] - 1178:20 | 1153:4; 1156:6; |
| 1088:12; 1092:23; 1106:24; 1127:1; | 15; 1246:5, 19 | mention [7] - 1142:19; | 1157:24; 1158:20; |
| 1230:20; 1249:18; | Massachusetts [4] - | 1143:4; 1181:14, 24; | 1159:4, 9, 21, 24; |
| 1258:9; 1260:21; | 1163:4, 6, 11; 1167:4 | 1182:1, 3; 1208:6 | 1160:4, 17; 1183:11; |
| 1261:22 | match [1] - 1140:2 | mentioned [5] - | 1185:20; 1198:9; |
| manner [1] - 1193:10 | material [1] - 1287:16 | 1085:19; 1131:12; | 1208:12; 1210:17; 1211:18; 1213:8; |
| Manon [8] - 1079:14; | matter [5] - 1090:4; | 1205:18; 1208:1; | 1215:10; 1226:24; |
| 1161:13; 1164:9; | 1141:3; 1144:8; 1203:8; | 1220:11 | 1233:1; 1238:20; |
| 1198:17; 1201:9; | 1213:13 | message [18] - 1173:12, | 1239:4; 1244:23; |
| 1276:6, 17; 1282:8 | Matthew [1] - 1122:14 | 15, 20, 24; 1174:4, 7; | 1257:5; 1268:9; 1279:24 |
| map [6] - 1216:3, 8; | Matty [5] - 1084:12, | 1178:23; 1179:15, 19, | MISKIEWICZ [146] - |
| 1217:3, 25; 1218:1, 13 | 17; 1102:20; 1122:8, 11 | 21, 23; 1180:1, 4, 8-9, | 1078:14; 1079:6, 12, |
| March [3] - 1263:9; | Matulis [13] - 1100:13, | 13, 15, 19 | 22; 1080:11, 17, 21, |
| 1264:7 | 19, 21, 23; 1101:5; | messages [2] - 1173:12; 1223:9 | 24; 1081:15, 20; |
| marijuana [1] - 1163:1 | 1102:4; 1158:11; | met [2] - 1180:20; | 1082:15, 22; 1083:1; |
| marked [9] - 1082:3; | 1225:3; 1240:10, 15-16; | 1200:5 | 1086:11; 1087:6, 9; |
| 1145:7; 1164:8; 1166:9; | 1242:14 | methadone [1] - | 1092:11, 14, 16; |
| 1229:10; 1250:7; | Matulis' [2] - | 1091:16 | 1093:7, 11, 22; 1094:8; |
| 1264:21; 1266:20; | 1152:24; 1158:9 | microcassette [2] - | 1095:21, 24; 1096:12, |
| 1272:8 | mean [13] - 1083:3; | 1137:15, 18 | 20; 1098:24; 1099:25; |
| marking [2] - 1166:15; | 1084:18, 20; 1089:23; | microphone [2] - | 1100:2; 1101:8; 1102:7; 1103:14, 18; 1105:4, |
| 1266:8 | 1090:16; 1106:10; | 1107:23; 1196:11 | 22; 1106:14; 1107:3; |
| maroon [2] - 1139:13 | 1109:20; 1125:12; 1129:5, 11; 1147:13; | mid [2] - 1129:22; | 1110:13, 15; 1113:8; |
| Marr [1] - 1130:16 | 1249:12; 1252:21 | 1239:4 | 1114:7, 10, 24; 1115:9; |
| married [1] - 1162:15 | meaning [2] - 1156:1; | mid-afternoon [1] - | 1116:17, 19, 25; |
| marshal's [1] - 1283:8 | 1254:11 | 1239:4 | 1117:13, 18, 25; |
| Martin [32] - 1158:9; | means [2] - 1156:9; | mid-morning [1] - | 1118:4, 13; 1120:4, 12, |
| 1221:14, 19, 25; | 1168:1 | 1129:22 | 19; 1122:18; 1123:19; |
| 1222:1; 1224:24; | meant [3] - 1129:12; | middle [2] - 1087:19; | 1125:1, 5, 15; 1126:6; |
| 1225:3; 1227:24; | 1205:22; 1287:6 | 1149:10 | 1127:10; 1128:11, 15; |
| 1228:21; 1230:3; | mechanical [1] - | midnight [5] - 1099:9; | 1129:8, 14, 18; |
| 1236:24; 1238:5, 10; | 1078:24 | 1158:2; 1159:20, 25; | 1130:15; 1132:17; |
| 1241:8; 1242:4; 1245:5; | media [2] - 1278:16, 18 | 1160:17 | 1134:2, 13; 1137:10, |
| 1246:11, 15; 1252:14, | medication [1] - | Midtown [3] - 1215:17, | 25; 1140:10; 1141:9; |
| 18, 23-24; 1253:3, 6, | 1091:23 | 21, 24 | 1142:2; 1145:20; 1146:8; 1148:2; |
| 9-10, 12, 16 | meet [2] - 1131:9; | midtown [3] - 1107:12; | 1160:18; 1208:25; |
| Martulis [30] - | | [-] | 1700.10, 1200.20, |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Document 436-2 led 05/21/13 Page 7 :ase 2:08-cr-00655-JS . ot 83 PageID #: 1209:3, 19; 1212:7; 1094:17; 1099:9, 194074 18-19, 23, 25; 1118:4, 1254:8, 11, 16; 1257:18, 20; 1260:16; 17 1218:20, 24; 1222:16; 1101:21; 1103:25; 13; 1119:13, 22; 1104:24; 1107:4; 1224:2, 10, 15; 1225:1, 1120:4, 9, 12, 19; 1263:7, 12; 1264:4, 8, 1113:13; 1114:22; 1122:18, 21; 1123:19, 14-15, 17, 20-21; 13; 1226:8, 12; 1228:17, 25; 1229:20, 1116:15, 23; 1117:11; 22, 25; 1124:25; 1266:3, 10, 12, 14, 17; 24; 1230:22; 1231:10, 1118:14; 1125:22; 1125:1, 5, 15, 17; 1267:16, 18; 1268:2; 21; 1233:12; 1234:7; 1126:7; 1129:22; 1126:6; 1127:10, 13; 1270:8; 1271:11, 13, 1236:19; 1237:1, 4; 1134:14; 1156:1; 1128:11, 13, 15, 19; 15, 18, 21, 24; 1272:1, 1238:7, 12; 1239:10, 1185:12; 1210:10, 16; 1129:8, 10, 14, 16, 18; 7, 16, 18, 22, 24; 16, 18; 1240:23; 1211:12, 22; 1217:19; 1130:1, 15; 1131:6, 18; 1273:1; 1274:6, 9, 13, 1241:19; 1244:12; 1249:11; 1256:11, 22; 1132:2, 6, 17; 1133:3, 21, 25; 1275:3, 18, 20; 1245:21; 1250:8; 1257:11; 1259:25; 10; 1134:2, 13; 1277:3, 8; 1279:16; 1254:8; 1257:18, 20; 1261:5, 10, 20; 1137:10, 25; 1139:5, 7; 1280:2, 5, 8-9, 24; 1264:14, 21; 1266:12; 1262:11; 1274:11, 23; 1140:10; 1141:9, 19; 1281:5, 8, 10; 1282:4, 1272:1, 7, 16, 22, 24; 1282:15 1142:2; 1145:20, 22; 7, 13, 17, 19; 1283:1, 1283:21; 1284:7, 13, 1146:1, 8, 18; 1147:7; 6, 8, 11, 21, 23, 25; mornings [1] - 1091:22 21; 1287:8; 1288:3, 8, 1148:2, 23; 1149:1, 5; 1284:3, 5, 7, 9, 12-13, most [2] - 1103:3; 10; 1289:4, 6, 8, 11, 1160:18; 1161:1, 3, 17; 21; 1285:7, 13, 16; 1150:15 13, 15, 18; 1290:9, 11 1162:24; 1164:4, 6, 24; 1286:3, 8, 12, 20, 23; mostly [1] - 1192:3 1165:3, 6, 15, 20, 1287:2, 7-8; 1288:3, 6, Miskiewicz [7] mother [5] - 1087:20; 8, 10; 1289:4-6, 8-9, 24-25; 1166:5, 7; 1081:14; 1120:3; 1091:13; 1164:3; 1176:8, 11; 1177:3, 5; 11-13, 15-16, 18; 1148:1; 1241:4; 1243:4; 1169:25; 1172:25 1182:6, 11, 13, 19, 21; 1290:4-7, 9 1244:10; 1288:15 motions [1] - 1132:23 1183:9, 12, 15; 1184:3, mucal [1] - 1139:1 misplaced [1] - 1199:2 motivation [1] -7, 10, 15, 18, 24; mug [1] - 1108:21 missing [1] - 1257:17 1080:7 1185:4, 8, 24; 1186:3, Mulligan [48] misspeaking [1] mouth [3] - 1105:10, 10, 12, 15, 21; 1187:1, 1079:15; 1142:10, 20; 1084:15 16; 1141:23 4, 8, 12, 14, 17-18, 1161:13, 18; 1162:16; mistake [2] - 1244:3; **move** [5] - 1087:8; 20, 23; 1188:3; 1163:5; 1164:7, 9; 1245:14 1094:12; 1143:6; 1189:19; 1190:1, 5; 1166:1, 8; 1169:8; mistaken [1] - 1244:22 1191:18; 1193:12, 15, 1245:23; 1285:1 1171:11; 1176:12; Mister [2] - 1231:12; 25; 1194:3; 1195:10, moved [2] - 1111:5; 1180:3; 1182:14; 1233:2 15, 17, 20, 25; 1196:2, 1143:2 1188:4; 1190:6; **MM** [4] - 1164:9; 4, 6-7, 22; 1197:1, 4; moves [4] - 1082:15; 1196:10; 1198:17; 1165:23; 1166:9; 1198:8, 12, 18, 25; 1145:20; 1164:24; 1199:16; 1200:6; 1290:20 1199:25; 1200:10; 1272:16 1201:9, 12; 1202:23; 1202:17, 20, 22; moment [14] - 1081:6; moving [2] - 1241:24; 1206:23; 1207:17, 19; 1203:14; 1204:2, 11, 1087:5; 1096:2; 1268:13 1274:7; 1275:3; 1276:6, 17, 21, 23; 1205:2, 14, 1104:20; 1161:3; 8, 13, 15; 1279:10; **MR** [434] - 1079:6, 10, 17, 22, 24; 1206:16; 1182:11; 1186:17; 12, 19, 22; 1080:11, 1282:8, 10, 14, 16; 1207:22; 1208:4, 8, 21, 1187:23; 1199:24; 1283:3; 1285:8, 17, 22; 17, 21, 24; 1081:15, 25; 1209:3, 19; 1218:20; 1263:11; 20; 1082:15, 18, 22; 1286:4, 9, 11, 20 1210:19, 25; 1212:7; 1271:15, 21; 1272:2 Mulligan's [8] -1083:1; 1086:8, 11; 1214:22; 1217:22; Monday [3] - 1282:20, 1087:3, 6, 9; 1092:11, 1194:20; 1200:1, 20; 1218:20, 24; 1219:2, 5; 22; 1283:18 14, 16; 1093:1, 7, 11, 1201:10, 22; 1206:18; 1220:3, 7, 10; 1221:4; **Monday..** [1] - 1080:10 14, 19, 22; 1094:8, 18, 1208:18; 1283:2 1222:16; 1224:2, 10, money [4] - 1127:15; 21, 25; 1095:8, 12, 16, Mulligans [1] - 1202:4 15; 1225:1, 13; 1226:8, 1171:13; 1172:3, 12 21, 24; 1096:5, 9, 12, multiple [1] - 1149:18 12; 1228:17, 20, 25; 14, 17, 20; 1098:13, monitors [1] - 1166:14 murder [28] - 1084:21; 1229:5, 8, 20, 22, 24; 20, 24; 1099:25; month [7] - 1089:22, 1086:19; 1088:21; 1230:1, 22; 1231:10, 1100:1-3, 5; 1101:8; 24; 1140:25; 1141:1; 1089:1, 24; 1102:16; 21; 1233:12; 1234:7; 1102:7, 10; 1103:14, 1155:19; 1195:5 1103:25; 1110:2; 1235:9; 1236:19, 21; 16, 18, 22; 1104:20, monthly [1] - 1152:8 1140:22; 1141:3; 1237:1, 3-4; 1238:7, 22; 1105:1, 4, 22, 24; 1143:4; 1144:6; 1210:6, months [8] - 1151:2-4; 12, 20; 1239:10, 16, 1106:7, 10, 12, 14; 12; 1213:1, 25; 1170:4; 1189:9; 18; 1240:1, 9, 23; 1107:3; 1110:13, 15; 1241:15, 19; 1242:2, 6, 1215:14; 1216:20; 1281:21; 1284:15, 25 1113:8, 11; 1114:7, 10, 1217:4; 1279:14; morning [48] - 1081:9, 9, 11, 13; 1244:3, 12, 12, 24; 1115:1, 9, 13; 1284:23; 1285:23; 19-20; 1245:21; 21; 1085:6, 10, 19; 1116:17, 19, 22, 25; 1286:1, 17; 1287:9, 18; 1247:21; 1250:7-9, 12; 1091:21; 1092:1; 1117:1, 4, 13, 16, 1288:2, 4

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 7 | 2 of 83 PageID # |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| murdered [1] - 1228:2 | 1188:13; 1206:1, 8;4075 | 1286:15 | 0 |
| murderer [1] - 1094:17 | 1213:20, 23; 1281:1 | notice [3] - 1169:13, | o'clock [5] - 1185:18; |
| murdering [1] - 1085:2 | NEW [1] - 1078:1 | 15; 1241:12 | 1197:10; 1228:15; |
| murders [3] - 1080:3, | new [1] - 1094:18 | noticed [1] - 1172:8 | 1257:11; 1288:17 |
| 6; 1131:10 | New [18] - 1078:13, 19, | notify [1] - 1121:25 | 030816 [1] - 1099:2 |
| must [1] - 1147:16 | 22; 1102:5; 1113:25; | November [2] - | oath [6] - 1081:13; |
| mutual [1] - 1192:13 | 1114:5; 1119:4; | 1179:18; 1180:12 | 1097:14; 1104:8; |
| 1102.10 | 1121:14; 1126:10; | number [154] - 1083:7; | 1114:9; 1179:10; 1181:1 |
| N | 1168:7; 1210:13, 17; | 1099:13; 1101:17, 19, | object [2] - 1148:10; |
| N.Y [1] - 1078:5 | 1211:14, 24; 1258:22, | 25; 1117:10; 1119:18, | 1185:10 |
| name [39] - 1087:19; | 25; 1260:21 | 21; 1130:13; 1136:3; | objecting [1] - 1237:1 |
| 1089:11; 1092:20; | Newark [2] - 1168:7 | 1152:18; 1154:18; | objection [75] - |
| 1099:23; 1108:1; | newspaper [3] - | 1155:1, 6, 14, 16-17; | 1082:17; 1087:3; |
| 1110:21; 1112:2; | 1105:13; 1109:6 | 1156:10, 12, 14, 18; | 1093:1, 12; 1097:18; |
| 1113:19; 1122:8, 11, | next [62] - 1101:10, | 1158:13, 17; 1160:7, | 1098:22; 1101:8; |
| 14; 1125:11; 1127:3; | 17; 1105:12; 1106:13, | 10; 1166:11; 1198:19; | 1102:7; 1103:14; |
| 1128:20; 1134:4; | 16; 1111:15; 1118:3; | 1211:10; 1215:17; | 1114:7, 10, 24; |
| 1142:10, 16; | 1125:21; 1130:18; | 1219:10; 1221:13, 21; | 1115:12; 1116:17, 25; |
| 1152:22-24; 1154:20; | 1133:14; 1142:15; | 1222:1-3, 13, 25; | 1117:13, 18; 1119:13, |
| 1155:5; 1158:10; | 1152:25; 1153:19, 24; | 1223:2, 18-19, 22, 25; 1224:4, 9, 13; 1226:2, | 19, 21; 1120:6, 11; |
| 1161:11; 1162:15; | 1155:10; 1180:11; | 7, 14, 17, 24; 1227:2, | 1123:19; 1128:11, 15; |
| 1170:1; 1181:24; | 1182:9; 1183:17; 1186:13; 1211:21; | 7, 16, 19, 23-24; | 1129:8, 14; 1132:16, |
| 1182:1; 1207:20; | 1217:25; 1218:2, 13; | 1228:18; 1229:20, 24; | 19; 1133:9, 11; 1139:5; |
| 1208:1, 11; 1228:21; | 1223:14, 16; 1226:19; | 1230:2, 25; 1231:18; | 1145:22; 1162:24; |
| 1230:17; 1245:5; | 1227:3; 1231:12, 18; | 1232:1, 6, 15, 21; | 1165:2; 1182:6; 1187:8, |
| 1255:10 | 1232:11, 13, 17-19; | 1233:5, 21; 1235:4; | 12, 14-15, 18; 1189:19; |
| named [2] - 1144:20; | 1233:3, 21-22; 1234:13; | 1236:9, 20; 1238:9, 17; | 1191:18; 1193:25; |
| 1288:8 | 1235:10; 1237:8; | 1239:21, 24-25; | 1194:3; 1195:10, 15, 25; 1196:2, 6, 22; |
| names [2] - 1131:11; | 1238:23; 1248:12, 14; | 1240:10, 15, 19; | 1210:19; 1222:16; |
| 1207:24 | 1249:13; 1255:2, 6, 24; | 1241:5, 7-8, 21-22; | 1224:2, 10, 15; 1225:1, |
| Nassau [5] - 1134:18, | 1256:4, 6, 22, 24; | 1242:19; 1245:4, 10, | 13; 1226:8; 1230:22; |
| 20; 1135:14; 1136:12; | 1262:2; 1263:13; | 13, 15-16, 19, 23, 25; | 1231:10, 21; 1233:12; |
| 1143:13 | 1265:1; 1269:19; | 1246:11-14; 1248:11; | 1237:4; 1241:4, 19; |
| native [2] - 1162:5, 11 | 1270:15; 1271:4, 6; | 1250:21, 23; 1251:11, | 1245:21; 1254:8; |
| nature [1] - 1283:2 | 1273:5, 7; 1277:9 | 18; 1252:16, 18-19, 23; 1253:10, 13-15; | 1257:18, 20; 1264:22; |
| necessarily [2] - | NEXTEL [2] - 1155:14; | 1254:18; 1255:7; | 1266:4, 12; 1272:18 |
| 1121:20; 1147:21 | 1159:16 | 1256:1; 1257:1; 1258:9, | objections [1] - |
| necessary [4] - | nice [3] - 1278:24; | 11; 1259:25; 1260:1, | 1130:2 |
| 1103:20; 1190:2; | 1282:3; 1288:16 | 14; 1261:8; 1262:3, 5, | obtain [2] - 1150:4; |
| 1198:11; 1202:14 | night [6] - 1091:7; | 15; 1264:12; 1267:5-7, | 1254:17 |
| need [7] - 1095:25; | 1092:7; 1094:2; | 25; 1268:7, 10-11, | obtained [1] - 1188:6 |
| 1147:3; 1184:25; | 1173:11; 1174:6; | 18-19, 25; 1269:6, 9, | obviously [8] - |
| 1186:8; 1259:19; 1276:12; 1279:25 | 1257:14 | 11, 16-17; 1270:6, 13, | 1080:19; 1157:1; |
| | nine [4] - 1092:4; | 23; 1271:4, 7; 1275:15; | 1201:15; 1242:25; |
| needed [1] - 1080:4 | 1105:15, 18; 1211:18 | 1278:7 | 1269:5; 1284:17; |
| needlessly [1] - | Nine [1] - 1105:10 | numbers [31] - 1093:6, | 1286:9; 1287:6 |
| 1240:7 | none [1] - 1248:19 | 15; 1096:21; 1098:15; | occasion [4] - 1084:9, |
| needs [2] - 1150:17; | nonpayment [1] - | 1102:12; 1144:19; | 23; 1137:22; 1143:8 |
| 1275:7 | 1212:24 | 1145:17; 1151:8; | occasions [3] - |
| negate [1] - 1284:23 | note [2] - 1212:2; | 1160:16; 1214:3, 22; | 1136:3; 1215:18; |
| Negro [2] - 1113:19; | 1278:13 | 1221:10; 1224:17, 19, | 1268:25 |
| 1115:16 | notes [5] - 1110:10; | 21-22; 1234:3; 1239:19; | occurred [8] - |
| network [5] - 1150:15, | 1226:5; 1242:2; 1271:13 | 1240:4, 6, 18; 1242:24; 1243:2, 7; 1244:4, 23; | 1160:13; 1173:6; |
| 23; 1151:3, 5, 19 | nothing [8] - 1117:23; | 1251:15; 1266:6, 21; | 1217:25; 1256:2; |
| never [15] - 1096:24; | 1129:16; 1193:3; | 1272:13 | 1276:24; 1279:9, 12; |
| 1097:4; 1104:11; | 1196:17; 1197:1; | NYPD [4] - 1087:25; | 1281:18 |
| 1132:19; 1177:17; | 1199:18; 1249:7; | 1090:1; 1107:7 | occurrences [1] - |
| | | | |
| - | • | • | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 7: | <mark>β of 83 PageID #:</mark> |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1217:1 | 1085:25 4076 | 1133:11; 1141:20; | 1121:25; 1150:18; |
| occurs [1] - 1216:9 | ones [3] - 1080:19; | 1162:25; 1191:19; | 1171:13; 1172:13; 19 |
| 0F [3] - 1078:1, 3, 6 | 1148:9; 1257:23 | 1194:4; 1196:23; | 1189:15 |
| offer [3] - 1127:15; | open [11] - 1098:21; | 1224:16; 1230:24 | painted [3] - 1285:10, |
| 1165:11; 1192:25 | 1134:1; 1135:7; 1147:9; | overruling [1] - | 14; 1286:2 |
| offered [1] - 1143:9 | 1168:19; 1169:17; | 1120:6 | painting [3] - |
| | 1236:2; 1239:2; 1266:2; | OWEN [1] - 1078:20 | 1285:17, 24; 1286:19 |
| Office [6] - 1118:17; | 1278:2, 21 | own [6] - 1129:6, 13; | Palau [3] - 1154:21; |
| 1249:19; 1254:3, 7, 10; | opened [7] - 1168:18; | 1146:16; 1195:14; | 1155:4; 1159:11 |
| 1280:20 | 1169:19; 1172:7; | 1259:11; 1284:1 | palm [1] - 1139:1 |
| office [7] - 1143:18, | 1179:12; 1190:17; | owned [5] - 1123:3, 17; | paper [4] - 1170:7, 9, |
| 25; 1161:23; 1193:19; | 1191:1; 1203:25 | 1124:2, 4, 8 | 11; 1246:1 |
| 1254:23 | opens [1] - 1094:18 | owner [3] - 1121:6; | |
| officer [3] - 1128:23; | operated [2] - 1123:4; | 1123:23; 1174:24 | paragraphs [1] - |
| 1134:23; 1214:24 | 1124:8 | owners [4] - 1120:24; | 1170:20 |
| officers [2] - 1090:2; | operating [1] - 1281:2 | 1121:3; 1123:11 | parameters [1] - |
| 1113:21 | 1 | | 1224:18 |
| offices [1] - 1281:1 | operation [1] - | ownership [2] - | pardon [1] - 1219:12 |
| often [1] - 1150:7 | 1212:17 | 1120:1; 1121:12 | parenthesis [2] - |
| 01d [2] - 1078:18; | opinion [1] - 1208:17 | P | 1246:16 |
| 1135:18 | opportunity [7] - | - | part [9] - 1086:1; |
| old [5] - 1135:16; | 1094:22; 1102:25; | p.m [50] - 1153:21, 25; | 1093:11; 1103:3; |
| 1141:4; 1170:1, 3; | 1131:22; 1137:14; | 1154:1, 3-4, 13; | 1107:24; 1142:8; |
| 1195:5 | 1143:7, 23; 1281:20 | 1157:6, 16-17, 22; | 1144:20; 1167:16; |
| once [6] - 1130:4, 14; | opposed [1] - 1151:20 | 1215:5; 1222:10; | 1199:11; 1214:18 |
| 1137:20; 1276:25 | order [5] - 1131:9; | 1223:3, 17; 1225:16, | particular [23] - |
| one [88] - 1079:7; | 1147:14; 1150:21; | 20, 22-23; 1226:3, 23; | 1080:16; 1094:2; |
| 1084:2; 1085:25; | 1269:6; 1284:25 | 1227:5, 9, 13, 17; | 1108:11; 1125:12; |
| 1087:11, 13, 20; | orient [1] - 1148:13 | 1229:19; 1230:12; | 1135:22; 1147:11, |
| 1093:15; 1100:24; | original [3] - 1211:2; | 1231:19; 1232:4, 11; | 16-17; 1148:18, 20, 24; |
| 1104:11; 1113:1; | 1212:2, 13 | 1233:2, 22; 1236:3, 8; 1248:10; 1268:7, 22-23; | 1149:19; 1151:4; |
| 1119:18; 1120:14; | originated [7] - | 1269:17, 24-25; | 1156:5, 10; 1171:25; |
| 1121:9; 1124:16, 21; | 1211:13, 21; 1213:6, | 1270:18; 1271:1, 5, 8 | 1185:11; 1199:19; |
| 1125:13; 1132:4, 11-12; | 22; 1215:16; 1230:13; | PA-14 [6] - 1082:4, 16, | 1210:5; 1235:4; 1259:9 |
| 1138:9; 1140:25; | 1270:23 | | particularly [2] - |
| 1141:1, 16; 1144:5; | originates [4] - | 20; 1083:3; 1290:19 | 1209:22; 1215:13 |
| 1148:14, 19; 1150:8; | 1218:2, 14, 17; 1270:16 | page [64] - 1084:16; | partner [1] - 1174:24 |
| 1151:17; 1153:19; | originating [3] - | 1092:18, 25; 1096:21; | partners [2] - |
| 1154:15; 1157:11; | 1157:23; 1258:11; | 1097:21; 1098:25; | 1120:24; 1121:21 |
| 1159:17; 1161:3; | 1268:20 | 1133:14; 1154:20, 22; | parts [2] - 1130:5; |
| 1170:8; 1173:11; | outbound [12] - | 1155:10, 13; 1156:23; | 1200:11 |
| 1178:9; 1181:8; 1183:7, | 1152:3, 6; 1156:8, | 1158:6; 1159:17; | party [4] - 1112:18; |
| 10; 1184:19; 1185:11, | 11-13; 1210:14; 1212:4; | 1166:1, 10, 12, 15; 1167:7; 1170:20; | 1129:2; 1143:1; 1199:12 |
| 14; 1187:23; 1192:5; | 1215:8; 1230:13; 1236:7 | 1181:8; 1183:17; | passed [9] - 1172:5, 7, |
| 1193:24; 1198:19; | outgoing [3] - 1150:8; | 1207:25; 1209:22, 25; | 9, 16; 1174:12; 1178:6; |
| 1200:13; 1203:6; | 1214:5; 1227:6 | 1210:2; 1212:20, 22; | 1192:4, 22; 1193:2 |
| 1204:24; 1206:11, 22; | outline [4] - 1082:8; | 1213:2, 12-13; 1214:9, | passport [12] - |
| 1207:18; 1211:9; | 1105:6, 9 | 18; 1216:2; 1217:15; | 1164:14, 25; 1165:7, |
| 1212:13; 1215:11; | outside [5] - 1083:24; | 1229:3; 1234:13; | 14, 17; 1166:2, 8, |
| 1221:11; 1228:4, 11; | 1086:8; 1087:3; 1169:7; | 1235:10; 1237:8; | 10-11, 15; 1190:11 |
| 1232:9; 1236:8, 24; | 1186:17 | 1238:23; 1247:19, 24; | past [1] - 1144:3 |
| 1240:11, 13, 19; | | 1248:1, 5, 7; 1250:16, | patrol [1] - 1134:23 |
| 1248:13; 1249:16, 19; | overlapping [1] - | 19; 1252:8; 1260:23-25; | pause [10] - 1186:18; |
| 1252:25; 1256:23; | 1149:19 | 1261:1, 3; 1263:13; | |
| 1259:9; 1262:21; | overlaps [1] - 1167:16 | 1265:1; 1270:11; | 1198:13; 1207:16; |
| 1264:10; 1271:15, 21; | overruled [16] - | 1273:7; 1277:9 | 1219:17, 22; 1247:5; |
| 1276:4; 1280:21; | 1086:10; 1098:22; | pages [2] - 1159:18; | 1259:21; 1267:19; 1271:19; 1280:3 |
| 1283:10, 13, 16-18, 20; | 1102:8; 1116:20; | 1215:12 | |
| 1284:9 | 1117:14; 1120:11; | paid [6] - 1085:18; | Pause [1] - 1161:5 |
| one-piece [1] - | 1128:16; 1132:16; | | pay [11] - 1211:13, |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 7 | 4 of 83 PageID #: |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 16-17, 23, 25; 1216:16; | 1101:12, 18-19, 24- 4577 | 1251:8, 10, 13-14, 17; | 1256:24; 1261:19; |
| 1218:3, 11, 14, 16 | 1102:11, 18; 1105:14; | 1252:7, 13-14, 16, | 1262:15; 1264:2; 20 |
| payments [1] - 1124:23 | 1110:17; 1112:1, 5, 19; | 18-19, 23, 25; 1253:3, | 1266:2; 1270:24; |
| payoff [1] - 1203:22 | 1116:23; 1117:5, 8, 10; | 5, 13-16, 21-22, 24-25; | 1271:7; 1274:2; 1278:2 |
| payroll [1] - 1124:18 | 1128:23; 1143:9, 18; | 1254:18; 1255:2, 7-8; | placed [2] - 1254:6, 9 |
| Pellegrino [18] - | 1144:19; 1145:17; | 1256:3, 14, 22, 24; | play [20] - 1130:3, 10, |
| 1165:9; 1174:15, 24; | 1147:13, 17, 19, 22; | 1257:1, 3, 13, 21; | 18; 1177:23; 1179:25; |
| 1175:3, 8, 14, 18; | 1148:8, 10-11; 1149:19, | 1258:21; 1259:25; | 1180:1; 1182:13; |
| 1176:4; 1179:21; | 21, 24; 1150:2, 5, 7-9, | 1260:11, 14, 24; | 1183:7, 12-13, 16; |
| 1190:18; 1193:16; | 15, 18; 1151:7, 16, 18, | 1261:3, 8, 18, 25; | 1184:11; 1186:2; |
| 1194:2, 6, 24; 1196:13; | 20-21, 24; 1152:9, | 1262:5, 13, 15, 19; | 1190:1, 3; 1203:9, 11; |
| 1199:3, 5; 1201:4 | 18-21; 1153:2, 6-7, 25; | 1263:8; 1264:9, 11; | 1204:5; 1279:19, 23 |
| Pellegrino's [1] - | 1154:5, 8-9, 12, 14; | 1266:19, 21; 1267:8, | played [24] - 1130:3, |
| 1193:18 | 1155:11, 14, 16-17; | 10, 13, 24-25; 1268:6, | 14-15; 1131:18; 1138:6, |
| pen [1] - 1160:1 | 1156:10, 14, 18-19; | 12-13, 20; 1269:2, 5, | 8; 1140:13; 1141:13; |
| people [13] - 1094:9; | 1157:5-7, 17, 20, 22; | 9-12, 16-17, 22, 25; | 1142:6; 1173:19; |
| 1097:9; 1102:5; 1113:5; | 1158:11, 17-19, 22-23; | 1270:6, 11, 13, 18, 23; | 1177:14; 1179:5; |
| 1114:5, 16; 1128:9; | 1159:1, 6, 13-14, 19, | 1271:3, 6-7; 1272:11, | 1180:1, 4, 9, 13; |
| 1131:11; 1143:23; | 22; 1160:4, 8, 12; 1176:16; 1178:23; | 13; 1275:19; 1276:15 | 1184:13; 1186:24; |
| 1152:9; 1165:11; | 1179:16; 1176:23; | phones [9] - 1095:14; | 1202:17; 1204:13; |
| 1278:19; 1283:24 | 1181:2; 1182:14, 18; | 1149:18; 1150:2, 16; 1157:9; 1221:13; | 1276:4; 1279:17; 1280:6 |
| per [3] - 1139:1; | 1183:10; 1186:11; | · · | Plaza [2] - 1078:13, 22 |
| 1249:19; 1258:25 | 1193:24; 1202:23; | 1231:8; 1238:11; 1248:23 | plead [1] - 1088:6 |
| perceptions [1] - | 1203:8; 1204:15; | | plus [1] - 1159:21 |
| 1280:21 | 1205:23; 1210:2, 8, 15, | <pre>photo [2] - 1108:21; 1111:6</pre> | point [26] - 1079:23; |
| perform [2] - 1119:1; | 21; 1211:2, 5, 8, 10, | | 1088:11; 1090:13; |
| 1120:20 | 13, 15-18, 21-23, 25; | phrase [3] - 1129:3; | 1093:9; 1094:12; |
| | 1212:2, 5-6, 13, 17; | 1149:4; 1281:3 | 1108:22; 1112:22, 24; |
| perhaps [3] - 1079:20; | 1213:6, 10, 16, 19, | physical [2] - 1164:7; | 1120:7; 1127:4; 1130:9, |
| 1094:13; 1127:25 | 22-23; 1214:3, 10, 17, | 1182:24 | 16-17; 1136:19; 1137:6; |
| period [7] - 1119:6; | 20, 22; 1215:6, 15, 17, | physically [2] - | 1141:4; 1142:9; |
| 1126:12; 1135:23; | 23; 1216:7, 16-17; | 1169:4; 1173:14 | 1165:12; 1172:21; |
| 1163:11; 1202:5; 1253:23; 1270:10 | 1217:5, 9, 11, 19-20; | pick [2] - 1091:23; | 1185:1; 1202:16; |
| | 1218:1-3, 8, 11-12, 14, | 1262:10 | 1204:24; 1206:22; |
| periodically [1] - 1150:21 | 16-18; 1219:6; 1220:16, | picking [1] - 1223:9 | 1208:19; 1240:22; |
| | 22; 1221:1, 10, 13, 15, | PICOZZI [1] - 1078:21 | 1282:17 |
| periods [1] - 1145:17 | 18, 20-21, 23; 1222:1, | picture [1] - 1103:12 | police [4] - 1113:6, |
| person [14] - 1101:4; | 8, 13, 17, 19-20, 22; | piece [6] - 1085:25; | 21; 1114:17; 1128:23 |
| 1113:17; 1132:12; | 1223:2, 5-6, 10-11, 15, | 1130:24; 1131:8; | Police [9] - 1113:25; |
| 1199:4; 1200:9; | 19, 21, 24; 1224:4, 9, | 1151:22; 1170:9 | 1114:6; 1134:18, 21; |
| 1224:25; 1230:15; | 13, 17; 1225:5, 17, 25; | pieces [1] - 1170:7 | 1136:12; 1143:13, 16; |
| 1231:1; 1233:2, 11; | 1226:2, 16, 20; 1227:3, | pillowcase [2] - | 1210:13, 17 |
| 1283:10, 16; 1288:9 | 7, 16, 23-25; 1228:14, | 1086:22 | portion [14] - 1119:24; |
| personal [1] - 1083:9 | 21; 1229:6, 8, 11-12, 20, 22, 24; 1230:7, | pistol [1] - 1088:20 | 1131:18; 1140:16; |
| personally [3] - | 12-13, 25; 1231:3, 7, | Pistone [12] - 1284:5, | 1142:22; 1178:9; |
| 1117:15; 1127:19; | 13-15, 18; 1232:18, | 17-19, 22; 1285:5; | 1183:2; 1185:22; |
| 1220:21 | 21-22, 24; 1233:3, 5-6, | 1286:15; 1287:2, 4-5, | 1212:22; 1216:7; |
| pertain [1] - 1200:9 | 24; 1234:6-8; 1236:4, | 15, 25 | 1240:17; 1270:11; |
| pertinent [4] - | 6-7, 9-10, 15, 17, 20; | place [32] - 1091:5; | 1279:23; 1280:8 |
| 1148:21, 25; 1149:5; | 1238:4, 9-10, 16; | 1093:4; 1094:17; | portions [4] - |
| 1224:1 | 1239:24; 1240:1; | 1096:16; 1102:21; | 1131:17; 1138:2; |
| Peter [5] - 1100:24; | 1241:5; 1242:19; | 1106:4; 1109:14; | 1182:14; 1270:22 |
| 1101:3, 5; 1284:19 | 1244:4, 6, 21; 1245:4, | 1115:4; 1119:17; | position [7] - |
| ph [1] - 1139:1 | 11, 19, 25; 1246:2, 4, | 1131:23; 1140:24; | 1206:12; 1254:6, 9; |
| phone [418] - 1093:15, | 12, 14, 19, 21; 1248:6, | 1141:8; 1158:22; | 1275:17, 20; 1280:11; |
| 24; 1094:5, 16, 24; | 10-11, 13, 16-17, 21; | 1165:4; 1198:3; | 1281:5 |
| 1095:1; 1096:18, 22-24; | 1249:3, 5, 8, 11, 13, | 1203:18; 1217:2, 5; | positive [2] - |
| 1097:1, 7, 11-13, 16, | 20; 1250:21, 23; | 1228:5; 1235:2; 1236:2; | 1088:24; 1193:22 |
| 25; 1098:10, 14-15, 19; | | 1238:2; 1239:2; | possession [3] - |
| | l | <u> </u> | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 7 : | 5 of 83 PageID #: |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1088:4; 1104:12; | 1196:16, 18; 1281:1 <mark>4078</mark> | 1092:11; 1138:2 | quickly [2] - 1214:16; |
| 1182:25 | prisoner [1] - 1283:9 | published [1] - | 1266:15 21 |
| postmark [1] - 1169:13 | privileges [1] - | 1082:24 | quite [1] - 1148:23 |
| practicing [1] - | 1287:25 | pull [2] - 1095:23; | |
| 1126:12 | privy [1] - 1287:19 | 1259:2 | R |
| precinct [5] - | problem [9] - 1146:16, | purchase [1] - 1105:14 | raise [1] - 1125:23 |
| 1135:13; 1215:17, 21 | 21; 1178:20; 1235:3; | purchasing [1] - | raised [1] - 1080:12 |
| precisely [1] - | 1244:10; 1266:18; | 1279:4 | raising [3] - 1285:2; |
| 1186:14 | 1274:12; 1277:1 | purpose [9] - 1138:12; | 1287:23, 25 |
| predicate [1] - 1288:6 | procedure [1] - | 1144:17; 1165:7; | rambling [1] - 1173:25 |
| premature [1] - | 1138:17 | 1195:2, 8, 13; 1196:13; | range [1] - 1107:23 |
| 1119:20 | proceed [2] - 1133:12; | 1264:6; 1279:19 | ransom [2] - 1170:25; |
| prep [1] - 1206:18 | 1186:22 | purposely [2] - 1095:9 | 1171:1 |
| preparation [1] - | proceedings [10] - | purposes [7] - 1080:8; | RAPAPORT [1] - 1078:20 |
| 1155:12 | 1186:19; 1195:23; | 1121:16; 1132:18; | · - |
| prepare [4] - 1120:21; | 1198:14; 1219:18, 23; | 1155:6; 1164:25; 1186:4 | rather [2] - 1080:8; |
| 1121:15; 1144:23; | 1247:6; 1259:22; | pursuant [1] - 1161:22 | 1199:16 |
| 1148:6 | 1267:20; 1271:20; | pursue [1] - 1139:11 | ray [1] - 1105:17 |
| prepared [5] - | 1280:4 | put [24] - 1085:3; | reach [1] - 1189:24 |
| 1080:21; 1137:23; | Proceedings [1] - | 1086:21-25; 1105:21; | reached [2] - 1199:11; |
| 1145:11; 1148:8; | 1078:24 | 1133:5; 1138:1; | 1204:8 |
| 1259:14 | process [2] - 1124:18, | 1140:11; 1141:7, 10; | reaching [1] - 1147:15 |
| preparing [1] - 1125:6 | 23 | 1142:3; 1146:16; | read [29] - 1120:13; |
| preponderance [2] - | procuring [1] - | 1160:1; 1217:15; | 1131:24; 1144:8, 24; |
| 1198:21; 1199:13 | 1254:14 | 1257:19; 1258:14; | 1145:15; 1148:9; |
| presence [6] - | produce [1] - 1208:22 | 1284:9; 1287:3, 14 | 1164:10; 1167:12; |
| 1096:25; 1139:9; | produced [8] - | | 1170:21; 1171:4; |
| 1140:6; 1142:18; | 1078:25; 1151:11; | Q | 1172:8; 1173:1; 1174:11; 1175:2, 16, |
| 1175:16; 1241:20 | 1155:13; 1248:19; | quality [1] - 1200:7 | 18; 1177:24; 1178:21; |
| present [4] - 1122:7, | 1249:16, 18; 1253:21 | quarter [1] - 1185:16 | 1205:25; 1207:18; |
| 22; 1141:25; 1180:2 | professional [1] - | Quebec [13] - 1162:4; | 1208:2; 1229:22; |
| presented [2] - | 1127:17 | 1163:20, 23; 1165:16; | 1238:19; 1240:10; |
| 1147:25; 1241:11 | program [5] - 1091:14, | 1166:16, 21, 23; | 1245:2; 1246:1; |
| presently [1] - | 16-17, 19 | 1167:9, 16; 1168:4; | 1268:15; 1278:15 |
| 1106:24 | promptly [1] - 1278:6 | 1174:11; 1190:14 | reading [2] - 1109:5; |
| pressing [1] - 1205:17 | proof [4] - 1131:9; | questioned [1] - | 1171:8 |
| pretrial [1] - 1132:22 | 1165:11; 1198:20; | 1127:1 | ready [9] - 1079:7, 19, |
| <pre>pretty [3] - 1103:12;</pre> | 1207:11 | questioning [2] - | 21; 1081:9; 1184:2, 10; |
| 1178:21; 1256:10 | propensity [1] - | 1127:7; 1158:21 | 1209:8, 15; 1244:17 |
| previous [1] - 1171:6 | 1080:8 | questionnaires [1] - | real [1] - 1278:24 |
| previously [9] - | proper [2] - 1254:11, | 1284:10 | really [3] - 1088:3; |
| 1081:17; 1093:5; | 13 | questions [37] - | 1089:6; 1150:14 |
| 1108:21; 1158:5; | proponent [3] - | 1079:8; 1081:23; | reason [10] - 1091:10; |
| 1162:20; 1190:6, 10; | 1198:20; 1199:12, 16 | 1082:1; 1083:13; | 1094:9; 1105:11; |
| 1209:11; 1252:14 | prosecutor [1] - | 1084:6; 1090:11, 25; 1093:17, 23; 1096:17; | 1115:10; 1163:24; |
| principal [1] - | 1102:24 | 1093:17, 23; 1096:17; | 1181:19; 1194:24; |
| 1120:24 | <pre>protect [3] - 1195:11;</pre> | 1109:9; 1113:8; | 1209:1; 1240:3; 1285:7 |
| principals [2] - | 1196:15 | 1122:18; 1125:15; | reasons [4] - 1105:10, |
| 1121:20; 1144:20 | protection [1] - | 1127:10; 1138:10; | 15; 1141:16; 1285:20 |
| print [1] - 1136:14 | 1195:14 | 1176:8; 1187:7, 20; | receive [3] - 1121:15; |
| <pre>printed [1] - 1206:24</pre> | provided [4] - 1120:2, | 1188:4, 25; 1189:2; | 1143:19; 1176:20 |
| <pre>prints [3] - 1136:16,</pre> | 23; 1151:14; 1182:17 | 1193:12; 1206:5, 7; | received [29] - |
| 18; 1139:1 | provides [1] - 1151:15 | 1207:4; 1218:24; | 1082:19, 21; 1128:3; |
| prison [14] - 1163:2, | PTN [1] - 1155:15 | 1243:2; 1271:24; | 1144:7, 9; 1154:16; |
| 6, 10, 17, 21; 1166:17; | public [2] - 1211:16 | 1272:22, 24; 1281:10 | 1165:23; 1178:23; |
| 1167:4, 24; 1168:14; | Public [1] - 1118:24 | queue [3] - 1142:2; | 1179:12, 15; 1182:21; 1188:16, 19; 1189:9, |
| 1173:7; 1195:1; | <pre>publish [3] - 1082:22;</pre> | 1185:19 | 1.00.10, 10, 1100.0, |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 | iled 05/21/13 Page 7 | 6 of 93 PanelD # |
|-------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 14; 1191:23; 1201:21; | 1143:9, 15; 1144:2,4 0 2 7 9 | 1251:14 | relatively [1] - |
| 1203:25; 1206:25; | 17-18, 20; 1145:4, | referenced [2] - | 1131:7 22 |
| 1207:2, 18; 1211:12; | 11-12, 15-16; 1146:6, | 1171:4; 1281:13 | relevance [3] - |
| 1212:10; 1264:24; | 11, 13; 1147:12, 18-19, | references [1] - | 1162:24; 1165:4, 14 |
| 1272:21; 1290:17, 19 | 22, 24-25; 1148:8, 10, | 1131:15 | |
| receiving [2] - | 12; 1149:18, 24; | referencing [1] - | relevant [1] - 1280:22 |
| 1126:20; 1180:19 | 1150:2, 4-5, 22; | 1228:25 | rely [2] - 1127:24; |
| Recess [1] - 1197:11 | 1151:4, 12, 16; 1152:3, | | 1147:22 |
| recess [4] - 1081:1; | 17; 1153:3, 5-6, 18; | referral [1] - 1128:21 | remains [1] - 1156:25 |
| 1129:25; 1160:23; | 1155:11, 14; 1158:2, | referrals [1] - 1128:3 | remember [32] - |
| 1243:10 | 16, 24-25; 1159:2, 8; | referred [7] - 1129:5; | 1090:10; 1100:15; |
| recognize [6] - | 1160:9; 1210:8; 1211:2, | 1132:13; 1137:15; | 1105:11; 1123:11; |
| 1082:5, 7; 1111:6; | 8; 1212:2, 13; 1213:15, | 1155:7; 1207:19; | 1129:3; 1138:4; 1177:7, |
| 1145:8, 10; 1164:13 | 21; 1214:1; 1215:23; | 1214:23; 1248:5 | 18, 21, 25; 1178:5, 7, |
| recollect [2] - | 1216:7; 1217:9, 11; | referring [22] - | 10; 1179:17; 1181:4; |
| 1276:23 | 1219:6, 8; 1221:3; | 1087:14; 1091:16; | 1182:5; 1187:10; |
| recollection [29] - | 1224:5; 1227:1, 15; | 1100:6; 1130:21; | 1188:7, 9; 1200:23; |
| 1092:3; 1095:20, 24; | 1228:14, 21; 1229:6, 9, | 1147:4; 1152:22; | 1202:24; 1203:2, 14; |
| 1167:2, 22; 1171:18; | 11; 1230:7; 1231:4, 7, | 1154:24; 1155:2; | 1207:7, 9; 1210:16; |
| 1177:15; 1181:12; | 15; 1236:15, 17; | 1164:17; 1167:15; | 1238:13; 1240:15; 1279:18 |
| 1188:16, 19, 22; | 1241:16; 1246:21, 24; | 1171:10; 1175:24; | |
| 1189:13; 1198:23; | 1247:17, 19; 1248:6, | 1216:12; 1228:18; 1229:25; 1236:20; | remembered [1] - 1286:6 |
| 1200:7; 1201:13; | 13, 17; 1249:3, 7; | 1238:9; 1239:24; | |
| 1203:4, 21; 1205:6; | 1251:12, 18, 21; | 1241:5; 1270:3; 1280:10 | remembers [2] - |
| 1224:8, 13; 1244:6; | 1252:7; 1253:18, 20, 23, 25; 1254:5, 12-13, | refers [2] - 1156:17; | 1200:25; 1201:2 |
| 1245:3; 1251:1; 1255:9; | 15, 20; 1257:22; | 1267:25 | reminding [2] - |
| 1276:10-12; 1281:12, 17 | 1259:2, 13, 19; | | 1280:13 |
| recollections [1] - | 1260:19; 1262:1; | reflect [2] - 1137:10; 1281:21 | remove [1] - 1087:10 |
| 1182:16 | 1263:5; 1264:4; 1268:6, | | Reonegro [1] - 1284:7 |
| recommend [1] - | 13; 1269:4; 1270:9; | reflects [2] - | repainted [1] - 1286:5 |
| 1274:10 | 1271:10; 1272:11 | 1166:16; 1167:8 | repeat [1] - 1221:16 |
| record [30] - 1119:23; | recovered [4] - | refresh [5] - 1177:14; 1224:8, 13; 1244:6; | repeatedly [1] - |
| 1134:5; 1137:10; | 1139:19, 23; 1140:1, 3 | 124:6, 13; 1244:6; | 1235:4 |
| 1138:8; 1140:15; | recreate [1] - 1147:4 | | repetition [1] - |
| 1141:10; 1143:18; | RECROSS [4] - 1100:4; | regard [5] - 1198:16; | 1130:7 |
| 1144:8; 1146:5; | 1193:14; 1289:4; 1290:7 | 1200:6; 1206:12; | repetitious [6] - |
| 1153:12; 1154:20; | RECROSS-EXAMINATION | 1207:4; 1240:24 | 1130:10, 17; 1131:5; |
| 1161:11; 1162:1; | [4] - 1100:4; 1193:14; | regarding [6] - | 1132:8; 1133:10; 1139:7 |
| 1167:15; 1182:21; | 1289:4; 1290:7 | 1091:1; 1120:24; | replay [3] - 1184:24; |
| 1184:20; 1204:6; | red [3] - 1139:14, 19; | 1138:17; 1200:22; | 1185:1 |
| 1206:12; 1210:11; | 1167:15 | 1254:14; 1284:15 regardless [1] - | report [10] - 1145:13; |
| 1214:15; 1216:13; | redacted [1] - 1080:21 | 1091:3 | 1156:13; 1159:24; |
| 1229:23; 1240:10; | | | 1163:5; 1212:20; |
| 1248:14; 1250:8; | redirect [8] - 1079:11; 1081:12; | regards [3] - 1146:19; 1176:24; 1187:5 | 1227:14; 1248:19, 25; |
| 1251:17; 1254:17; | 1206:5, 12, 17; 1207:5; | | 1278:11 |
| 1263:8; 1266:5; 1268:1 | 1238:15; 1241:2 | region [1] - 1162:3 | reported [5] - |
| recorded [9] - | REDIRECT [10] - | registered [9] - | 1163:10, 16; 1167:4; |
| 1078:24; 1150:8; | 1081:19; 1105:3; | 1220:22; 1221:14, 18, | 1248:14; 1278:15 |
| 1210:13; 1212:6; | 1125:4; 1188:2; 1272:6; | 20, 25; 1225:5; | Reporter [1] - 1078:20 |
| 1213:24; 1226:24; | 1289:3, 5, 12; 1290:6, | 1236:24; 1238:5; 1245:4 | reporter [4] - |
| 1232:19; 1249:7; 1267:5 | 11 | registration [2] - | 1097:23; 1184:20, 22; |
| recording [4] - | refer [5] - 1080:18; | 1220:25; 1242:14 | 1205:14 |
| 1137:23; 1138:9; | 1127:21; 1246:9; | regular [1] - 1151:20 | reporting [4] - |
| 1205:9; 1276:13 | 1249:25; 1250:22 | relate [1] - 1275:25 | 1121:16; 1167:24; |
| recordings [1] - | reference [8] - | related [2] - 1080:5; | 1168:14; 1173:6 |
| 1184:23 | 1085:14; 1139:3, 9; | 1143:13 | reports [2] - 1121:19; |
| records [128] - | 1140:18; 1141:15; | relates [1] - 1275:25 | 1210:17 |
| 1093:15; 1094:13, 19; | 1142:22; 1218:16; | relationship [4] - | represent [8] - |
| 1120:2, 24; 1124:9; | | 1118:5; 1220:12, 20 | |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 7 | 7 of 83 PageID #: |
|------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 1112:3, 9; 1126:25; | returns [2] - 1120 42080 | 19; 1183:9, 15; 1184:7, | 1258:22 |
| 1127:15; 1147:24; | 23 | 10, 15, 18, 24; 1185:4, | ROTH [1] - 1126:4 23 |
| 1149:11; 1153:23; | | 8, 24; 1186:3, 10, 12, | Roth's [2] - 1215:17; |
| 1176:1 | reverse [1] - 1153:23 | 15, 21; 1187:1, 4, 17, | 1260:1 |
| representation [3] - | review [4] - 1137:22; | 20; 1189:19; 1190:1; | |
| 1082:11; 1216:24; | 1143:8; 1211:7; 1278:15 | 1191:18; 1193:15; | roughly [1] - 1168:17 |
| 1217:1 | reviewed [2] - | 1195:17, 20; 1196:4, 7; | rounds [1] - 1105:18 |
| represented [4] - | 1144:15, 17 | 1197:1; 1198:18, 25; | route [1] - 1092:2 |
| 1112:16; 1126:15; | revolver [1] - 1087:25 | 1199:25; 1202:17, 20, | rubric [2] - 1119:11; |
| 1127:19; 1217:3 | RG-2 [1] - 1111:5 | 22; 1203:14; 1204:2, | 1120:17 |
| representing [1] - | Rica [1] - 1163:15 | 17, 23; 1205:2, 14, 17, | Ruger [1] - 1084:10 |
| 1127:6 | Richard [2] - 1284:6, | 22, 24; 1208:21; | rule [2] - 1202:9; |
| represents [2] - | 11 | 1210:19, 25; 1214:22; | 1275:10 |
| | RICO [1] - 1288:6 | 1217:22; 1219:2, 5; | ruled [1] - 1284:15 |
| 1110:24; 1160:17 | ride [3] - 1091:1, 13, | 1220:3, 7, 10; 1221:4; | rules [1] - 1275:9 |
| request [2] - 1080:1; | 24 | 1226:9; 1228:20; | ruling [1] - 1132:15 |
| 1138:1 | rising [1] - 1185:9 | 1229:5, 8, 22; 1230:1; | rulings [2] - 1284:20; |
| requested [1] - | Road [1] - 1078:18 | 1234:8; 1235:9; | 1288:5 |
| 1170:24 | | 1236:21; 1237:3; | |
| require [1] - 1131:12 | Rob [1] - 1285:16 | 1238:20; 1240:1, 9; | run [1] - 1079:24 |
| research [2] - | robbery [3] - 1135:12; | 1241:15; 1242:2, 6, 9, | ruse [2] - 1090:14 |
| 1143:24; 1278:22 | 1138:20; 1139:4 | 11, 13; 1244:3, 19-20; | |
| residence [3] - | Robert [1] - 1135:25 | 1247:21; 1250:7, 9, 12; | \$ |
| 1108:11; 1253:14; | room [9] - 1085:22; | 1254:11, 16; 1260:16; | S-c-a-n-t-l-e-b-u-r-y |
| 1257:14 | 1111:21; 1136:23; | 1263:7, 12; 1264:4, 8, | [1] - 1110:14 |
| respect [10] - 1080:4, | 1168:20; 1169:21; | 15, 17, 20; 1266:3, 10, | safe [2] - 1113:3; |
| 7; 1083:12; 1087:24; | 1198:9; 1280:20, 25 | 14, 17; 1267:16, 18; | 1261:3 |
| 1120:2; 1139:22; | roommate [4] - | 1268:2; 1270:8; | Salta [8] - 1106:14, |
| 1200:6; 1204:3; | 1099:22; 1225:10; | 1271:11, 13, 15, 18, | 17, 23; 1107:4, 6, 22; |
| 1206:15; 1207:15 | 1246:16; 1253:4 | 21, 24; 1272:18; | 1110:16; 1262:10 |
| respond [1] - 1200:5 | rooms [1] - 1079:7 | 1273:1; 1274:6, 21, 25; | SALTA [1] - 1106:23 |
| response [3] - | Roosevelt [1] - | 1277:3, 8; 1279:16; | Salta's [1] - 1262:8 |
| 1093:21; 1241:3; | 1092:23 | 1280:2, 5, 8; 1282:19; | Sammy [3] - 1102:2; |
| 1279:15 | ROSEN [228] - 1078:16; | 1283:8, 11, 23, 25; 1284:3, 5, 9, 12; | 1230:17; 1232:14 |
| responsibility [1] - | 1079:10; 1082:18; | 1285:7, 13, 16; 1286:3, | samples [3] - 1138:25; |
| 1131:9 | 1086:8; 1087:3; 1093:1, | 8, 12, 20, 23; 1287:2, | 1139:1, 25 |
| rest [2] - 1174:2; | 14, 19; 1094:18, 21, | 7; 1288:6; 1289:5, 9, | Sanchez [5] - 1100:24; |
| 1287:16 | 25; 1095:8, 12, 16; | 12, 16; 1290:5, 7, 10 | 1101:3, 6; 1102:4 |
| restrictions [1] - | 1096:5, 9, 14, 17; | Rosen [28] - 1081:23; | Saturday [17] - |
| 1080:6 | 1098:13, 20; 1100:1, 3, | 1084:6, 13; 1087:10; | 1091:5, 7, 21-22; |
| resubmit [1] - 1080:13 | 5; 1101:9; 1102:10; | 1090:9; 1096:7; | 1092:1; 1094:5; 1099:8, |
| resume [3] - 1081:10; | 1103:16, 22; 1104:20, | 1097:22; 1098:3, 10; | 19; 1158:1; 1159:21; |
| 1244:9, 17 | 22; 1105:1, 24; 1106:7, | 1131:4; 1145:25; | 1250:25; 1251:4; |
| resumed [1] - 1081:17 | 10, 12; 1113:11; | 1160:24; 1184:2; | 1256:22; 1257:3, 14 |
| retain [1] - 1254:19 | 1114:8, 12; 1115:1, 13; | 1185:19; 1188:4, 25; | save [1] - 1179:19 |
| | 1116:22; 1117:1, 4, 16, | 1196:8; 1198:7; | saw [8] - 1084:10; |
| retained [1] - 1155:11 | 19, 23; 1119:13, 22; | 1203:24; 1204:19; | 1096:25; 1097:4, 15; |
| retire [1] - 1135:2 | 1120:9; 1122:21; | 1241:6, 11; 1243:3; | 1098:10; 1108:16; |
| retired [3] - 1134:15, | 1123:22, 25; 1124:25; | 1244:18; 1272:25; | 1154:2; 1188:13 |
| 17; 1137:18 | 1125:17; 1127:13; | 1274:5; 1277:6; 1279:15 | Scantlebury [1] - |
| retirement [2] - | 1128:13, 19; 1129:10, 16; 1130:1; 1131:6, 18; | Rosen's [2] - 1098:4; | 1110:9 |
| 1143:12; 1144:4 | 1132:2, 6; 1133:3, 10; | 1204:11 | scared [3] - 1172:21; |
| return [7] - 1112:24; | 1139:5, 7; 1141:19; | Roth [29] - 1102:20; | 1174:11; 1196:16 |
| 1167:8; 1168:2; | 1145:22; 1146:1, 18; | 1110:22; 1111:2, 14; | scene [1] - 1139:20 |
| 1169:15; 1171:14, 22; | 1147:7; 1148:23; | 1112:2, 6, 8, 10, 12, | |
| 1181:19 | 1149:1, 5; 1161:1; | 14, 16; 1122:8, 11, 14, | schedule [1] - 1278:8 |
| returned [5] - | 1162:24; 1165:3, 6; | 16; 1126:4, 7, 9; | Schelhorn [12] - |
| 1165:16; 1167:22; | 1166:5; 1176:11; | 1127:14; 1214:1, 6, 10, | 1130:16; 1131:14; |
| 1174:10; 1190:14 | 1177:3, 5; 1182:11, 13, | 16, 20; 1215:24; | 1135:25; 1136:2, 10; |
| |] | | 1140:6, 20; 1142:25; |
| | <u> </u> | | 1 |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 7 | 8 of 83 PageID # |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1157:14; 1188:12; | security [1] - 1144081 | service [6] - 1094:14; | 1270:9 |
| 1239:22 | sedan [2] - 1108:18, 20 | 1119:10; 1120:15; | showing [13] - 1082:5; 2 4 |
| Schelhorn's [1] - | see [74] - 1079:3; | 1168:3; 1173:13; 1283:9 | 1083:2; 1098:25; |
| 1152:19 | 1092:18; 1095:16; | services [5] - 1119:2, | 1130:12; 1144:9, 12; |
| scope [3] - 1087:3; | 1097:6, 25; 1098:16; | 7; 1120:20 | 1145:7; 1148:4; 1158:5; |
| 1116:17, 19 | 1099:4, 6, 10; 1100:7, | serving [2] - 1286:16; | 1159:17; 1188:5; |
| Scott [36] - 1118:4, | 10, 21; 1102:25; | 1288:1 | 1209:25; 1272:8 |
| 11; 1142:10, 16, 20; | 1108:14; 1113:17, 24; | session [1] - 1206:18 | shown [8] - 1104:10; |
| 1162:15; 1163:5, 21; | 1115:20, 22; 1117:8; | set [4] - 1090:20; | 1130:13; 1188:9; |
| 1167:3; 1168:13; | 1124:11; 1130:9; | 1136:25; 1165:15; | 1206:5, 14; 1270:14, 19 |
| 1169:8; 1171:11; | 1136:21; 1137:3; | 1201:1 | shows [5] - 1159:8, 24; |
| 1173:6; 1175:21; | 1148:16; 1150:10; | seven [6] - 1088:7; | 1212:23; 1213:21; |
| 1180:3; 1194:20; | 1156:15; 1159:19, 22; | 1090:7; 1140:17, 19; | 1270:11 |
| 1195:11; 1196:17, 21, | 1160:22; 1166:5, 14; | 1182:21; 1214:20 | shut [2] - 1105:10, 16 |
| 24; 1202:23; 1205:11; | 1167:7; 1175:8; 1183:2; | several [7] - 1085:11; | SI-2 [3] - 1272:17, 20; |
| 1206:22; 1208:5, 7; | 1185:9; 1186:9; 1193:6; | 1121:9, 11; 1130:20; | 1290:20 |
| 1276:5, 8; 1281:13; | 1194:6; 1196:3; | 1152:14; 1284:15 | sic) [3] - 1142:5; |
| 1282:10, 16; 1285:8, | 1197:10; 1213:3, 9; | SEYBERT [1] - 1078:9 | 1260:13; 1287:4 |
| 17, 22; 1286:20 | 1214:5, 9, 18; 1215:6; | shall [2] - 1283:8; | side [4] - 1124:2; |
| screaming [3] - | 1220:8; 1235:7; 1240:3; | 1288:14 | 1157:2; 1202:8; 1211:11 |
| 1173:25; 1174:3; | 1246:10; 1247:17, 25; | share [1] - 1091:14 | sidebar [16] - 1093:4; |
| 1180:23 | 1250:9; 1252:5, 11; | shared [3] - 1081:24; | 1096:6, 16; 1098:3, 6, |
| screen [9] - 1083:2; | 1258:18; 1264:14; 1266:23; 1267:21; | 1091:12, 24 | 23; 1106:4, 15; 1115:4, |
| 1096:11; 1111:4; | 1278:16; 1279:5; | shareholders [1] - | 11; 1119:17; 1120:10; |
| 1148:4; 1166:13; | 1281:14, 25; 1282:1; | 1121:3 | 1235:2; 1238:2; 1264:2; |
| 1209:24; 1217:17; | 1287:13; 1288:13 | sheetrock [2] - | 1274:2 |
| 1218:16; 1258:17 | seeing [2] - 1089:20; | 1286:7, 19 | Sidebar [4] - 1131:3; |
| screens [1] - 1167:12 | 1093:23 | Shield [1] - 1106:24 | 1133:13; 1145:24; |
| Sean [1] - 1118:5 | seek [2] - 1123:20; | shirt [12] - 1104:1-3, | 1147:8 |
| SEAN [1] - 1078:14 | 1142:9 | 12-13, 15, 17, 23; | sides [1] - 1150:10 |
| search [3] - 1150:24; | seeking [1] - 1142:19 | 1105:5, 9; 1137:9 | sidewalk [2] - |
| 1224:18; 1240:21 | seem [1] - 1251:5 | shooting [3] - 1084:4; | 1211:17, 24 |
| seat [1] - 1277:7 | selected [1] - 1148:19 | 1085:12; 1210:18 | signed [5] - 1170:15; |
| seated [3] - 1191:15, | self [1] - 1199:10 | short [2] - 1081:1; | 1178:12, 14-15; 1181:4 |
| 17; 1244:16 | self-authenticate [1] | 1137:1 | significance [1] - |
| Second [2] - 1200:15; | - 1199:10 | shorten [2] - 1147:2, | 1087:21 |
| 1202:2 | selling [1] - 1163:1 | 23 | silencer [1] - 1086:23 |
| second [27] - 1085:16; | sense [8] - 1090:17; | shortly [4] - 1081:3; | similar [2] - 1157:9; |
| 1100:24; 1120:14; 1135:12, 14; 1150:19; | 1124:6; 1127:17; | 1112:19; 1159:20; | 1201:21 |
| 1152:2; 1156:23; | 1180:24; 1199:15; | 1179:9 | sit [1] - 1281:21 |
| 1203:15; 1204:4, 7; | 1274:3; 1285:3 | shot [1] - 1108:21 | site [3] - 1087:12, 14 |
| 1205:8; 1216:17; | sent [4] - 1121:24; | shoulder [1] - 1103:5 | sitting [5] - 1085:22; |
| 1218:14; 1240:15; | 1178:18; 1201:8; 1274:7 | shouting [1] - 1187:16 | 1137:8; 1191:20; |
| 1250:16, 19; 1256:3; | sentence [1] - 1288:2 | show [25] - 1082:3; | 1225:11; 1278:20 |
| 1269:18; 1275:23, 25; | separate [4] - | 1083:16; 1092:9, 17; | situation [5] - |
| 1276:3, 6, 18; 1277:1; | 1124:22; 1150:1; | 1095:4, 17; 1102:11; | 1079:3; 1090:18; |
| 1279:10; 1283:18 | 1215:18; 1246:11 | 1111:4; 1130:3, 10; | 1130:6; 1149:25; 1244:1 |
| secondly [1] - 1101:17 | separated [1] - 1198:8 | 1144:7; 1154:16; | six [12] - 1103:8; |
| seconds [22] - 1138:9; | September [1] - | 1155:10; 1159:17; | 1140:19, 24; 1141:1, 4; |
| 1140:17; 1141:11; | 1089:23 | 1166:6; 1191:6; | 1151:2, 4; 1183:11; |
| 1153:17, 20; 1154:10, | sequence [2] - | 1198:22; 1207:6; | 1282:10, 12, 24 |
| 15; 1156:6; 1157:8, 24; | 1179:11; 1248:14 | 1212:10; 1213:15, 18; | sixth [1] - 1090:17 |
| 1158:9, 20; 1210:15; | Sergeant [1] - 1110:8 | 1216:2; 1220:6; | Sixth [2] - 1211:14; |
| 1211:15, 25; 1213:8, | series [5] - 1081:23; | 1253:18; 1262:1 | 1218:5 |
| 17, 24; 1217:21; | 1083:13; 1093:22; | showed [9] - 1116:15; | size [1] - 1170:9 |
| 1218:12, 19 | 1214:10; 1264:9 | 1188:12, 15; 1206:7, | sleeping [3] - 1091:6; |
| section [1] - 1136:14 | serve [1] - 1163:2 | 18, 24-25; 1207:12; | 1092:6; 1099:20 |
| | | | |
| L | <u>i</u> | i | 1 |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 7 9 | of 83 PageID #: |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
| slightly [1] - 1196:10 | speaking [3] - 4082 | 1210:11 | 1237:5; 1238:16, 18-19; |
| smirking [2] - 1196:1, | 1146:12; 1228:2; | starters [1] - 1195:19 | 1239:15, 18; 1240:2, 5, 25 |
| 5 | 1279:10 | starting [4] - | 7; 1241:12, 14-15, 21, |
| smoked [2] - 1102:15, | Special [2] - 1157:14; | 1140:16; 1153:12; | 23 |
| 21 | 1188:12 | 1210:3; 1257:5 | stipulations [1] - |
| smooth [1] - 1184:18 | specific [11] - | starts [5] - 1155:24; | 1132:22 |
| Smyth [1] - 1285:16 | 1091:10; 1092:3; | 1184:4; 1257:10; | stock [1] - 1080:19 |
| SN [5] - 1144:10; | 1095:19; 1130:17; | 1260:24; 1264:8 | stood [1] - 1215:15 |
| 1154:17; 1155:10; | 1144:18; 1145:16; | state [5] - 1119:4; | stop [9] - 1110:25; |
| 1159:15 | 1189:13; 1240:5 | 1126:10; 1134:4; | 1111:16; 1112:13; |
| social [1] - 1278:18 | specifically [10] - | 1144:6; 1161:11 | 1174:2; 1187:6; 1189:1; |
| sofa [2] - 1085:23 | 1085:1; 1091:22; | statement [6] - | 1205:11; 1268:24; |
| sole [1] - 1279:19 | 1107:14, 21; 1124:17; | 1087:24; 1098:2, 4; | 1277:4 |
| solicit [1] - 1098:19 | 1138:25; 1143:9; 1153:12; 1163:6; 1213:3 | 1115:5; 1121:19; 1200:8 | stopped [4] - 1138:7; |
| solidified [1] - | | statements [4] - | 1140:14; 1141:14; |
| 1201:13 | specifics [1] - 1139:10 | 1121:16; 1130:20; | 1142:7 |
| someone [4] - 1121:25; | specified [1] - 1223:2 | 1198:16; 1199:9 | stops [1] - 1257:10 |
| 1192:6, 11; 1193:5 | | STATES [2] - 1078:1, 3 | stored [1] - 1140:1 |
| sometime [4] - 1180:8, | specify [1] - 1190:3 | States [9] - 1078:12, | story [1] - 1085:4 |
| 12; 1217:5; 1282:15 | speculate [1] - 1269:7 | 21; 1161:23; 1162:7; | straight [1] - 1243:2 |
| sometimes [1] - | spell [1] - 1134:4 | 1164:24; 1167:8, 22; 1168:2; 1202:1 | straighten [2] - |
| 1180:24 | spelled [1] - 1155:20 | stating [1] - 1110:4 | 1237:6; 1242:23 |
| son [3] - 1164:3; | spelling [1] - 1110:12 | station [2] - 1116:3; | Street [39] - 1091:14, |
| 1169:25; 1172:24 | spend [1] - 1282:24 | 1117:12 | 18; 1093:20; 1094:25; |
| son's [1] - 1170:1 | spending [1] - 1168:17 | status [1] - 1212:21 | 1108:10; 1113:13; 1115:15; 1116:9, 16; |
| soon [2] - 1175:2; | spent [2] - 1144:1; | statute [2] - 1199:2, | 1123:3, 8, 12, 14; |
| 1190:11 | 1244:23 | 12 | 1124:1; 1210:24; |
| sorry [19] - 1098:20; | split [1] - 1152:1 | stayed [1] - 1094:19 | 1211:3, 6, 14, 24; |
| 1101:23; 1125:10; | spoken [1] - 1276:15 | staying [2] - 1231:14; | 1215:3; 1216:14, 18; |
| 1143:2; 1160:16; | sprint [2] - 1155:13; | 1255:4 | 1217:7, 23-24; 1218:6, |
| 1162:13; 1172:6; | 1159:16 | stays [1] - 1097:10 | 17; 1246:22; 1247:10; |
| 1189:25; 1217:10; | squad [2] - 1109:8, 19 | stenography [1] - | 1248:18, 24; 1257:21; |
| 1228:4; 1229:8; 1231:6; | squished [1] - 1142:23 | 1078:24 | 1258:9; 1260:5, 7, 20; |
| 1247:13; 1248:15; | stage [3] - 1199:8, 11; | step [9] - 1105:25; | 1261:16, 22; 1262:4 |
| 1256:19; 1262:23; | 1240:25 | 1106:5; 1118:1; | street [1] - 1128:10 |
| 1266:23; 1270:8; 1285:13 | stamp [9] - 1166:19, | 1125:19, 22; 1129:19; | strictly [1] - 1146:21 |
| sort [2] - 1086:12; | 22; 1167:7, 11, 15-16, | 1186:17; 1239:12; | string [1] - 1147:25 |
| 1288:1 | 19 | 1273:2 | stuck [1] - 1105:20 |
| Soto [11] - 1230:17, | stamped [1] - 1166:12 | STEPHANIE [1] - | study [1] - 1143:8 |
| 20; 1232:9, 14; | stamps [3] - 1165:17, | 1078:21 | stuff [1] - 1271:13 |
| 1233:18; 1234:1; | 19; 1190:12 | STEPHEN [1] - 1078:16 | submission [1] - |
| 1235:7; 1255:10, 17, | stand [13] - 1081:17; | Steven [1] - 1194:12 | 1207:25 |
| 20, 23 | 1102:13; 1130:1; | stick [1] - 1183:9 | submit [2] - 1201:23; |
| Soto's [2] - 1233:6; | 1133:4; 1212:9; | still [10] - 1081:13; | 1202:6 |
| 1256:1 | 1242:15; 1244:2, 9; 1247:23; 1260:18; | 1094:10; 1095:11; | subpoena [5] - |
| Sotto [1] - 1102:2 | 1266:14, 16; 1273:4 | 1122:5; 1164:20; | 1118:17; 1138:18, 24; |
| sotto [1] - 1102:3 | standard [1] - 1200:15 | 1236:3; 1253:9; | 1139:2; 1161:22 |
| south [3] - 1107:12; | standing [5] - | 1261:16; 1284:3 | subpoenaed [6] - |
| 1109:8, 19 | 1169:19; 1191:15-17; | stipulate [2] - | 1145:6, 12; 1146:11; |
| South [4] - 1106:24; | 1238:14 | 1103:18; 1133:1 | 1176:16; 1254:5; |
| 1215:17, 21, 24 | stands [1] - 1159:16 | stipulated [2] - | 1274:13 |
| space [3] - 1185:10; | start [6] - 1247:19; | 1132:20; 1237:3 | subpoenas [1] - |
| 1257:4; 1268:9 | 1263:5; 1274:24; | stipulation [22] - | 1249:19 |
| speakers [4] - | 1275:4; 1278:6; 1282:16 | 1093:11; 1099:12; | subscriber [4] - |
| 1130:19; 1141:7; | started [5] - 1079:17; | 1132:20, 23; 1133:6; | 1158:7, 18; 1212:19; |
| 1142:3, 5 | 1090:5, 8; 1134:23; | 1154:18; 1159:11; | 1246:4 |
| | , | | |
| | 1 | | |

| subscriber's [1] - 1206:6 4083 tabs [2] - 1264:16; 22 | Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 8 (| 0 of 83 PageID #: |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | subscriber's [1] - | | _ | _ |
| 1200.8; 1210.112; 1283.2 1283.2 1283.2 1280.16 1283.2 1280.16 1283.2 1280.16 1293.2 129 | 1212:21 | surrounding [4] - | 1266:15 | tax [3] - 1120:21; 26 |
| 1200.8; 1210.112; 1283.2 1283.2 1283.2 1280.16 1283.2 1280.16 1283.2 1280.16 1293.2 129 | subsequent [5] - | 1200:17; 1202:4, 6 | tan [1] - 1137:9 | 1121:16 |
| 1281.12 1271.8 1281.2 1281.2 1281.2 1282.2 | | suspect [2] - 1094:12; | | team [1] - 1142:18 |
| 1280:16 subsequently [4] - 1212:24 sustained [13] - 1103:15; 1114:11, 25; 1103:15; 1114:11, 25; 1103:15; 1114:11, 25; 1103:15; 1114:11, 25; 1103:15; 1114:11, 25; 1103:15; 1114:11, 25; 1103:16; 1115:12; 1115: | | | | |
| | | suspended [1] - | | |
| 1474-4, 7; 1175-8; substance [4] - 1103:15; 1114:11, 25; 19, 23; 1188:3, 6-7; 1149:12; 1199:19; 1113:5; 1128:12; 1129:9, 15; 1141:11, 13-14; 1142:6, 23; 1167:03 1166:10; | subsequently [4] - | | | |
| substance [4] 1103:15; :114:11, 25; 19, 23; :138:3; 6-7; tee [9] - 1104:2; 12; 15; 17 tee [9] - 1104:2; 12; 115:14 tee [9] - 1104:2; 12; 114:14 tee [10] - 1114:14 | | | | |
| substance [4] | | · · | | |
| 1091:19: 1113:5; 1128:129:9, 15; 1441:11, 13-44; 1142:6, 22: 1170:23 1139:6, 8, 1182:7; 22: 1170:25; 1177:4, 1144:12; 123:13 1139:6, 8, 1182:7; 1170:25; 1177:23 1163:144:2; 123:13 1170:25; 1177:23 1163:144:2; 123:13 1170:25; 1177:23 1176:144:2; 123:14; 13: 13: 13: 13: 13: 13: 13: 13: 13: 13: | | | | |
| 1470:28 1138:6, 8, 1182:7; 1276:15 1276:5 1777:47 1182:19; 1256:13 1276:5 1776:25; 1777:28 | | | | |
| Substantial 1 | | | | |
| 1276:5 Substantially [1] - 1194:11; 1195:12, 16; 1194:11; 1195:12, 16; 11224:3, 11; 1226:2, 14; 1881:14-16, 19-20; 1881:7; 1184:11, 13; 1885:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:5; 1188:23; 1186:1, 7, 9, 24; 1187:2; 1206:17; 1206:2, 2, 3 switching [5] - 1087:11; 1097:14, 23; 1167:2, 3 switching [5] - 1087:11; 1189: 1150:14, 23; 1167:2, | | | | |
| substantially [1] - 1274:17 1194:1; 1195:12, 16; 1274:17 1194:1; 123:14; 123: | | | | |
| 1274:17 1224:3, 11; 1225:2, 14; 183:7; 1184:11, 13; 185:23; 1186:17, 7, 8, | | | · · | |
| Substitute [1] - | • | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 1280:10 1281:10; 1285:22 24; 187:5; 1189:23; 180:20; | | | | |
| subway [4] - 1091:1; 1094:2, 14 succession [2] - 1100:7; 1255:25 successive [1] - 1231:18 suddenly [1] - 1110:5 sufficient [3] - 1199:13; 1201:23; 1109:21; 1109:13; 1201:23; 1109:21; 1109:13; 1201:23; 1109:21; 1109:14, 23; 115:3, 5, 19 suggeste [1] - 1202:17 suggeste [1] - 1202:17 sumgarized [6] - 1208:17; 1235:5 summarized [6] - 1208:17; 1236:6 summary [1] - 1122:25 summary [1] - 1122:25 summer [1] - 1122:25 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supple [1] - 11264:4 supposed [2] - 1138:25: 1165:8 | | | | |
| 1094:2, 14 succession [2] - 1067:11 1007:11 11007:15:25 switch [2] - 1150:6, 24 switch [2] - 1150:6, 24 switch [2] - 1150:6, 24 switch [2] - 1150:14, 23; 1151:3, 5 suddenly [1] - 1110:5 sworn [8] - 1081:17; 129:13; 1201:23; 1106:21; 1118:9; 1126:22; 1126:2; | | , | 1192:22; 1201:1; | |
| 1087:11 1087:11 1291:13 1291:14 1291:14 1291:15 1291 | 1 | | 1202:17; 1204:2, 4, 7, | |
| Solution | | | 12-13, 20-21; 1205:3, | |
| successive [1] - switching [5] - 1231:18 1150:14, 23; 1151:3, 5, 19 1279:17, 19-20; 1280:6 terms [14] - 1080:3, 6, 16, 19; 1202:13; 19 suddenly [1] - 1110:5 19 sworn [8] - 1081:17; 1106:21; 1118:9; 1106:21; 1118:9; 1106:21; 1118:9; 1125:23; 1126:2; 1125:23; 1126:2; 1134:9; 1161:8; 1209:12 TARANITINO [1] - 1078:5 tarantino [63] - 1242:23; 1275:9, 12; 1279:9; 1280:19; 1282:5 terms [14] - 1088:23 terms [14] - 1088:23 terms [14] - 1080:3, 6, 16, 19; 1202:13; 1275:9, 12; 1275:10; 128:10 1242:23; 1275:9, 12; 1242:23; 1275:9, 12; 1275:10, 124 1275:21; 126:16 taps [6] - 1130:14, 23; 1275:9, 12; 1275:9, 12; 1275:10, 124 1242:23; 1275:9, 12; 1277:9, 12; 1279:9; 1280:19; 1282:5 terms [14] - 1080:3, 6, 16, 19; 1202:13; 12122:23; 1275:9, 12; 1275:9, 12; 1242:23; 1275:9, 12; 1275:9, 12; 1242:23; 1275:9, 12; 1275:9, 12; 1242:23; 1275:9, 12; 1279:9; 1280:19; 1282:5 tark [1] - 1078:5 TARANITINO [1] - 1078:5 TARANITINO [1] - 1078:5 TARANITINO [1] - 1078:5 tark [1] - 1078:5 tark [1] - 1078:5 TARANITINO [1] - 1078:5 tark [1] - 1078:5 tark [1] - 1078:5 TARANITINO [| | | 5-6, 21-22; 1274:16; | l '- '- |
| 1150:14, 23; 1151:3, 5, 19 129:17, 19:20; 128:18 16, 19; 120:13; 120:23; 1199:13; 1201:23; 1106:21; 1118:9; 1106:21; 1118:9; 1125:23; 1126:2; 1134:9; 1161:8; 1209:12 1134:9; 1161:8; 1209:12 1134:9; 1161:8; 1209:12 1155:5 1155:5 1109:10; 1108:13; 1201:23 1109:10; 1108:13; 1201:23 1109:10; 1108:13; 1208:17; 1235:5 1119:12; 1113:12; 1114:14; 1136:18; 1137:11; 1114:14; 1136:18; 1137:11; 1114:14; 1136:18; 1137:11; 1116:19; 1176:23 1179:12; | · | | 1275:22; 1276:2; | 1129:2; 1163:2 |
| suddenly [1] - 1110:5 sufficient [3] - sworn [8] - 1081:17; suppose [5] - 1136:2; tables [5] - 1136:3; 1215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 12215:6; 1218:1; 1222:3; 1275:9, 12; 12279:19; 1280:19; 1282:5 test [1] - 1078:16 12279:11 Synergy [3] - 1154:22; 1155:5 Tarantino [63] - 1098:11; 1099:14, 23; 1109:11; 1112:25; 1109:11; 1112:25; 1109:11; 1112:25; 1109:11; 1112:25; 1109:11; 1112:25; 1113:12; 1114:14; 113:12; 1114:14; 113:12; 1114:14; 113:12; 1114:14; 113:12; 1114:14; 1154:9; 12-3; 1155:2; 113:13:11; 113:19; 113:19; 113:12:110; 113:12:110; 113:12:110; 113:12:110; 113:12:110; 113:12:110; 113:12:110; 113:12:110; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; 113:13:12; </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>1279:17, 19-20; 1280:6</th> <th>terms [14] - 1080:3, 6,</th> | | | 1279:17, 19-20; 1280:6 | terms [14] - 1080:3, 6, |
| sufficient [3] - sworn [8] - 1081:17: 25: 1183:6; 1184:23; 1126:21; 1118:9; 1275:16, 18 1275:18, 18 1275:18 1275:18 1275:18 1275:18 1275:18 128:11 128:11 128:11 128:11 128:11 128:11 1275:11 128:11 1275:11 128:11 1275:11 1275:11 1275:11 1275:11 1275:11 1275:11 | | | tapes [6] - 1130:14, | 16, 19; 1202:13; |
| 1106:21: 1118:9; 1106:21: 1118:9; 1126:23; 1126:2; 1134:9: 1161:8; 1209:12; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 239:22; 1299:14; 1399:14; | suddenly [1] - 1110:5 | | 25; 1183:6; 1184:23; | |
| 1125:23; 1126:2; 1134:9; 1161:8; 1209:12 suggested [1] - | sufficient [3] - | | 1275:16, 18 | |
| suggest [1] - 1241:20 suggested [1] - 1279:11 Suite [1] - 1078:16 Summarize [2] - 1208:17; 1235:5 summarized [6] - 1146:14; 1157:18, 20; 1210:2; 1215:7; 1216:6 summary [16] - 1144:23; 1145:3, 18; 1155:2, 7, 12; 1158:1; 1185:6; 1136:8; 1212:3, 14; 1213:2; 1215:12; 1216:10, 12, 18; 1217:6, 19; 1246:22; 1218:4; 1213:4, 6, 16, 1216:2, 4, 7, 12, 1200:15 Summer [1] - 1263:8 Supplement [1] - 1263:8 supplement [1] - 1263:8 supplementing [1] - 1263:8 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplementing [1] - 1266:6 supplementing [1] - 1266:7 supplement [1] - 1266:8 supplement [1] - 1266:9 supplement [1] - 1266:18 supplement [| 1199:13; 1201:23; | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | TARANTINO [1] - 1078:5 | 1279:9; 1280:19; 1282:5 |
| suggest [1] - 1241:20 synergy [3] - 1154:22; this is, 1299:12 synergy [5] - 1089:11; this is, 1299:12 synergy [5] - 1089:11; this is, 1299:12 this is, 1299:12 synergy [5] - 1089:11; this is, 1299:12 this is, 1299:14 | 1202:12 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Tarantino [63] - | test [1] - 1088:23 |
| suggested [1] - synergy [3] - 1154:22; 1101:11; 1119:8, 24; 1088:7; 1106:4; 1127:9; 1101:11; 1119:8, 24; 1088:7; 1106:4; 1121:5; 1126:16, 21, 1088:7; 1106:4; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1131:20; 1134:10; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1131:20; 1134:10; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1131:20; 1131:20; 1134:10; 1118:9; 1126:2; 1131:20; 113:20; 113:20; 113:20; 113:20; 113:20; 113:20; 113:20; | suggest [1] - 1241:20 | | | testified [25] - |
| 1279:11 Synergy [56] 1089:11; 121:5; 1126:16, 21, | suggested [1] - | | | 1081:18; 1086:4; |
| Sum [1] - 1170:23 1090:10; 1108:13; 1128:4, 9, 14; 1129:5, 1131:20; 1134:10; summarize [2] - 1208:17; 1235:5 1119:12; 24; 1120:2, 1113:12; 1114:14; 1154:9, 12-13; 1155:2; 1161:9; 1178:1; 1190:7, summarized [6] - 1144:23; 17, 25; 1121:10; 115:16; 23; 1158:20; 1207:18, 22; 1208:4; summary [16] - 1144:23; 1210:14, 20; 1211:3, 5; 1182:1, 1187:6; 1182:1, 1187:6; 1209:12; 1210:9; 1146:8; 1212:3, 14; 1216:10, 12, 18; 1217:6, 19; 1246:22; 1212:4, 1213:4, 6; 1222:4; 1213:4, 6; 1222:4; 1213:4, 6; 1222:4; 1213:4, 6; 1222:4; 1213:4, 6; 1222:4; 1213:1, 14; 127:6, 9; 1257:21; 1214:23; 125:14, 9; 1214:10; 122:4; 1222:4; 1239:20; 1239:22; 1272:11 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1222:4; 1213:4, 6; 16 174:11; 1270:14; 1149:2; 1239:20; 127:6; 19; 124:22; 1214:4; 129:14; 1149:2; 1239:20; 127:6; 19; 1246:22; 121:10, 12, 23; 127:6; 19; 1246:22; 121:10, 12, 23; 127:6; 19; 1246:22; 121:10, 12, 23; 127:6; 19; 1246:22; 121:10, 12, 23; 127:6; 19; 1246:22; 121:10, 12, 23; 127:11, 14; 1159:21; 120:14; 1149:2; 1239:20; 127:6; 19; 1246:22; 121:10, 12, 23;< | 1279:11 | | | 1088:7; 1106:21; |
| summarize [2] - 1109:1; 1112:25; 11109:1; 1112:25; 1113:12; 1114:14; 115:14, 9, 14; 115:15; 116:9; 1178:1; 1190:7, 116:9; 1178:1; 118:1; 110:17:1; 118:18:1; 115:9; 118:118:0; <t< th=""><th>Suite [1] - 1078:16</th><th></th><th>23; 1127:9, 14, 17;</th><th>1118:9; 1126:2;</th></t<> | Suite [1] - 1078:16 | | 23; 1127:9, 14, 17; | 1118:9; 1126:2; |
| summarize [2] - 1109:1; 1112:25; 1208:17; 1235:5 1113:12; 1114:14; summarized [6] - 1146:14; 1157:18, 20; 1210:2; 1215:7; 1216:6 1122:3, 5, 22; 1123:4, summary [16] - 1144:23; 1155:2, 7, 1146:8; 1178:15; 1180:4, 9, 13; 120:14, 20; 1211:3, 5; 1145:3, 18; 1155:2, 7, 121:158:1; 1185:6; 1186:8; 1212:3, 14; 121:16, 19; 1246:22; 1216:21, 25 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; summer [1] - 1122:25 1258:8, 21, 24-25; Sunnyside [1] - 19-20; 1261:4, 9, 22; 1100:15 19-20; 1261:4, 9, 22; supplement [1] - 1263:8 supplement [1] - System [2] - 1144:6 supplied [1] - 1266:5 5Z [1] - 1156:23 T table [6] - 1083:20; 1138:25; 1165:8 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1138:25; 1165:8 1138:25; 1165:8 | sum [1] - 1170:23 | | | 1131:20; 1134:10; |
| 1208:17; 1235:5 summarized [6] - 1146:14; 1157:18, 20; 1210:2; 1215:7; 1216:6 summary [16] - 1144:23; 1145:3, 18; 1155:2, 7, 12; 1158:1; 1185:6; 1146:3, 12:114:18; 1159:7; 1211:10; 1122:3, 5, 22; 1123:4, 15; 1125:8; 1174:25; 1145:3, 18; 1155:2, 7, 12; 1158:1; 1185:6; 1210:14, 20; 1211:3, 5; 1214:23; 1215:1, 9; 1216:21, 25 summer [1] - 1122:25 Sunmyside [1] - 110:15 supplement [1] - 110:8 supplement [1] - 110:8 supplement [1] - 1166:5 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplied [1] - 1264:4 supposed [2] - 1138:25; 1165:8 1119:12, 24; 1120:2, 1157:6, 23; 1155:2, 1159:7; 1171:11; 1109:24; 1191:4; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:123; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:123; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:123; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1180:24, 9, 13; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:14; 1200:14; 1210:10; 1223; 120:11, 120:14; 1210:10; 1223; 120:11, 120:14; 1210:10; 1223; 120:11, 120:14; 1210:10; 1223; 120:11, 120:14; 1210:11, 120:14; 1210:14, 20; 1223; 1211:10, 12, 23; 1221:10:14, 20; 1223; 1211:10, 12, 23; 1222:123:11 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:14; 120:14; 1190:14; 120:14; 1190:14; 120:14; 1190:14; 120:14; 1190:14; 120:14; 1190:14; 120:14; 1190:14; 120:14; 1190:14; 120:14; 1190:14; 1 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 11; 1136:18; 1137:11; | |
| summarized [6] - 1146:14; 1157:18, 20; 17, 25; 1121:10; 1157:6, 23; 1158:20; 120:7:18, 22; 120:215:7; 1216:6 1159:7; 1171:11; 120:11, 120:14; 120:12, 1215:7; 1210:9; 1159:7; 1171:11; 120:11, 120:14; 120:14, 20; 121:3, 5; 1159:7; 1171:11; 120:14, 9, 13; 120:14, 9, 13; 120:14, 9, 13; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1189:24; 179:14; 1189:24; 1191:4; 1199:24; 1191:4; 1199:24; 1191:4; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; 1279:14; 119 | | | 1154:9, 12-13; 1155:2; | |
| 1146:14; 1157:18, 20; 1210:2; 1215:7; 1216:6 summary [16] - 1144:23; 1210:14, 20; 1211:3, 5; 1210:14, 20; 1210:14, 20; 1210:14, 20; 1210:14; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1149:2; 1239:20; 1274:11; 1275:11, 14; 1210:14, 21; 1 | | | 1157:6, 23; 1158:20; | |
| 1210:2; 1215:7; 1216:6 summary [16] - 1144:23; 1145:3, 18; 1155:2, 7, 12; 1158:1; 1185:6; 1186:8; 1212:3, 14; 1213:2; 1215:12; 1216:10, 12, 18; 1216:21, 25 summer [1] - 1122:25 Sunnyside [1] - 1100:15 supervisor [1] - 1110:8 supplement [1] - 1263:8 supplement [1] - 1266:5 supplied [1] - 1264:4 supposed [2] - 1138:25; 1165:8 11374:25; 1211:13, 5; 1148:21; 1187:6; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:24; 1190:4; 1121:10, 12, 23; 1274:11; 1275:11, 14; 1190:14; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:14; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:14; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:14; 1149:2; 123:9:20; 1274:11; 1275:11, 14; 1190:14; 1149:2; 123:9:20; 1274:11; 1275:11, 14; 1190:14; 1149:2; 123:39:20; 1274:11; 1275:11, 14; 1190:14; 1149:2; 123:4; 1149:14; 1190:14; 1149:2; 123:39:20; 1274:11, 1275:11, 120; 1274:11; 1275:11, 14; 1190:14; 1149:2; 123:39:20; 1274:11, 1275:11, 110:13; 1149:12, 12, 23; 1210:14, 0, 16, 16, 16, 1276:19, 14, 18; 1216:10, 12, 20; 1226:4 1276:19, 14, 18; 1216:10, 12, 23; | | l ' ' ' | 1159:7; 1171:11; | |
| summary [16] - 1144:23; 1145:3, 18; 1155:2, 7, 1210:14, 20; 1211:3, 5; 1182:1; 1187:6; 1182:1; 1187:6; 1182:1; 1187:6; 1182:1; 1187:6; 1210:14, 20; 1211:3, 5; 1182:1; 1187:6; 1182:1; 1187:6; 1182:1; 1187:6; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:21; 120:14; 1190:21; 120:14; 1190:21; 120:14; 1190:21; 120:14; 1190:21; 120:14; 1190:21; 120:14; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:24; 1191:4; 1190:21; 120:14; 1110:14; 120:14; 1149:2; 1239:20; 1274:11; 1275:11, 14; 1274:11; 1275:11, 14; 1212:4; 1213:4, 6, 16, 1274:11; 1276:9, 17; 1284:22; 1212:4; 1213:4, 6, 16, 1276:9, 17; 1284:22; 1286:15 summer [1] - 1122:25 1288, 21, 24-25; 1290:2, 4, 7, 12, 1285:16, 1286:24; 1198:24; 1287:21 1179:10; 1181:1; 1285:16; 1286:24; 1198:24; 1287:21 1285:16; 1286:24; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13;</th><th></th></th<> | | | 1179:23; 1180:4, 9, 13; | |
| 1145:3, 18; 1155:2, 7, 12; 1158:1; 1185:6; 1186:8; 1212:3, 14; 1217:6, 19; 1246:22; 1213:2; 1215:12; 1247:10; 1248:18; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1260:2, 4, 7, 12, 1100:15 supervisor [1] - 1110:8 supplement [1] - 1126:3 supplement [1] - 1263:8 supplement [1] - 1264:4 supposed [2] - 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1138:25; 1165:8 | | | 1182:1; 1187:6; | |
| 12; 1158:1; 1185:6; 1216:10, 12, 18; 1216:10, 12, 18; 1211:10, 12, 23; 1215:12; 1216:21, 25 | • • • | | 1190:24; 1191:4; | |
| 1186:8; 1212:3, 14; 1217:6, 19; 1246:22; 1217:10; 1248:18; 1212:4; 1213:4, 6, 16, 1276:9, 17; 1284:22; 1213:2; 1215:12; 1247:10; 1248:18; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1217:20; 1218:3, 11, 1286:15 summer [1] - 1122:25 1258:8, 21, 24-25; 1260:2, 4, 7, 12, 1285:16; 1286:24; 1198:24; 1287:21 1100:15 19-20; 1261:4, 9, 22; 1287:17; 1288:8 1198:24; 1287:21 110:8 1262:4 1287:17; 1288:8 1097:14; 1114:9; 110:8 110:18; 1156:14; 110:18; 1156:14; 114:13, 22; 1158:21; 1263:8 126:4 120:4 120:4 120:4 1266:5 120:4 120:4 120:4 120:4 120:4 128:25; 1165:8 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 10, 13 1274:11, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, | | | , , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 1213:2; 1215:12; 1216:21, 25 summer [1] - 1122:25 Sunnyside [1] - 1100:15 supervisor [1] - 1110:8 supplement [1] - 11263:8 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplied [1] - 1266:5 supposed [2] - 1138:25; 1165:8 1247:10; 1248:18; 1247:10; 1248:18; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 16, 16, 16, 1286:15 1217:20; 1218:3, 11, 18; 1255:14, 18, 21; 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1100:15 1100:16 1100:16 1100:16 1100:10 1100:16 1100:10 1 | | | | |
| 1216:21, 25 summer [1] - 1122:25 Sunnyside [1] - 1100:15 supervisor [1] - 1110:8 supplement [1] - 1263:8 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplied [1] - 1264:4 supposed [2] - 1138:25; 1165:8 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1258:8, 21, 24-25; 1260:2, 4, 7, 12, 1260:2, 4, 7, 12, 129-20; 1261:4, 9, 22; 129-20; 1261:4, 9, 22; 1285:16; 1286:24; 1285:16; 1286:24; 1285:16; 1286:24; 1287:17; 1288:8 Tarantino's [5] - 1101:18; 1156:14; 1160:3; 1185:15; 1256:3 Tarantinos [1] - 1202:4 tattoo [9] - 1087:12, 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 14, 18; 1209:16; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1249:6, 9; 1257:21; 1217:20; 1218:3, 11, 18; 1255:14, 18, 21; 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1198:24; 1287:21 1199:10; 1181:1; 1198:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1286:15 1179:10; 1181:1; 1198:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1288:8 1101:18; 1255:14, 18, 21; 1199:24; 1286:24 1199:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1288:8 1101:18; 1255:14, 18, 21; 1199:24; 1286:3 1179:10; 1181:1; 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1287:21 1199:10; 1181:1; 1295:16; 1286:24 1199:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1287:21 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 128:18 1199:24; 1286:24 1199:24; 1289:16 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1288:18 1199:24; 1288:18 1 | | | | |
| summer [1] - 1122:25 1258:8, 21, 24-25; 1260:2, 4, 7, 12, 1260:2, 4, 7, 12, 1285:14, 18, 21; 1179:10; 1181:1; 1179:10; 1181:1; 1179:10; 1181:1; 1179:10; 1181:1; 1179:10; 1181:1; 1179:10; 1181:1; 1179:10; 1181:1; 1285:16; 1286:24; 1198:24; 1287:21 1287:17; 1288:8 1287:17; 1288:8 1287:17; 1288:8 1097:14; 1114:9; 1097:14; 1114:9; 1144:13, 22; 1158:21; 1160:3; 1185:15; 1256:3 1172:15; 1182:16; 1172:15; 1182:16; 1172:15; 1182:16; 1172:15; 1182:16; 1189:6; 1198:16, 22; 1202:4 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 14, 18; 1209:16; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1240:24: 1262:8; < | | | | |
| Sunnyside [1] - 1260:2, 4, 7, 12, 1285:14, 18, 21; 1179:10; 1181:1; 1100:15 19-20; 1261:4, 9, 22; 1285:16; 1286:24; 1198:24; 1287:21 supervisor [1] - 110:8 Syosset [2] - 1135:16, 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1144:13, 22; 1158:21; supplement [1] - 1263:8 system [2] - 1144:6 Tarantinos [1] - 1189:6; 1198:16, 22; supplementing [1] - 5Z [1] - 1156:23 Tarantinos [1] - 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; supplied [1] - 1264:4 T tattoo [9] - 1087:12, 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, supposed [2] - 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 10, 13 1237:1; 1238:12; | | , , | | |
| 1100:15 supervisor [1] - 1110:8 supplement [1] - 1263:8 supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplied [1] - 1264:4 supposed [2] - 1138:25; 1165:8 19-20; 1261:4, 9, 22; 1261:4, 9, 22; 1287:17; 1288:8 Tarantino's [5] - 1101:18; 1156:14; 1160:3; 1185:15; 1256:3 Tarantinos [1] - 1202:4 testimony [36] - 1097:14; 1114:9; 1144:13, 22; 1158:21; 1160:3; 1185:15; 1256:3 Tarantinos [1] - 1202:4 targeted [1] - 1231:8 tattoo [9] - 1087:12, 17; 1103:1; 1104:3, 8, 10, 13 1288:10; 1286:24; 1287:27 testimony [36] - 1097:14; 1114:9; 1144:13, 22; 1158:21; 1160:3; 1185:15; 1256:3 1172:15; 1182:16; 1189:6; 1198:24; 1207:15; 1180:16; 1198:24; 1267:27 testimony [36] - 1097:14; 1114:9; 1198:24; 1267:27 testimony [36] - 1097:14; 1114:9; 1144:13, 22; 1158:21; 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1201:6, 10, 22; 1202:5; 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 14, 18; 1209:16; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1240:24: 1262:8 | | | | i i |
| 1262:4 Syosset [2] - 1135:16, 1097:14; 1114:9; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:13 1172:15; 1182:16; 1172:15; 1182:16; 1189:6; 1198:16, 22; 1202:4 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1202:4 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1201:6, 10, 22; 1202:5; 137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 10, 13 1237:1; 1238:12; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208:16; 1207:15, 21; 1208 | 1 | | | |
| Syosset [2] - 1135:16, 1097:14; 1114:9; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1101:18; 1156:14; 1144:13, 22; 1158:21; 1160:3; 1185:15; 1256:3 1172:15; 1182:16; 1266:5 | | | | |
| supplement [1] - 18 1263:8 system [2] - 1144:6 supplementing [1] - SZ [1] - 1156:23 1266:5 Tarantinos [1] - supplied [1] - 1264:4 tattoo [9] - 1087:12, supplementing [2] - 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 138:25; 1165:8 1101:16; 1156:14; 1160:3; 1185:15; 1256:3 1172:15; 1182:16; 1202:4 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1201:6, 10, 22; 1202:5; 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 144:13, 22; 1156:21; 1189:6; 1198:16, 22; 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1201:6, 10, 22; 1202:5; 137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 10, 13 | | | | ' ' |
| Supplement [1] System [2] - 1144:6 SZ [1] - 1156:23 Tarantinos [1] - 1266:5 Supplied [1] - 1264:4 Supposed [2] - 1138:25; 1165:8 Tarantinos [1] - 1231:8 Tarantinos [1] - 1231:8 Tarantinos [1] - 1231:8 Tarantinos [1] - 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1201:6, 10, 22; 1202:5; 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 14, 18; 1209:16; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1240:24: 1262:8: | | | | |
| supplementing [1] - 1266:5 supplied [1] - 1264:4 supposed [2] - 138:25; 1165:8 137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 1325:11 1325:11 1202:4 1202:4 1200:1, 6, 11, 20; 1201:6, 10, 22; 1202:5; 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 14, 18; 1209:16; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1240:24: 1262:8; | | | | |
| 1266:5 supplied [1] - 1264:4 supposed [2] - 1138:25; 1165:8 1266:5 targeted [1] - 1231:8 tattoo [9] - 1087:12, 17; 1103:1; 1104:3, 8, 10, 13 1201:6, 10, 22; 1202:5; 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, 14, 18; 1209:16; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1240:24: 1262:8: | | | | |
| supplied [1] - 1264:4 T tattoo [9] - 1087:12, 1207:15, 21; 1208:8, supposed [2] - 1138:25; 1165:8 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 17; 1103:1; 1104:3, 8, 14, 18; 1209:16; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1237:1; 1238:12; 1240:24: 1262:8: | | 52 [1] - 1130.23 | | |
| supposed [2] - table [6] - 1083:20; 1138:25; 1165:8 1137:8; 1196:5; 1224:8; 10, 13 1335:11 1325:11 | | т | | |
| 1138:25; 1165:8 | | | | |
| 130.23, 1103.6 | | | | |
| supposedly [1] - tattoos [2] - 1087:11, | | | 10, 13 | |
| | supposedly [1] - | 1225:11 | tattoos [2] - 1087:11, | 1240.24, 1202.0, |
| | | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 8: | 1 of 83 PageID #: |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1276:7, 17; 1281:8, 15, | 1218:22, 25; 1219:14084 | Thursday [4] - 1249:1; | 1152:25; 1153:2; 1158:1 |
| 25; 1282:1; 1283:2 | 1220:5, 9; 1221:5; | 1251:3; 1283:16; | transactions [4] - 27 |
| THE [354] - 1079:3, 8, | 1224:3, 11, 16; 1225:2, | 1288:18 | 1144:23; 1151:4; |
| 11, 17, 21, 24; | 14; 1226:11; 1228:19; | tied [1] - 1086:24 | 1152:15; 1210:2 |
| 1080:15, 18, 23, 25; | 1229:2, 7, 10; 1230:2, | ' ' | transcript [10] - |
| 1081:2, 4-5, 9; | 5-6, 24; 1231:11, 23, | timed [1] - 1255:25 | · |
| | 25; 1232:2; 1233:14; | timeframe [1] - 1258:4 | 1078:25; 1096:4, 18; |
| 1082:17, 19, 23; | 1234:10; 1235:3; | timing [1] - 1285:4 | 1130:5, 11-12; 1131:24; |
| 1086:10; 1087:5, 8; | 1237:6; 1238:3, 11, 18, | today [18] - 1080:9; | 1132:3; 1205:18, 20 |
| 1092:13, 15; 1093:2, 5, | 22; 1239:3, 12-14, 17; | 1094:3; 1097:15; | TRANSCRIPT [1] - |
| 9, 12, 17, 21; 1094:7, | 1240:2, 17; 1241:3, 18, | 1102:13; 1104:8; | 1078:6 |
| 20, 23; 1095:6, 10, 13, | 22; 1242:5, 7, 10, 12, | 1114:9; 1137:4; | transcription [1] - |
| 19, 22; 1096:2, 7, 10, | | 1144:13; 1158:21; | 1078:25 |
| 13; 1097:22; 1098:18, | 21; 1244:1, 8, 13, 16; | 1161:22; 1179:10; | transcripts [4] - |
| 22; 1102:8; 1103:15, | 1245:22; 1246:13, 15; | 1182:16; 1183:3; | 1130:3; 1132:6; 1137:22 |
| 20; 1104:21; 1105:2, | 1247:22; 1250:11; | 1207:15, 23; 1208:8; | transpired [1] - |
| 23, 25; 1106:5, 8, 11, | 1254:9, 13; 1260:17; | 1272:12; 1283:17 | 1088:25 |
| 13, 16, 23; 1110:10, | 1263:10; 1264:3, 6, 13, | together [4] - 1085:3; | transportation [1] - |
| 14; 1113:9; 1114:11, | 16, 18, 23; 1266:5, 8, | | |
| 25; 1115:2, 5, 10, 12; | 11, 13, 16, 18; | 1135:24; 1136:4; 1179:5 | 1168:1 |
| 1116:18, 20; 1117:3, | 1267:17; 1268:4; | tomorrow [6] - 1278:5; | travel [1] - 1136:6 |
| 14, 21, 24; 1118:1, 3, | 1271:12, 14, 17, 22, | 1279:5; 1281:15; | traveled [3] - 1136:1, |
| 5, 11; 1119:14, 18, 25; | 25; 1272:3, 19, 23, 25; | 1282:2, 15, 17 | 3; 1190:6 |
| 1120:6, 11, 14, 18; | 1273:2, 5; 1274:3, 7, | tonight [1] - 1079:15 | treatment [1] - 1136:7 |
| 1123:21; 1125:3, 16, | 12, 15, 23; 1275:2, 5, | took [27] - 1091:5; | trial [11] - 1080:14; |
| 18, 21-22; 1126:4; | 19; 1277:4; 1278:3; | 1093:3; 1096:15; | 1120:5; 1132:5; 1164:8; |
| 1127:11; 1128:12, 16; | 1279:8, 23; 1280:7, 11; | 1102:21; 1106:3; | 1183:4; 1201:8; 1275:4; |
| 1129:9, 15, 17, 19, 21; | 1281:2, 7, 9; 1282:1, | 1109:19; 1115:3; | 1278:8; 1284:19; |
| 1130:12; 1131:2, 4, 7; | 5, 12, 14, 23; 1283:5, | 1119:16; 1131:20, 23; | 1288:17 |
| 1132:1, 4, 11; 1133:9, | 10, 12, 24; 1284:2, 4, | 1133:4; 1137:3; | TRIAL [1] - 1078:6 |
| 11; 1134:4, 6; 1137:12; | 8, 11, 18; 1285:12, 14; | 1140:24; 1158:22; | tries [1] - 1278:11 |
| 1138:4; 1139:6, 8; | 1286:1, 7, 11, 14, 22; | 1165:4; 1168:3; 1194:7; | |
| 1140:12; 1141:20; | 1287:1, 5, 24; 1288:4, | 1203:18; 1217:2, 5; | trip [7] - 1165:18; |
| 1145:23, 25; 1146:4, 9, | 7, 9, 14 | 1228:5; 1235:1; 1238:1; | 1166:16; 1167:23; |
| 23; 1147:10; 1148:24; | themselves [2] - | 1261:19; 1264:1; | 1189:20, 23; 1203:15 |
| 1149:2, 6; 1160:20, 24; | 1149:22; 1279:18 | 1274:1; 1287:17 | Troll [1] - 1088:15 |
| 1161:4, 11, 13, 15; | thereafter [3] - | top [4] - 1103:3; | TROLL [1] - 1088:15 |
| 1162:25; 1164:5; | 1112:19; 1179:9; | 1159:23; 1178:3; 1210:3 | troubling [1] - |
| 1165:2, 5, 10, 19, 21; | 1190:11 | total [2] - 1137:2; | 1242:16 |
| 1166:6; 1176:9; 1177:2, | therefore [1] - | 1183:11 | true [1] - 1206:17 |
| 4, 6; 1182:7, 9, 12, | 1147:14 | totally [4] - 1131:21; | trunk [3] - 1142:23; |
| 17; 1183:1, 13, 16; | Therefore [1] - 1243:1 | | 1143:1 |
| 1184:2, 9, 11, 14, 17, | | 1147:6; 1188:21; | truth [1] - 1276:12 |
| 22, 25; 1185:6, 9; | thinking [2] - 1242:3; | 1278:17 | Ī - |
| 1186:1, 5, 11, 13, 16, | 1287:20 | touch [1] - 1093:14 | try [9] - 1141:8; |
| 20, 23, 25; 1187:2, 15, | third [3] - 1099:6; | touched [1] - 1131:14 | 1183:15; 1192:25; |
| 19, 21, 24; 1189:24; | 1209:22; 1214:9 | toward [1] - 1196:10 | 1216:5; 1242:17; |
| 1190:2; 1191:19; | Thomas [1] - 1212:11 | towards [1] - 1107:22 | 1243:1; 1244:5, 24; |
| 1194:1, 4; 1195:12, 16, | thousandth [1] - | track [3] - 1151:16; | 1253:20 |
| 18, 22; 1196:3, 8, 23, | 1152:2 | 1224:23, 25 | trying [3] - 1185:24; |
| 25; 1197:2, 5; 1198:5, | threatening [2] - | traffic [3] - 1150:16; | 1221:13; 1268:2 |
| 10, 15, 21; 1199:15; | 1175:2; 1178:19 | 1218:1 | Tuesday [1] - 1283:19 |
| 1200:2; 1202:13, 18, | three [14] - 1083:7; | trafficking [1] - | turn [10] - 1092:25; |
| 21; 1203:11, 23; | 1094:8; 1141:19; | | 1097:21; 1138:4; |
| 1204:7, 15, 19, 25; | 1151:2; 1158:20; | 1230:20 | 1166:1; 1172:4; |
| 1205:13, 16, 20, 23; | 1159:21; 1200:11; | training [3] - | 1196:10; 1209:1, 3-4; |
| 1206:2; 1207:14, 23; | 1209:25; 1213:8; | 1143:19, 24; 1149:13 | 1251:25 |
| 1208:6, 10, 23; 1209:1, | 1233:1, 25; 1264:10; | Tramble [2] - 1284:6, | turned [4] - 1096:23; |
| 4-5, 8, 15; 1210:21, | 1268:9, 25 | 11 | 1172:13; 1201:2; 1242:3 |
| 23; 1211:1; 1212:8; | throughout [2] - | transaction [7] - | turning [2] - 1214:9; |
| 1214:25; 1215:2, 4; | | 1091:4; 1145:14; | 1270:2 |
| , , , , | 1155:7; 1163:3 | 1149:20; 1150:11; | 1210.2 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS | Document 436-2 F | iled 05/21/13 Page 8: | 2 of 83 PageID #: |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| twenty [1] - 1107:8 | unique [1] - 1149:24085 | vehicle [4] - 1116:7; | voluntarily [1] - |
| twenty-eight [1] - | UNITED [2] - 1078:1, 3 | 1139:14, 23 | 1111:3 28 |
| 1107:8 | United [9] - 1078:12, | verdict [1] - 1147:15 | VW [1] - 1144:11 |
| twice [2] - 1130:14; | 21; 1161:23; 1162:7; | verified [2] - | VZ [9] - 1092:15; |
| 1234:1 | 1164:24; 1167:8, 22; | 1205:19; 1274:18 | 1144:10; 1158:5, 24; |
| two [47] - 1081:3; | 1168:2; 1202:1 | verifying [1] - 1267:6 | 1247:2 |
| 1085:14, 25; 1096:17; | unless [3] - 1095:16; | Verizon [5] - 1143:23; | VZ-1 [6] - 1092:10, 14, |
| 1102:22; 1104:11; | 1275:16 | 1158:7; 1159:8; 1160:8; | 17, 19; 1098:25; |
| 1119:21; 1124:1, 5, 13, | unusual [1] - 1127:21 | 1253:25 | 1260:19 |
| 21; 1131:10; 1135:10; | up [76] - 1083:2; | version [1] - 1146:20 | VZ-3 [6] - 1246:23; |
| 1136:10; 1149:17; | 1085:4; 1086:24; | versus [2] - 1151:14; | 1247:3; 1257:23; |
| 1150:1-3; 1157:9; | 1087:5; 1090:10, 14, | 1202:1 | 1258:1; 1260:5, 20 |
| 1159:23; 1170:20; | 20; 1091:23; 1094:18; | vicinity [1] - 1280:5 | |
| 1182:13, 23; 1183:7, | 1095:23; 1096:1, 10; | victor [1] - 1257:23 | W |
| 9-10; 1191:22; 1192:20; | 1098:5; 1100:1; 1103:2, | | W-2 [7] - 1121:16; |
| 1203:7, 9; 1205:23; | 6; 1115:2; 1116:15; | view [6] - 1146:10, | 1122:8, 13; 1124:11; |
| 1215:10, 18; 1221:10; | 1125:22; 1130:1; | 14-15, 25; 1147:13, 24 | 1125:10 |
| 1228:10; 1233:10; | 1131:2, 19; 1132:3; | viewed [1] - 1108:21 | W-2's [1] - 1125:6 |
| 1238:11; 1255:24; | 1133:1; 1136:17; | Vince [2] - 1240:11; | W-2s [1] - 1121:22 |
| 1264:10; 1275:18; | 1138:11; 1139:10; | 1245:12 | wait [1] - 1288:12 |
| 1281:19; 1282:7; 1283:11 | 1140:2, 19; 1141:17; | Vince's [1] - 1245:13 | waiting [3] - 1081:2; |
| | 1142:2, 14; 1145:23; | Vincent [41] - 1103:25; | 1198:11; 1208:12 |
| two-piece [1] - 1085:25 | 1174:1; 1183:8; | 1107:16; 1109:4; | walked [1] - 1194:7 |
| | 1185:19; 1186:9; | 1110:2; 1152:22; | wants [4] - 1098:15; |
| Ty [3] - 1170:2 | 1187:13; 1191:6; 1198:5; 1202:25; | 1157:14; 1158:11; | 1111:3; 1112:4; 1285:3 |
| type [3] - 1089:8; | 1204:24; 1205:19; | 1178:12, 18; 1179:16; 1180:4, 16, 18; 1181:2; | warehouse [4] - |
| 1104:1; 1150:4 | 1204:24, 1203:19, | 1196:14; 1206:19; | 1285:9, 24; 1286:2 |
| typed [6] - 1169:1, 5, | 1213:18; 1214:16; | 1220:13, 15, 20, 22; | ways [1] - 1151:2 |
| 7; 1170:14; 1181:10 | 1217:15; 1223:9; | 1221:14, 18, 23; | weapon [6] - 1083:24; |
| U | 1234:11; 1237:6; | 1222:7, 15; 1223:25; | 1084:7, 11; 1088:21; |
| U.S [4] - 1078:4, 15; | 1238:15, 19, 21; | 1225:4; 1228:13, 16, | 1105:6, 17 |
| 1118:17; 1280:20 | 1240:17; 1241:1; | 20; 1229:22; 1230:8; | wearing [6] - 1104:1, |
| U.S.D.J [1] - 1078:9 | 1242:22; 1244:24; | 1232:4; 1236:4, 25; | 17, 23; 1105:5; 1137:7, |
| | 1248:3; 1250:4; 1257:6; | 1242:3; 1245:4, 16, 25; | 9 |
| ultimately [2] - 1088:6: 1137:17 | 1258:15, 18; 1259:4, 6; | 1252:19, 25 | week [11] - 1080:13; |
| , | 1262:11; 1263:10; | Vinnie [16] - 1085:8, | 1085:11; 1172:19; |
| uncross [1] - 1277:6 | 1275:1; 1280:15; 1286:5 | 15, 17; 1102:20; | 1189:16; 1190:20; |
| under [14] - 1081:13; | upper [2] - 1086:1; | 1109:5; 1173:5, 8; | 1191:1; 1201:12, 14; |
| 1097:14; 1104:8; | 1148:14 | 1174:8; 1178:22; | 1279:2; 1283:4 |
| 1114:9; 1119:11; 1120:16; 1155:15; | upstairs [1] - 1090:7 | 1180:20; 1189:2; | weekend [1] - 1102:15 |
| 1156:3; 1179:10; | upstate [1] - 1136:6 | 1201:21; 1205:11; | weight [1] - 1275:13 |
| 1181:1; 1199:2; | user [2] - 1154:20; | 1206:6, 21; 1227:25 | weightlifting [2] - |
| 1200:15; 1208:20; | 1239:19 | vis-à-vis [1] - 1279:13 | 1089:10, 13 |
| 1242:19 | ., | | weird [1] - 1175:6 |
| underline [1] - | V | visit [8] - 1138:13; 1163:16, 25; 1167:3; | welfare [2] - 1129:7, |
| 1285:21 | vacation [5] - | 1189:15, 18; 1190:23; | 13 |
| underlying [1] - | 1176:21, 23; 1177:1 | 1280:16 | West [36] - 1108:10; |
| 1286:13 | vague [1] - 1115:6 | visited [2] - 1088:14; | 1113:12; 1115:14; |
| underneath [1] - | Valentine [1] - | 1190:20 | 1116:9, 15; 1123:3, 11; |
| 1248:12 | 1088:14 | visiting [1] - 1189:1 | 1124:16, 22; 1210:23; |
| understood [4] - | value [2] - 1275:21; | visitor [1] - 1190:22 | 1211:3, 6, 14, 23; |
| 1084:20; 1138:16; | 1277:1 | vivid [1] - 1103:12 | 1215:2, 9; 1216:14, 18; |
| 1203:18; 1208:9 | various [3] - 1097:10; | voice [5] - 1095:6; | 1217:6, 22-24; 1218:6, |
| unfolds [1] - 1278:9 | 1121:11; 1210:9 | 1131:21; 1180:17; | 17; 1246:22; 1247:10; |
| unfortunately [4] - | variously [1] - | 1223:8 | 1248:18, 24; 1257:21; |
| 1096:10; 1204:12; | 1137:15 | voluminous [1] - | 1258:9, 24; 1260:5, 7, |
| 1240:4; 1242:18 | varying [1] - 1150:25 | 1146:13 | 12, 20; 1262:4 |
| | | | |

```
<del>Case 2:08-cr-00655-JS</del>
west [1] - 1124:1
whispered [1] -
1193:11
white [4] - 1104:23;
1169:1, 5; 1170:12
whole [12] - 1085:4;
1091:4; 1094:4, 18;
1097:19; 1124:19;
1147:24; 1220:4;
1245:9, 15; 1247:15, 17
WICKER [1] - 1078:20
wife [3] - 1091:24;
1202:23
Willard [7] - 1130:22;
1136:6; 1138:11;
1140:19; 1141:17;
1142:12, 15
willful [1] - 1243:5
William [1] - 1133:4
window [3] - 1083:24;
1085:12
wings [6] - 1102:24;
1103:12, 17, 19, 23
wish [2] - 1198:16;
1273:5
withdraw [2] - 1087:6,
withdrawn [7] -
1097:5; 1102:18;
1124:11; 1169:3;
1216:1; 1262:2, 19
witness [47] - 1106:13,
17, 20; 1118:3, 8;
1125:21; 1126:1;
1130:18; 1131:19;
1133:4; 1137:11;
1146:5, 17; 1147:3;
1149:2; 1183:3; 1185:2;
1187:3; 1197:9;
1198:23; 1199:21;
1208:2; 1209:11;
1212:9, 12; 1220:6;
1221:6; 1229:5; 1238:8;
1239:11; 1240:14;
1242:14, 18, 25;
1247:23; 1250:13;
1260:18; 1272:1, 10;
1273:3, 6; 1275:2;
1282:10; 1283:6;
1284:1; 1287:10
Witness [4] - 1118:2;
1125:20; 1129:20;
1241:9
WITNESS [18] - 1081:4;
1106:23; 1110:10, 14;
1118:11; 1120:18;
1126:4; 1134:6;
```

```
Document 436-2
1196:25; 1210:23; 4086
1215:2; 1230:5;
1231:25; 1232:3;
1239:13; 1246:15
witness' [2] - 1149:1;
1196:3
witnesses [8] -
1132:9; 1201:6; 1278:7;
1282:5, 7, 21; 1284:1,
WITNESSES [1] - 1289:2
woman [1] - 1203:20
Woodbury [1] - 1135:18
word [12] - 1084:16,
23; 1090:15; 1121:10;
1139:13; 1141:22;
1149:5; 1156:15;
1160:6; 1171:1; 1201:15
words [1] - 1182:5
works [1] - 1223:11
worms [1] - 1094:19
worried [1] - 1192:2
worry [2] - 1192:8;
1193:8
worse [1] - 1280:2
writing [2] - 1166:22;
1240:18
written [7] - 1166:11;
1173:2, 8; 1188:6;
1192:13; 1252:21;
1278:13
wrote [2] - 1170:24;
1206:21
x-ray [1] - 1105:17
```

γ

yanked [1] - 1281:18

year [7] - 1155:20;

1163:3, 8; 1164:17;

1177:4, 12; 1254:22

years [14] - 1088:7;

1118:23; 1122:2;

1134:22; 1137:17;

1140:19, 22, 25;

yesterday [4] -

1086:4; 1279:21

19, 22; 1102:5;

1113:25; 1114:5;

1081:22; 1084:14;

YORK [1] - 1078:1

York [17] - 1078:13,

1141:1, 4; 1180:22

yellow [4] - 1103:11;

1266:23; 1267:22, 25

1090:7; 1092:4; 1107:8;

1113:21 1134:6 Zacarese [17] -1137:13; 1138:8; 1140:15; 1142:8; 1198:5; 1209:16; 1242:1; 1244:2, 21; 1272:8 Zacarese's [1] -1208:14 1216:5; 1240:19; 1245:24

```
25; 1260:21
younger [1] - 1274:18
yourself [2] -
1113:25; 1138:22
yourselves [1] -
YRMODY [1] - 1099:1
Z.A.C.A.R.E.S.E [1] -
1132:25; 1134:3, 6, 15;
1143:7; 1148:4; 1149:7;
zebra [1] - 1257:23
zero [5] - 1213:14, 16;
```

 $\frac{1ed 05}{21}$ Page 83 of 83 PageID #:

1119:4; 1121:14;

1126:10; 1210:13, 17;

1211:14, 24; 1258:22,

1148:24; 1161:13;